Fourteenth Annual Report

of the

Securities and Exchange Commission

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1948



SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Central Office 425 Second Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.

COMMISSIONERS

EDMOND M. HANRAHAN, Chairman
ROBERT K. MCCONNAMO RICHARD B. MCENTIRE HARRY A. McDonald PAUL R. ROWEN

ORVAL L. DUBOIS, Secretary

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D. C., February 15, 1949.

Sir: I have the honor to transmit to you the Fourteenth Annual Report of the Securities and Exchange Commission, in accordance with the provisions of section 23 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, approved June 6, 1934; section 23 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, approved August 26, 1935; section 46 (a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, approved August 22, 1940, and section 216 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, approved August 22, 1940.

Respectfully,

Edmond M. Hanrahan, Chairman.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE,
THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, D. C.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword XI
Commissioners and staff officers XII
Regional and branch offices
Commissioners appointed during fiscal year xiv
PART I
ADMINISTRATION OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933
The registration process
The registration statement and prospectus
Examination of registration statements
Time required for registration
Volume of all securities registered
Volume of securities registered for cash sale A. All securities
A. All securitiesB. Stocks and bonds
C. All securities registered for cash sale for the accounts of
issuers—by type of issuer
D. Use of investment bankers as to securities registered
for cash sale for the accounts of issuers E. Cost of flotation of securities registered for cash sale for
E. Cost of flotation of securities registered for cash sale for the accounts of issuers
All new securities offered for cash sale
Registered securities
Unregistered securities
Corporate
Noncorporate
Now conital and refinancing
Registration statements filed
Disposition of registration statements
Other documents med under the act
Exemption from registration under the act Exempt offerings under regulation A
Exempt offerings under regulation A Exempt offerings under regulation A-M 1
Exempt offerings under regulation B
Confidential written reports under regulation B
Oil and gas investigations
Formal actions under section 8 1: Examinations under section 8 (e) 1:
Stop-order proceedings under section 8 (d)
Disclosures resulting from examination of registration statements 1.
Changes in rules, regulations, and forms
Proposed rule 431—Definition of prospectus
Rule 409—Disclaimer of responsibility 18 Rule 424—Pre-effective prospectus 19
Rule 424—Pre-effective prospectus 19 Proposed amendments to regulation S-X 19 Changes in forms for registration 19
Changes in forms for registration
Litigation under the act2
PART II
ADMINISTRATION OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934
Registration of exchanges2
Market value and volume of exchange trading 20
Special offerings on exchanges 20
Secondary distributions approved by exchanges 2'
Registration of securities on exchanges
Purpose and nature of registration 2 Examination of applications and reports 2
Statistics of securities registered on exchanges2

ADMINISTRATION OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934—Continued
Temporary exemption of substituted or additional securities
Unlisted trading privileges on exchanges
Applications for unlisted trading privileges
Changes in securities admitted to unlisted trading privileges
Delisting of securities from exchanges
Delisting of securities from exchanges Securities delisted by application:
Securities delisted by certificationSecurities removed from listing on exempted exchanges
Securities removed from listing on exempted exchanges
Exempted securities removed from exchange trading
Manipulation and stabilization
Manipulation
Manipulation Trading investigations
Stabilization
StabilizationSecurity transactions of corporate insiders
Examination and dissemination of information
Preventing unfair use of inside information
Statistics of ownership reportsSolicitations of proxies, consents, and authorizations
Solicitations of proxies, consents, and authorizations
Statistics of proxy statements Examination of proxies
Examination of proxies
Changes in proxy rules
Regulation of brokers and dealers
Registration
Broker-dealer inspections
Administrative proceedings
Supervision of NASD activity
Niembersmp
Membership
Change in rules and forms
Changes in rules and forms Rule X-3A12-2-Exemption for municipally guaranteed
securities
Rule X-11D1-1-Extension of credit by broker-dealers
Rules X-12A-4, X-12D3-1, and X-12D3-2-"When-issued"
dealing
dealingForms 12-K and 12A-K-Annual report forms
Litigation under the act
Litigation under the act
dealers
Injunction actions against persons other than broker-dealers
Participation by the Commission in private actions.
PART III
ADMINISTRATION OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY HOLDING COM-
PANY ACT OF 1935 Companies registered under the act Integration and corporate simplification under section 11
Companies registered under the act
Integration and corporate simplification under section 11
Status of integration and simplification program
American Gas & Electric Co
Cities Service Co
The Commonwealth & Southern Corp
Electric Bond & Share Co
Engineers Public Service Co
International Hydro-Electric System
The Middle West Corp
New England Public Service Co
The North American Co
Ogden CorpStandard Gas & Electric Co
Standard Power & Light Corp.—Standard Gas & Electric Co
The United Corp
The United Light & Railways Co
The West Penn Electric Co
Financing under sections 6 and 7
Other activities under the act
Statistics of litigation under the act

TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
t b Ttf	•
PART IV	Page
PARTICIPATION OF THE COMMISSION IN CORPORATE REOR- GANIZATIONS UNDER CHAPTER X OF THE BANKRUPTCY ACT, AS AMENDED	82
Summary of activities Commission's functions under chapter X	82
Commission's functions under chapter X	82
Problems in the administration of the estate	83
Responsibilities of fiduciaries	84 85
Institution of chapter Y proceedings and jurisdiction of the court	87
Responsibilities of fiduciaries	88
Fairness.	88
Feasibility	89
Consumation of plan	90
Rights to interest	90
Advisory reports	90
PART V	
ADMINISTRATION OF THE TRUST INDENTURE ACT OF 1939_ Statistics of indentures qualified	92 92
Part: VI	
ADMINISTRATION OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF	94
Unlawful acquisition by investment company of insurance company stock	94
Changes in rules	95
Rule N-17A-2-Exemption of transactions by banks Rule N-17A-4-Exemption of contracts with nonaffiliates Rule N-17F-2-Custody of securities Rule N-17G-1-Bonding of officers and employees	95 95 95 95
Statistics relating to registered investment companies	96
PART VII	
ADMINISTRATION OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF	•
1940Registration statistics	98 98
	. <i>9</i> 0
PART VIII	• •
OTHER ACTIVITIES OF THE COMMISSION UNDER THE VARIOUS STATUTES.	99
The Commission in the courts	99
Civil proceedings	99
Criminal proceedings	99 104
Complaints and investigations	104
Securities violations file	104
Activities of the Commission in accounting and auditing	105
Examination of financial statements Proposed amendment of regulation S-X	106
Proposed amendment of regulation S-X	107
Simplified records for brokers and dealers	$\frac{108}{108}$
Developments in accounting principles and procedures	110
Developments in auditing procedures and processional practice.	112
Division of opinion writing	114
International financial and economic matters	√116
Advisory and interpretative assistance	118
Confidential treatment of applications, reports, or documents	119
Statistics and special studies Saving study	140
Daving Study	119 120
Financial position of corporationsStock market statistics	120
Survey of American listed corporations	121
Investment company data	121
Brokers and dealers	122

Registrants and subsidiaries....

OTHER ACTIVITIES OF THE COMMISSION UNDER THE
VARIOUS STATUTES—Continued Analyses of stock market decline of September 3, 1946
Personnel
Personnel Fiscal affairs
Publications
Public releases
Other publications
Public hearings
Part IX
APPENDIX—STATISTICAL TABLES
Table 1. Registrations under the Securities Act of 1933 fully effective during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948:
Part 1. Distribution by months Part 2. Break-down by method of distribution and type of security
of the volume proposed for each sale for account of the issuers
Part 3. Purpose of registration and industry of registrant
Table 2. Classification by quality and size of new issues, exclusive of in-
vestment trust issues, registered under the Securities Act of:
1933 for sale to the general public through investment bankers during the fiscal years 1946, 1947, and 1948:
Part 1. Number of issues and aggregate value
Part 2. Compensation to distributors
Table 3. New securities offered for cash sale in the United States:
Part 1. Type of offering
Part 3. Type of issuer
Part 4: Private placements of corporate securities
Table 4. Proposed uses of net proceeds from the sale of new corporate securities offered for cash sale in the United States:
Part 1. All corporate
Part 2. Industrial
Part 3. Public utility Part 4. Railroad
Part.5. Real estate and financial
Part.5. Real estate and financial Table 5. Brokers and dealers registered under sec. 15 of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934—effective registrations as of June 30, 1948, classified by type of organization and by location of principal office.
Table 6. Data relating to resources and liabilities of registered brokers and dealers—1946 and 1947.
dealers—1946 and 1947. Table 7. Market value and volume of sales effected on securities ex-
changes for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948
Part 1. On all registered exchanges Part 2. On all exempted exchanges
Table 8. Round-lot stock transactions effected on the New York Stock
Exchange for the accounts of members and nonmembers.
weekly, June 30, 1947—June 26, 1948 Table 9. Odd-lot stock transactions effected on the New York Stock Ex-
abance for the odd let accounts of odd let dealers, specialists
change for the odd-lot accounts of odd-lot dealers, specialists, and customers, weekly, June 30, 1947—June 26, 1948
Table 10. Round-lot and odd-lot stock transactions effected on the New
York Curb Exchange for accounts of members and nonmem-
bers, weekly, June 30, 1947—June 26, 1948
Table 11. Special offerings effected on national securities exchanges for
fiscal year ended June 30, 1948 Table 12. Secondary distributions of listed stocks approved by national
securities exchanges
Table 13. Classification by industry of issuers having securities registered
on national securities exchanges as of June 30, 1947 and
June 30, 1948
Table 14. Totals of security issues admitted to trading on exchanges as
of June 30. 1948

	- u,
Table 15. Stock and bond issues admitted to trading on each exchange, including the number of issuers, the basis for admission to trading, and the percentage traded on other exchanges, as of June 30, 1948	
June 30, 1948 Table 16. Number of issues admitted to unlisted trading pursuant to clauses 2 and 3 of section 12 (f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and volume of transactions therein	16
Table 17:	
Part 1. Electric utility properties divested by registered holding companies, July 1, 1947 to June 30, 1948	16
Part 2. Gas utility properties divested by registered holding com- panies, July 1, 1947 to June 30, 1948	16
panies, July 1, 1947 to June 30, 1948	16
Table 18. Utility and other properties subject to divestment under section 11 (b) (1) orders outstanding as of June 30, 1948	16
Table 19. Public utility holding companies subject to dissolution or liquidation and subsidiaries subject to divestment under section 11 (b) (2) orders outstanding as of June 30, 1948	17:
Table 20. Number of applications and declarations received and disposed of during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948 under the	ç
Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 Table 21. Reorganization cases instituted under chapter X and section 77-B in which the Commission filed a notice of appearance	170
77-B in which the Commission filed a notice of appearance and in which the Commission actively participated during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948	17
Par → 1. Distribution of debtors by type of industry	17
Part 2. Distribution of debtors by amount of indebtedness	17
Table 22. Reorganization proceedings in which the Commission partici-	-
pated during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948Table 23. Statistical summary of all cases instituted by the Commission	178
under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the Investment	101
Advisers Act of 1940 Table 24. Statistical summary of all cases instituted against the Commission, cases in which the Commission participated as intervenor or amieus curiae, and reorganization cases on appeal under chapter X in which the Commission participated—	181
Table 25. Injunctive proceedings brought by Commission, under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the Investment Advisers	181
Table 26. Indictments returned for violation of the acts administered by	182
U. S. C.), and other related Federal statutes (where the Commission took part in the investigation and development	
Table 27. Petitions for review of orders of Commission under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, and the Investment	185
Company Act of 1940, pending in circuit courts of appeals during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948 Table 28. Civil contempt proceedings pending during the fiscal year ended	190
June 30, 1948 Table 29. Cases in which the Commission participated as intervenor or as	192
amicus curiae, pending during the fiscal year ended June 30,	193
Table 30. Proceedings by the Commission, pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948, to enforce subpense under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934	200
1200 of 1000 and one population indimining from Of 1801-1-1-1	200

	Page
Table 31. Actions to enforce voluntary plans under section 11(e) to comply with section 11(b) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935	201
Table 32. Actions under section 11(d) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 to enforce compliance with Commission's order issued under section 11(b) of that act	203
Table 33. Reorganization cases under chapter X, pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948, in which the Commission participated when appeals were taken from district court orders	203
Table 34. Cases involving statutes administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission—July 1, 1947 through June 30, 1948: Part 1. Securities Act of 1933.	207
Part 2. Securities Exchange Act of 1934	207
Part 3. Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935	
Part 4. Trust Indenture Act of 1939 and Investment Company Act of 1940	208 209
Table 35. A 15-year summary of criminal cases developed by the Commission—1934 through 1948, by fiscal year	209
Table 36. A 12-year summary of criminal cases developed by the Commission which are still pending—1937 through 1948, by fiscal	
year	210
Table 37. A 15-year summary classifying all defendants in criminal cases	
developed by the Commission—1934 to July 1, 1948	210
Table 38. A 15-year summary of all injunction cases instituted by the Commission—1934 to July 1, 1948, by calendar year.	211

FOREWORD

This is the fourteenth annual report to the Congress about the work of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Of necessity it is only a summary and a selection of the more significant Commission activities. The day-to-day work load is presented primarily through statistical tables and other condensed compilations. The Commission is always ready to give any additional information that may be requested.

This report should be of particular help to members of the Congress who have had no experience with the work of the Commission. An attempt has been made to outline the regulatory provisions of the statutes administered by the Commission and to relate each segment of Commission activity to the specific authority under which it is performed.

COMMISSIONERS AND STAFF OFFICERS

(as of January 15, 1949)

EDMOND M. HANRAHAN, of New York, Chairman 1_____

Term expires
June 5—

_____ 1952

Commissioners

F F	ROBERT K. McConnaughey, of Ohio RIGHARD B. McEntire, of Kansas HABRY A. McDonald, of Michigan PAUL R. Rowen, of Massachusetts Secretary: Orval L. DuBois.	$1953 \\ 1951$
Staff	Officers	
M F N V N S E F	Baldwin B. Bane, Director, Division of Corporation Finance. And Jackson, Associate Director. Morton E. Yohalem, Director, Division of Public Utilities. Sidney H. W. Neb, Associate Director. Edward H. Cashion, Director, Division of Trading and Exchange Anthon H. Lund, Associate Director. Roger S. Foster, General Counsel. Louis Loss, Associate General Counsel E. Mooney, Director, Division of Opinion Writing. Walter C. Louchheim, Jr., Adviser on Foreign Investments. Nathan D. Lobell, Adviser to the Commission. Sheerly T. McAdam, Jr., Assistant to the Chairman. Earle C. King, Chief Accountant of the Commission. Hastings P. Avery, Director, Division of Administrative Services. William E. Becker, Director, Division of Personnel. Iames J. Riordan, Director, Division of Budget and Finance.	TLL-

¹ Elected chairman on May 18, 1948.

² Appointed May 27, 1948, to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of James J. Caffrey.

⁸ Appointed to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of James A. Treanor.

⁴ Appointed to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of Herbert B. Cohn.

REGIONAL AND BRANCH OFFICES

Regional Administrators

Zone 1—Peter T. Byrne, Equitable Building (Room 2006), 120 Broadway, New York 5, N. Y.

Zone 2—PHILIP E. KENDRICK, Post Office Square Building (Room 501), 79
Milk Street, Boston 9, Mass.

Zone 3—WILIAM GREEN, Atlanta National Building (Room 322), Whitehall and Alabama Streets, Atlanta 3, Ga.

Zone 4—CHARLES J. ODENWELLER, Jr., Standard Building (Room 1608), 1370 Ontario Street, Cleveland 13, Ohio.

Zone 5—THOMAS B. HART, Bankers Building (Room 630), 105 West Adams Street, Chicago 3, Ill.

Zone 6-Oran H. Allred, United States Courthouse (Room 103), 10th and Lamar Streets, Fort Worth 2, Tex.

Zone 7—John L. Geraghty, Midland Savings Building (Room 822), 444 Seventeenth Street, Denver 2, Colo.

Zone 8—Howard A. Judy, Appraisers Building (Room 308), 630 Sansome Street, San Francisco 11, Calif.

Zone 9—DAY KARR, 1411 Fourth Avenue Building (Room 810), Seattle 1, Wash.

Zone 10-E. Russel Kelly, O'Sullivan Building (Room 2410), Baltimore 2, Md.

Branch Offices

Federal Building (Room 1074), Detroit 26, Mich.

United States Post Office and Courthouse (Room 1737), 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles 12, Calif.

Pioneer Building (Room 400), Fourth and Roberts Streets, St. Paul 1, Minn. Wright Building (Room 327), Tulsa 3, Okla.

United States Courthouse and Custom House (Room 1006), 1114 Market Street, St. Louis 1, Mo.

XIII

⁵ Appointed to fill the vacancy created by the appointment of Paul R. Rowen to the Commission.
⁶ Moved to 425 Second Street, N. W., Washington 25, D. C.

COMMISSIONERS APPOINTED DURING FISCAL YEAR

PAUL R. ROWEN

Mr. Rowen was born in Brighton, Mass., October 7, 1899. He received an A. B. degree from Georgetown University in 1921, attended Harvard Law School from 1921 to 1924, received an LL. B. degree from Boston University Law School in 1925, and was admitted to the Bar of Massachusetts in 1926. From 1926 to 1932 Mr. Rowen was engaged in the general practice of law in Boston. From 1932 to 1936 he served successively as assistant district attorney in Boston, as assistant counsel, regional litigation attorney, N. R. A., in Washington, D. C., and as legal consultant, Federal Coordinator of Transportation, in Washington, D. C. In 1936 Mr. Rowen became a member of the staff of the Commission at its office in Washington, D. C., and served as an attorney on the staff until 1939. Thereafter, Mr. Rowen was appointed regional administrator of the Commission's Boston regional office and served in that capacity for over 6 years. On May 27, 1948, he was appointed to the Securities and Exchange Commission for a term of office ending June 5, 1950.

ΧIV

PART I

ADMINISTRATION OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

The Securities Act of 1933 is designed to prevent fraud in the sale of securities. It affords to investors the protection of full and fair disclosure of information about the security offered for sale and, at the same time, prohibits the employment of certain practices in connection with the sale of securities as fraudulent. The act provides for the registration of securities and for the use of a prospectus in their sale, both designed to provide the investor with sufficient facts about the security to enable him to make an informed judgment of the merits of the investment before he buys the security offered to him. In addition, the act defines and prohibits certain practices to prevent active fraud, misrepresentation, and deceit in the sale of securities. The Commission is charged with the enforcement of these provisions as to the adequate disclosure of information and the prevention of active fraud, but it does not pass upon the merits of securities registered with it under the act. The fact that a registration statement has been filed under the act, or that it has been examined by the Commission's staff, or that the registration statement is in effect does not imply any approval or disapproval by the Commission of the security as an investment. The act does not aim at the elimination of risk in investment, but only at the disclosure of sufficient information to enable the investor to measure the risk involved.

THE REGISTRATION PROCESS

The Registration Statement and Prospectus

A security may be registered with the Commission by filing a registration statement with the Commission, and it is one of the Commission's most important functions under the act to examine these statements to insure their compliance with the statutory requirements as to the contents of a registration statement. An integral part of each statement is the prospectus, consisting of pertinent information about the security to be sold. Unless a registration statement is in effect as to a security, the act makes it unlawful to sell or offer to buy the security through the mails or in interstate commerce, and it is also made unlawful thereby to sell or deliver any security unless accompanied or preceded by a prospectus meeting the requirements of the act.

The prospectus brings the pertinent information about the security directly to the attention of the investor, but it should be pointed out that the filing of any registration statement, which is immediately made public by the Commission pursuant to the statute, instantly gives rise to widespread publicity released by financial news services, financial writers, and newspapers generally.

Effective Date of Registration Statement

In order to permit widespread publicity among investors of the information contained in a registration statement, the act provides

for a 20-day waiting period after the filing of the registration statement before the registration statement becomes effective and the security may be offered for sale. If the registration statement is amended after it is filed but before it has become effective, the 20-day waiting period starts anew from the time of the amendment, unless the amendment is filed with the consent of or by order of the Commission.

The Commission is empowered at its discretion to accelerate the effective date of a registration statement, in cases where the facts justify such acceleration, so that a full 20-day period need not expire before the securities may be offered for sale. The act directs that, in the exercise of this power, the Commission must give due regard to the adequacy of the information about the security already available to the public, to the complexity of the particular financing, and to the public interest and the protection of investors.

Examination of Registration Statements

An important step in the registration process is examination of registration statements to insure their compliance with the requirements of the act. In view of the fact that a registration statement may become effective on the twentieth day after filing, this examination must be completed with a maximum speed consistent with thoroughness and a full consideration of all the facts. Neither the Commission, the issuer, nor the underwriter desires a statement to become effective unless it complies with the act. It is often the case that the staff will ascertain that deficiencies exist in the registration statement, or the issuer or underwriter may wish to amend the statement or delay its effectiveness for business reasons. In such cases, if there is a danger that the registration statement may become effective in defective form or prematurely for the purposes of the issuer or underwriter, it is customary for the issuer to file a minor amendment to the registration statement, thereby starting the 20-day period running anew.

In order to speed the registration process, and at the same time to make available to the registrant the assistance of the Commission's staff of experts, the Commission has continued to make widespread use of the procedures of its prefiling conference and "letter of comment." The prefiling conference enables the registrant to discuss with the staff, prior to the filing of the registration statement, any special or novel problems involved in the particular registration statement. The letter of comment is an informal device by which the registrant is informed of any deficiencies found upon examination to exist in the registration statement as filed. The registrant can thereupon make the necessary amendments and thereby prevent the registration statement from becoming effective in deficient form.

Time Required for Registration

The Commission seeks to accomplish completion of the registration process, from the time the registration statement is filed to the time when it becomes effective, within the 20-day waiting period provided by the act. With the cooperation of persons in the securities industry it constantly studies ways to shorten the process, and a great deal has been accomplished in this direction during the 1948 fiscal year. During the 1947 fiscal year the average median elapsed time was 30½ days. In the 1948 fiscal year it was 24½ days.

More significant than the average for the year are the averages for each month during the 1948 fiscal year. In 1 month the elapsed median time was only 21 days. In 4 months it was only 22 days, in 1 month 23 days and in 2 months 24 days. In the other 4 months the median time was 25, 27, 30, and 32, respectively. It is to be noted that in no month did the median time from the date of filing to the date when the Commission provided the registrant with a letter of comment exceed 12 days, which was the case in only 1 month. In the other months, this median time was only 9 days in 2 months, 10 days in 7 months, and 11 days in 2 months. It may be pointed out that in the 2 months when the total median elapsed time was 30 and 32 days, respectively, the letter of comment was provided in the median time of 11 and 12 days, respectively. In the same months, the median elapsed time from the date of the letter of comment to receipt of the first amendment to the registration statement was 12 and 10 days. respectively, substantially above the average for the whole year, which was 8.4 days.

In evaluating this data, and in comparing it with the goal of 20 days total elapsed time, it must be borne in mind that a single registration statement may substantially affect the figures for the whole month. Thus, as has been indicated, the issuer or underwriter may seek to delay effectiveness for business reasons, perhaps to await a better market. It is also the case that some registration statements require more amendment than others, or amendment of a more complex nature, so that the total elapsed time is increased. These are the two major reasons for a total elapsed time of more than 20 days, and inasmuch as these situations occur invariably in one or more cases in each month, the median figures must be interpreted accordingly. In the 1948 fiscal year 1,778 amendments were filed prior to the time when the registration statements became effective. Of these 980 were filed intentionally to delay effectiveness and 798 were filed in order to make material changes in the registration statement.

The Commission will continue to study its processes with the aim of further reductions in the total elapsed time for registration, and it has every indication that this may be accomplished. The figures as to the time required for registration in the 1948 fiscal year are presented in the following table:

Time elapsed in registration process-1948 fiscal year

	1947				1948							
	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar,	Apr.	May	June
Total registration statements effective during month (number) Elapsed time (median number of days): From date of filing	44	15	39	44	50	43	29	25	43	37	38	39
registration statement to first letter of comment. From date of letter of comment to first	10	12	10	9	10	11	11	9	10	10	10	10
amendment by reg- istrant	7	10	8	· 7	7	12	7.	14	7	8	7	7
istration	6	10	6	5	5	7	4	4	5	7	7	5
Total median elapsed time (days)	23	32	24	21	22	30	22	27	22	25	24	22

813892-49--2

THE VOLUME OF SECURITIES REGISTERED

Volume of All Securities Registered in Fiscal Year

Total registered______\$6, 404, 633, 000 \$6, 732, 447, 000

The amount of securities effectively registered during the 1948 fiscal year was 5 percent less than the amount registered in the 1947 fiscal year and 9 percent less than the peak of \$7,073,280,000 established in the 1946 fiscal year.

The volume registered in the 1948 fiscal year was distributed over 435 registration statements covering 559 issues, as compared with 493 statements covering 686 issues for the 1947 fiscal year.

Volume of Securities Registered for Cash Sale

A. ALL SECURITIES

	19	48	1947		
Registered				•	
for cash sale for ac- counts of issuers Registered for cash sale for ac- counts of	\$5, 032, 199, 000		\$4 , 87 4 , 141, 000		
others than issuers	209, 102, 000		397, 029, 000		
Total	registered for saleregistered for	\$5, 241, 301, 000	·	\$5, 271, 170, 000	
other	than cash sale_	1, 163, 332, 000	1	1, 461, 277, 000	
Total o	of all registered	\$6, 404, 633, 000		\$6, 732, 447, 000	

B. STOCKS AND BONDS REGISTERED FOR CASH SALE FOR THE ACCOUNTS OF ISSUERS

			•
Equity secu- rities other	948	. 19	47
than pre- ferred stock \$1,678, 127,000 Preferred		\$1, 150, 330, 000	
stock 536, 942, 000		786, 866, 000	
. Total all stock			\$1,937,196,000 2,936,945,000
Total	\$5, 032, 199, 000		\$4, 874, 141, 000

The volume of securities registered for cash sale for the accounts of the issuers in the 1948 fiscal year was greater than that for the prior year. A small decrease in the volume of bonds was more than compensated for by an increase in the volume of stocks which brought the volume of stocks to within 5 percent of the highest volume of stocks

 $^{^{1}}$ This figure differs from the 440 shown in the table on p. 8 due to difference in the classification as to the time of effectiveness of registration statements. See footnote 2 to appendix table 1 for details.

registered for cash sale for the accounts of issuers in the 1946 fiscal year. From September 1934 through June 1947, new money purposes represented 26 percent of the net proceeds expected from the sale of issues registered for the accounts of the issuers. In the 1948 fiscal year, new money purposes were 81 percent of the expected net proceeds for the year—large enough to raise the 14-year average over seven points to 33 percent.²

C. ALL SECURITIES REGISTERED FOR CASH SALE FOR THE ACCOUNTS OF ISSUERS—BY TYPE OF ISSUER

Type of issuer	1948	1947
Transportation and communication companies 1_	\$1,674,528,000	\$1, 190, 814, 000
Electric, gas, and water companies	1,606,551,000	1, 214, 346, 000
Manufacturing companies	872, 471, 000	1, 266, 055, 000
Financial and investment companies		• 714, 529, 000
Merchandising companies		201, 373, 000
Extractive companies		15, 685, 000
Service companies	20, 498, 000	16, 109, 000
Construction and real estate companies		8, 125, 000
Foreign governments		247, 105, 000

Total ______\$5, 032, 199, 030 \$4, 874, 141, 000 \$Does not include companies subject to regulation by the Interstate Commerce Commission and therefore exempted from registration.

Registrations of securities for cash sale by transportation and communication companies in the 1948 fiscal year established a new high for the group exceeding by 41 percent the previous high established in the 1947 fiscal year, and accounted for a third of the total. The amount of such registrations by the electric, gas, and water group, almost equal to that for the transportation and communication group, represented an increase of 32 percent from its amount for the 1947 fiscal year. Financial and investment companies registered 9 percent more and manufacturing companies 31 percent less than in the 1947 fiscal year. Bonds of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development are included in the figures for the financial and investment companies group.

D. USE OF INVESTMENT BANKERS AS TO SECURITIES REGISTERED FOR CASH SALE FOR THE ACCOUNTS OF ISSUERS

. 1948	8 ' 192	7
Amount registered to be sold t	hrough investment bankers:	
Under agree.		
ments to pur-	·	
chase for re-		
sale \$3, 016, 544, 000	\$3, 333, 621, 000	•
Under agree-	•	
ments to use		
"best_efforts"		
to sell 759, 791, 000	697, 123, 000	
Matal madatawa a ta da da		
Total registered to be sold	10 FEA OPF 000	
through investment bankers_ §	\$3, 776, 335, 000	\$4, 030, 744 , 000
Total registered to be sold		
directly to investors by is-	1 000 000	
suers	1, 255, 865, 000	843, 397, 000
Total \$	55, 032, 199, 000	\$4, 874, 141, 000
	•	1 / /

² See also appendix table 1; part 3.

In the 1948 fiscal year, investment bankers were used for the sale of 75 percent of the total securities registered for cash sale for the accounts of issuers as compared with 83 percent in the 1947 fiscal year. Commitments by investment bankers to purchase for resale involved 60 percent of the total registered for cash sale for the accounts of issuers, as compared with 68 percent in the 1947 fiscal year.3

E. COST OF FLOTATION OF SECURITIES REGISTERED FOR CASH SALE FOR THE ACCOUNTS OF ISSUERS

The cost of flotation of securities registered for primary cash distribution, as reported in the registration statements for such securities, amounted to 6.1 percent of the aggregate dollar volume of such securi-A further break-down of this 6.1 percent indicates that 5.5 percent represented commissions and discounts and 0.6 percent all other expenses incidental to the flotation of the securities, including all costs relative to registration. A study of the portion of aggregate gross proceeds paid as compensation to investment bankers on securities registered for sale to the general public through such bankers reveals a downward trend for bonds but a sharp interruption of the downward trend for preferred stock in the 1948 fiscal year and a slightly higher rate for common stock in 1948 than in the five previous vears.

	_		_
Compensation—Percent	nf	aras	nroceeds
Component i or come	~,	9.000	p. ccccas

Year ended June 30	Bonds	Preferred stock	Common stock
939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947	2.0 1.9 1.8 1.5 1.7 1.5 1.7 1.5 1.9	6. 4 7.2 4.1 3.6 3.1 3.1 2.8	16.5 16.4 10.1 9.5 8.1 9.5 8.1

In general, a trend similar to that noted in the table may be noted with respect to bonds, subdivided on the basis of the investment risk involved.4

ALL NEW SECURITIES OFFERED FOR CASH SALE 5

Registered Securities

Securities effectively registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and actually offered for cash sale during the 1948 fiscal year were at approximately the same level as the preceding year. The amounts of such offerings, valued at actual offering prices, are as follows:6

³ See appendix tables 1 and 2 for a more detailed break-down of the dollar volume of Securities Act registrations.

⁴ Details of these data are to be found in part 2 of table 2 of this report and of the Eleventh and Ninth Annual Reports.

⁵ See appendix table 3 for a detailed statistical break-down of the volume of all securities offered for cash sale in the United States. Footnote 1 of that table gives a description of the statistical spins.

the statistical series.

The figures given in this section exclude securities sold through continuous offering, such as issues of open-end investment companies and employee-purchase plans, because complete data on sales of these securities are not currently available:

· ·	1948	1947
Corporate (excluding investment cos.) Noncorporate (foreign gov't and Int'l Bank)	\$3, 758, 000, 000 249, 000, 000	\$3, 733, 000, 000 247, 000, 000
Total registered securities offered	\$4,007,000,000	\$4, 080, 000, 000

Unregistered Securities

CORPORATE

Some \$3,332,000,000 of unregistered new corporate securities are known to have been offered for cash sale by issuers in the 1948 fiscal year as compared with \$2,529,000,000 in the 1947 fiscal year. The basis for exemption of these securities from registration is as follows:

Basis for exemption from registration:	1948	1947
Privately placed issues	\$2,701,000,000	\$2,058,000,000
Railroads and other common carriers	451, 000, 000	292, 000, 000
Commercial bank issues	24, 000, 000	26, 000, 000
Intrastate offerings	8, 000, 000	9,000,000
Offerings under regulation A ¹	141,000,000	143, 000, 000
Other exemptions	7, 000, 000	1, 000, 000
		
Total	\$3, 332, 000, 000	\$2, 529, 000, 000

 $^{^1}$ Includes only offerings between $\$100,\!000$ and $\$300,\!000$ in size. See p. 9 for a more detailed discussion of Regulation A offers.

NONCORPORATE

The total of unregistered governmental and eleemosynary securities offered for cash sale in the United States was \$11,897,000,000 as compared with \$12,387,000,000 in the 1947 fiscal year. These totals consist of the following:

Issuer:	1948	1947
United States Government	\$9, 349, 000, 000	\$10, 264, 000, 000
Federal agencies	_ 0	140, 000, 000
States and municipalities	_ 2, 544, 000, 000	1, 977, 000, 000
Miscellaneous nonprofit organizations	4, 000, 000	6, 000, 000
		
. Total	\$11, 897, 000, 000	\$12, 387, 000, 000

Total of Registered and Unregistered Securities

The volume of all corporate securities effered for cash sale rose to \$7,090,000,000 in the 1948 fiscal year, the increase from the preceding year being due chiefly to the larger amount of securities placed privately. Offerings in the noncorporate category declined moderately, reflecting a substantial decline in sales of United States savings bonds more than offsetting an increase in State and municipal offerings. Comparable figures for the 1948 and 1947 fiscal years are:

	1948	1947
Corporate		\$6, 262, 000, 000
Noncorporate	12, 146, 000, 000	12, 634, 000, 000
Total securities	\$19, 236, 000, 000	\$18, 996, 000, 000

New Capital and Refinancing

Proceeds from corporate securities flotations, both registered and unregistered, applicable to expansion of fixed and working capital amounted to \$5,638,000,000 compared with \$4,066,000,000 for the 1947

Where a security may have been exempted, the security was counted only once.

fiscal year. It is estimated that the dollar volume of new money financing by corporations, excluding investment trusts, holding companies, and other financial organizations, is at the highest level in our history, exceeding even the large amount of new capital flotations in the late twenties. Public utility companies (including telephone) accounted for 50 percent of the new money financing, industrial and miscellaneous firms for 44 percent, and railroad companies for 6 percent. The volume of refinancing through new issues of securities declined to \$1,130,000,000 compared with \$2,055,000,000 for the 1947 fiscal year and \$5,310,000,000 for the 1946 fiscal year.

REGISTRATION STATEMENTS FILED

There were 449 registration statements filed in the 1948 fiscal year covering proposed offerings in the aggregate amount of \$6,149,704,288. The corresponding figures in the previous year were 567 statements and \$6,334,388,303 in offerings of securities. Comparative figures as to statements filed and their disposition, and data as to other filings with the Commission under the act, are given in the tables below.

Number and disposition of registration statements filed

	Prior to July 1, 1947	July 1, 1947, to June 30, 1948	Total as of June 30, 1948
Registration statements: Filed.	7, 139	` 449	7, 588
Effective Under stop or refusal order. Withdrawn Pending June 30, 1047.	1,036	1 440 2 57	² 6, 258 ² 182 1, 093
Pending June 30, 1948. Aggregate dollar amount: As filed. As effective.	\$46, 688, 527, 742 \$42, 375, 702, 846	\$6, 149, 704, 288 \$6, 404, 633, 217	55 \$52, 838, 232, 030 \$48, 780, 336, 063

¹ Excludes 6 registration statements which became effective and were subsequently withdrawn.
2 Two registration statements which became effective prior to July 1, 1947, were placed under stop order and 5 registration statements which became effective prior to July 1, 1947, were withdrawn and are counted in the order and withdrawns, regressively

in stop orders and withdrawals, respectively.

Jone registration statement which was under stop order prior to July 1, 1947, was withdrawn during the year and is counted in the number of withdrawn statements.

Additional documents filed in the 1948 fiscal year under the act

7	Vumber
Material amendments to registration statements filed before the effective date of registration	798
Formal amendments filed before the effective date of registration for the purpose of delaying the effective date	
Material amendments filed after the effective date of registration	565
Total amendments to registration statements	2, 343
Supplemental prospectus material, not classified as amendments to registration statements	1, 131
Reports filed under section 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 pursuant to undertakings contained in registration statements under the Securities Act of 1933:	·
Annual reportsCurrent reports	680 298

⁸ See appendix table 4 for statistics in greater detail as to the use of net proceeds from the sale of securities.

A break-down showing the number and dollar amount involved in statements filed during each of the last four 6-month periods appears below:

6-month period	Number of statements	Dollar amount
July-December 1946. January-June 1947. July-December 1947. January-June 1948.	306 261 230 219	\$3, 170, 181, 321 3, 764, 206, 982 3, 095, 915, 944 3, 053, 788, 344

EXEMPTION FROM REGISTRATION UNDER THE ACT

The Commission is empowered under section 3 (b) of the act to exempt from registration, subject to such terms and conditions as it might prescribe by rule and regulation, issues of securities not exceeding an aggregate offering price to the public of \$300,000. Five regulations have been adopted pursuant to this authority: Regulation A, a general exemption for small issues; regulation A-R, a special exemption for notes and bonds secured by first liens on family dwellings; regulation A-M, a special exemption for assessable shares of stock of mining companies; regulation B, an exemption for fractional undivided interests in oil or gas rights; and regulation B-T, an exemption for interests in oil royalty trusts or similar types of trusts or unincorporated associations.

The availability of an exemption under any of these regulations does not include any exemption from civil liabilities under section 12 or from criminal liabilities for fraud under section 17. In order to insure the proper enforcement of these sections, the conditions for the availability of the exemptions provided by these regulations, with the exception of regulation A-R, include the requirements that certain minimum information be filed with the Commission and that disclosure of certain information be made in sales literature.

Exempt Offerings Under Regulation A

The trend of business to make greater use of public offerings under regulation A continued during the fiscal year. In the 1948 fiscal year 1,610 letters of notification were filed and examined under the regulation, compared to 1,513 in the 1947 fiscal year. At the same time the aggregate offering price of the securities covered by these letters of notification remained about the same, decreasing slightly from \$210,791,114 in the 1947 fiscal year to \$209,485,794 in the 1948 fiscal year. A major increase is noted in connection with companies engaged in the oil and mining industries. Companies engaged in various phases of the oil and gas business filed 101 letters of notification in the 1948 fiscal year for a total of \$12,797,478 in securities and oil and gas leases. In the 1947 fiscal year they filed 68 letters of notification for \$8,660,261. Mining companies filed 181 letters of notification in the 1948 fiscal year for a total of \$18,594,453 in securities:

The relative size of proposed offerings last year under regulation A is reflected in the following distribution of 1,594 letters of notification

 $^{^{\}rm 0}$ Inasmuch as no reports or filings are required under this regulation, no statistical data as to its application and use are available.

(omitting data as to 16 which were incomplete and subsequently withdrawn): First group, 851 of \$100,000 or less; second group, 324 of more than \$100,000 but less than \$200,000; and third group, 419 of more than \$200,000 but not more than the statutory maximum of \$300,000. Of these 1,594 offerings, 1,392 were made by issuers, distributed generally through all size groups; 186 by stockholders, all occurring in the first group; and the remaining 16 jointly by the issuer and a stockholder.

In 930 cases the offerings were to be made without use of an underwriter. An underwriter was to be used in the remaining 664 cases. Of these, a commercial underwriter was to be employed in 486 cases, distributed by size as follows: First group, 262; second group, 84; and third group, 140. In 178 cases officers and directors of the issuer or other persons not regularly engaged in the underwriting business were to be used as underwriters.

The regulation makes provision for the filing of the requisite letter of notification at the appropriate regional office of the Commission for the greater convenience of small businesses making use of this regulation. The letters of notification and the related sales literature are examined in the regional office where filed and then reviewed by a staff of experts at the Commission's central office. This review involves a search for pertinent information in the Commission's extensive files and an examination to determine whether the exemption of the regulation is applicable in the particular case and whether the information filed discloses any violations of any of the acts administered by the Commission. The results of this review are made available promptly to the regional office involved; 1,916 letters were written in this connection during the fiscal year, in addition to the numerous letters written by the various regional offices, which have the primary responsibility as to offerings under regulation A. Further, the Commission cooperates with the proper authorities in the States in which the securities are proposed to be offered by informing them of the fact that the offering is to be made and giving them a summary of pertinent data concerning the proposed offer.

It should be emphasized that the exemption from registration provided by regulation A, as well as by the other exemptions granted under section 3 (b), does not constitute complete exemption from all provisions of the act. Thus, these exemptions are subject to the express provisions of section 12 imposing civil liability on persons who sell securities in interstate commerce or through the mails by means of untrue statements or misleading omissions, and to the provisions of section 17, which makes it unlawful to sell securities by such means or by other types of fraud. By their express terms, each of these sections is applicable whether or not the transactions involve securities which have been exempted under section 3 (b). Accordingly, the principal effect of a section 3 (b) exemption is to permit the sale of small issues of securities on the basis of a less complete formal filing than that required by the act in the case of a registered security.

Exempt Offerings Under Regulation A-M

Last year the Commission received and examined a total of seven prospectuses covering an aggregate offering price of \$241,346 for assessable shares of stock of mining corporations conditionally exempted from registration pursuant to rule 240 of regulation A-M.

Exempt Offerings Under Regulation B

Promotions in oil and gas securities increased considerably during the past year. This expansion is reflected especially in the sharp increase in the number of filings under regulation A which cover oil and gas stock offerings as mentioned above. In addition to the 101 offerings in the 1948 fiscal year under regulation A relating to oil and gas securities, 87 offering sheets were received and examined under regulation B. Regulation B provides for the conditional exemption from registration of fractional undivided interests in oil and gas rights where the aggregate offering price does not exceed \$100,000. The following actions were taken with respect to these offering sheets:

Various actions on filings under regulation B:

Temporary suspension orders (rule 340 (a))	18
Orders terminating proceeding after amendment	11
Orders consenting to withdrawal of offering sheet and terminating proceeding	.2
Orders terminating effectiveness of offering sheet (no proceeding pending)	3
Orders consenting to amendment of offering sheet (no proceeding pending)	44
Orders consenting to withdrawal of offering sheet (no proceeding pending)	2
Total orders	80

Confidential written reports of sales under regulation B.—Offerors seeking exemption pursuant to regulation B are required under rules 320 (e) and 322 (c) and (d) to file with the Commission written reports of sales actually made by broker-dealers or offerors to individual investors and by dealers to other dealers. In the 1948 fiscal year 3,088 reports were received and examined under these provisions. Of these, 2,990 reports were on Form 1-G and 98 on Form 2-G, representing aggregate sales of \$823,259 and \$264,608 respectively. These reports are to be kept confidential, under the rules, unless the Commission orders otherwise.

Oil and gas investigations.—The Commission's technical oil and gas staff made a number of analytical studies in the course of the year leading to the preparation of valuation estimates and technical memoranda, including the preparation of comprehensive charts relating estimated oil recoveries to past production of interests sold by royalty dealers, both for individual tracts and entire fields covering such tracts in various locations from the Great Lakes to the Gulf of Mexico. Studies of this kind form a significant part of the staff's work in conducting the oil and gas investigations which are made to determine whether there have been any violations of sections 5 (requiring registration) or 17 (prohibiting fraudulent sales) of the Securities Act or section 15 of the Securities Exchange Act (regulating the conduct of brokers and dealers) in the sale of oil and gas securities.

During the year 13 such oil and gas investigations were instituted by the Commission, making a total of 143 current during the year; 12 investigations were closed during the year and 131 were pending at the end of the year. In connection with these investigations, the Commission's staff prepared some 1,175 technical letters, reports, and memoranda, and conducted nearly 250 personal and telephone conferences during the Year. In addition, a special unit which has been

established within the Tulsa regional office prepared about 650 such

letters, reports, and memoranda.

Four of these investigations led to an injunction against the persons concerned from violating the registration and fraud provisions of the Securities Act. In a fifth case the facts were referred to the Department of Justice for possible criminal prosecution. An indictment has been returned in a sixth case.

An example of the manner in which the Commission is sometimes called upon to render technical assistance in litigation arising out of these oil and gas investigations may be cited in the Grayson case, which involved the sale of oil royalties. Stanley Grayson had been convicted in the United States District Court, Southern District, New York, in early 1947 and had entered an appeal. Before the hearing on the appeal, a petroleum engineer of the Commission's staff was called upon to advise the assistant United States attorney as to whether, in view of the sharp increase in the price of oil, the interests sold by Grayson might return the original investment. After the circuit court of appeals had remanded the case for retrial, this engineer made a 3-week field trip to reexamine physically certain of the oil properties involved and to locate witnesses who could furnish primary factual evidence. The assistant United States attorney accompanied the Commission's engineer on a part of this trip and was convinced that, notwithstanding the greatly increased price of crude oil, the properties in which interests had been sold by Grayson would not produce sufficient oil to return the investment. Grayson pleaded guilty rather than face retrial and was sentenced on June 8, 1948, to a year and a day in prison, and he was placed on probation for a period of 3 years after release from imprisonment during which time he is prohibited from engaging in any manner in the sale of securities.

FORMAL ACTIONS UNDER SECTION 8

The Commission employs its various informal procedures, such as conferences and the letter of comment, to insure that a registration statement shall comply with the requirements of the act before it becomes effective. In almost all cases this practice has been found to be sufficient both for the needs of the registrant and for the adequate protection of investors. It is sometimes necessary, however, for the Commission to exercise its powers under section 8 in order to prevent a registration statement from becoming effective in deficient or misleading form or to suspend the effectiveness of a registration statement which has already become effective.

Under section 8 (b) the Commission may institute proceedings to determine whether it should issue an order to prevent a registration statement from becoming effective. Such proceedings are authorized if the registration statement as filed is on its face inaccurate or incomplete in any material respect. Under section 8 (d), proceedings may be instituted at any time to determine whether the Commission should issue a stop order to suspend the effectiveness of a registration statement if it appears to the Commission that the registration statement includes any untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state any material fact required to be stated or otherwise necessary to make the statements included not misleading. Under section 8 (e) the

Commission may make an examination to determine whether to issue

a stop order under section 8 (d).

In the 1948 fiscal year the Commission instituted two examinations under section 8 (e) and one proceeding under section 8 (d). In the 1947 fiscal year seven examinations and five proceedings were instituted.

Examinations Under Section 8 (e)

Where examinations are conducted by the Commission under section 8 (e) it is the practice to direct that the proceedings be held privately to prevent any injury that might be done to a registrant through adverse publicity if, after the examination, it is determined that no violation of law has been committed. The Commission does, however, have the power to hold such examinations in public and may, after the close of a private proceeding, order that the record be made public. Both of the two examinations ordered to be held in the current year were held in private. One of these was still pending at the close of the year. In the second case the record of the examination was made public.¹⁰

Stop-Order Proceedings Under Section 8 (d)

Two stop-order proceedings were pending at the beginning of the

year and one other was instituted during the year.

Globe Aircraft Corp.—File No. 3-6204.—This case was pending at the beginning of the year and is discussed in full at page 16 of the Thirteenth Annual Report. As a result of the proceeding the Commission issued a stop order suspending the effectiveness of the registration statement on the basis of the misleading nature of its contents. The formal opinion of the Commission was published in Securities Act Release No. 3255 (1947). For a fuller discussion of this case see the section herein on activities of the Commission in accounting and auditing at page 108.

Kiwago Gold Mines, Ltd.—File No. 2-6852.—This case also was pending at the beginning of the year and is described fully at page 18 of the Thirteenth Annual Report. The Commission issued a stop order during the year suspending the effectiveness of the registration statement. A formal opinion was published in Securities Act Release

No. 3278 (1948).

Thomascolor, Inc.—File No. 2-7142.—Proceedings in this case were instituted during the fiscal year. The company filed a registration statement on July 9, 1947, relating to a proposed public offering of 1,000,000 shares of \$5 par value class A common stock at a price of \$10 per share. The underwriter did not contract to purchase the stock but only to use his best efforts in its sale. Stop-order proceedings were instituted on September 2, 1947, after extensive preliminary investigation, including consultation with technical experts, into the accuracy and adequacy of the information filed in the registration statement, much of which related to complex and technical aspects of color photography. Hearings in the matter were started on September 16, 1947, and the record was closed on October 20, 1947.

The order for proceeding alleged that the registration statement contained material misstatements and omissions of fact and it con-

¹⁰ Securities Act Release No. 3277 (1948).

photography.

tained a complete statement as to such deficiencies. Generally, they were of four types: First, the statement of the proposed allocation of proceeds from the sale of the stock was incomplete and inaccurate; second, the registrant made false claims as to the technical nature of the process it was going to exploit; third, there was a failure to make adequate and accurate disclosure of the history of the registrant and of the relationship of various individuals to the registrant; and fourth, the financial statements included in the registration statement were highly misleading in certain respects.

During the course of these proceedings the registrant admitted that the proposed allocation of proceeds appearing in the registration statement was incomplete and inaccurate. The amended registration statement sets forth a complete revision in the allocation of proceeds and contains a full discussion of their proposed application. It is indicated that the initial proceeds of the offering will be used to pay liabilities of the registrant, consisting primarily of organization and stock issue expense and legal fees, estimated to exceed \$300,000.

Among the claims contained in the original registration statement thereafter admitted to be false by the registrant and deleted in the amended registration statement were misrepresentations to the effect that the Thomascolor process is a new system of color photography offering many advantages over existing processes; that Thomascolor can be employed under substantially the same conditions as ordinary black and white photography; that the registrant proposed to exploit first the motion-picture field; that its devices could be readily and widely used in connection with motion picture cameras and projectors; that its process offered great possibilities in the field of color television; and that its process had a ready market in the field of amateur

In striking contrast to these claims the record reveals and the registration statement, as amended, discloses that the principle of the Thomascolor process is old in the art of color photography and has been wholly or in part abandoned or supplanted by other processes and techniques; that the Thomascolor process requires the use of special devices which cannot be attached to standard motion picture cameras and projectors without substantial modifications and reengineering; that registrant's entry into the motion picture field is conjectural because of the grave problems arising from the technical limitations of some of its devices and the very serious economic problem arising from the necessary conversion of existing equipment; that the registrant will devote itself principally to color printing and publishing and still color projection; that registrant does not now represent that its process will have any application in the field of amateur or ordinary portrait photography; and that for the present the registrant intends only to conduct research in the field of television and that there is no assurance that a technically or commercially feasible process will result.

The facts developed during the proceedings show that the original registration statement did not disclose adequately and accurately the character of the various enterprises preceding the organization of the registrant. The record shows that the various predecessor organizations, which were controlled by Richard Thomas, who was instrumental in organizing the registrant and who controlled it, were primarily

promotional and development organizations; that they had not produced on a commercial basis; and that at most they had constructed only prototypes of a few of the devices that the registrant proposed to market. In describing the transactions leading to the acquisition of the assets of the predecessor interests, the registration statement failed to make adequate disclosure of the nature of the relationships or identity of the individuals involved or of the method of determining the amount of consideration paid for the assets and, by references to formal agreements and offers and acceptances, implied that there had been arm's-length bargaining in the various transactions between the three predecessor organizations and the registrant.

The financial statements contained in the registration statement, as originally filed, were highly misleading in the disclosures and accounting treatment of registrant's stock issued for intangibles. The significance of this matter is evident from the fact that these intangibles amounted to \$2,014,941.03 out of total assets shown in the balance sheet aggregating \$2,551,583.40. The balance sheet was amended to carry patents and patent applications at the nominal amount of \$1, and all of the remainder of the \$2,014,941.03 was then displayed under the caption: "Other intangibles—Excess of par or stated value of stock issued over net tangible assets acquired on May 20, 1947 (Note 2)." In brief, the financial statements included in the original registration statement overstated the registrant's assets and minimized, if it did not deliberately conceal, information that would have fairly disclosed the true nature of the assets which the registrant represented would be used to conduct its business.

During the course of and after the close of the hearings in the section 8 (d) proceedings, the registrant filed substantial amendments which appeared to correct satisfactorily the material misrepresentations and The Commission thereafter dismissed the proceedings and issued an opinion commenting, in the public interest and for the protection of investors, upon certain facts developed in the proceedings and discussing the Commission's action in this case and the limitation of its jurisdiction.11 In this opinion the Commission also warned the prospective investor of the danger of relying on past judgment based on magazine articles or other earlier publicity, in view of the admission in the proceedings that certain of such publicity contained materially false and misleading information, and pointed out the need for careful study of the amended registration statement and prospectus. The registration statement was permitted to become effective after adequate dissemination of the corrected prospectus had been made and sufficient time had elapsed since the release of the Commis-Subsequently, the registrant filed an amendment to sion's opinion. its registration statement for the purpose of withdrawing registration for all but 100 shares of the stock originally registered.

DISCLOSURES RESULTING FROM EXAMINATION OF REGISTRATION STATEMENTS

In its examination of registration statements the staff of the Commission seeks not only to insure that the registration statement contain the information required by the act but also that the information

¹¹ Securities Act release No. 3267 (1948).

be clearly stated in a simple and not misleading manner and, to the extent possible, that the information be accurate. Due to the experience of the staff and to the availability of information about many companies in the Commission's extensive files, it is often possible for the staff to detect omissions of material facts, misstatements of material facts, and statements of minor facts made in such form as to give exaggerated and unwarranted importance to the facts out of proportion to their materiality. In addition, the staff may request a more simple statement of complex information so that it might be most readily understood by the prospective investor. In most cases a registrant makes the necessary amendments to its registration statement. The following brief case histories are examples of the failure of registrants to make adequate and accurate disclosure discovered by the staff after examination of the registration statements involved.

Failure To Disclose Price Differential

A foreign gold mining exploration and development company filed a registration statement for 666,667 shares of common stock of \$1 par value. The stock was to be offered at 42.84 cents a share. However, the stock was listed on a foreign stock exchange, where it was then currently quoted at approximately half of the price at which the stock was to be offered to investors in the United States. In letters and conferences the Commission's staff pointed out to counsel for the registrant that this wide price discrepancy raised some doubt as to whether the registrant intended to make a bona fide offering accompanied by full disclosure of all relevant facts. The registrant subsequently made other arrangements for its financing and, shortly after the close of the fiscal year, filed an application for the withdrawal of its registration statement. This company had, in addition, failed to make adequate disclosure of its underwriting arrangements.

Exclusion of Exaggerated Claims

A company which intended to exploit an industrial process made many claims in its registration statement as to the nature of the process, its use, its application in various fields, and the availability of a market. The registration statement was thereafter amended to show that the process was not new, that it had been wholly or partly abandoned in favor of other processes and techniques, that the registrant does not represent that the process would have any application in certain fields, and that the registrant would devote itself for the present only to research and that there is no assurance that a technically or commercially feasible process would result. This case is described in greater detail in this report under the section discussing stop-order proceedings under section 8, above.

Sale of Stock at Different Prices

A foreign mining company filed a registration statement for 700,000 shares of common stock at \$1 per share. The company amended its statement to disclose, in connection with the statement of the offering price on the cover page of the prospectus, that the offering price had been arbitrarily determined. In addition it filed an amendment to disclose that during the 2-year period prior to the filing of the registration statement the company had sold the stock at from 3.4 cents to 30 cents per share, and that during such period the price in the

foreign over-the-counter market had ranged from $27\frac{1}{2}$ cents to 45 cents per share. In addition, the registrant indicated that it also knew of subsequent sales at prices from 35 cents to 60 cents per share.

Disclosure of Speculative Hazards

A foreign mining company filed a registration statement for 333,333 shares of capital stock of \$1 nominal value at an offering price of 30 cents a share. After examination of the statement by the staff the registrant inserted under the heading "Introductory statement" a summary of the speculative and unusual aspects of the offering, so that the registration statement now includes the disclosures mentioned below.

The registrant has no operating history and the offering price of 30 cents a share was predicated solely upon future possibilities, as to

which no representations are made.

The proposed financing will serve only to conduct preliminary exploration which, at best, will enable the registrant to decide whether additional exploratory work would be warranted, and, if such additional work becomes warranted, further financing will be required, possibly to be followed by still further financing.

No underwriter has contracted to purchase the stock and if only a portion of the offering is sold, the registrant has no further plans for financing. In such event the registrant might not have sufficient

funds to carry through an adequate exploration program.

The registrant had offered \$35,000 shares of stock for sale at only 15 cents a share after November 21, 1946, and only 131,000 shares were sold. No new developments in the registrant's business outlook justify an increase of 100 percent in the offering price over the last previous offering price.

The underwriting commission and advertising expenses will consume 32½ percent of the offering price and, after estimated total distribution expenses of approximately 36½ percent, only approximately 63½ percent of the gross proceeds from the proposed offering based upon the offering price will be invested in the registrant's business.

The three original promoters paid an average price of 4.59 cents per share for the 960,000 common shares acquired by them and still retain 361,750 of such shares costing them 2.53 cents per share, and another promoter owns 158,075 shares which cost him nothing in money. These promoters as a group will, after the proposed financing, retain 32.6 percent of the voting control through the ownership of shares costing them an average of 1.76 cents a share. These four promoters, on the basis of the proposed public offering price, would have a book profit of \$146,794.75 or 28.24 cents a share.

CHANGES IN RULES, REGULATIONS, AND FORMS

Rules, regulations, and forms adopted by the Commission must be flexible to meet changing business conditions. Further, experience has shown that any procedure for compliance with a regulatory statute is made most simple, economical, and expedient for those who must comply if each type of situation is recognized and specific provision made for its particular need. To assure these results the Commission has long made it a practice to maintain a continuous review of its procedures in the light of current conditions. Changes often result,

either by reason of recommendations made by the staff or, as is frequently the case, at the suggestion of persons who must comply with the statute. No material change is made without a series of conferences with all persons interested in or who might be affected by the contemplated change. Changes made or planned during the 1948 fiscal year in the rules, regulations, and forms under the Securities Act are described below. The accounting aspects of some of these changes are discussed in Part IX of this report in the section concerning the Commission's activities in accounting and auditing.

Proposed Rule 431-Definition of Prospectus

Information about a proposed issue of securities may be given the most widespread publicity through use of the preeffective prospectus under the provisions of rule 131. This prospectus, necessarily, does not contain certain information about the security, such as the finally determined selling price, information about underwriting agreements, and such other data not normally available before the time when the securities are actually offered for sale. In present practice complete data about the security are included in the final prospectus and this prospectus, if accurate, is the one actually used in the course of the sale. In order to avoid the necessity of printing both the preeffective and final prospectuses, as well as the duplications involved in two deliveries and the delay in time attendant upon such a procedure, the Commission took under consideration a rule intended to prevent these results.

The proposed rule applies only to offerings by an issuer to its stockholders. Under it the information normally omitted from the preeffective prospectus could be added to that prospectus by the issuer in the form of a short document containing the missing data. document and the preeffective prospectus could then together constitute the final prospectus. The proposed rule contains certain safeguards to prevent abuse of the procedure, to assure the full protection of the act to investors, and to permit adequate inspection by the Commission of the preeffective prospectus and the supplemental document. These safeguards provide: (1) That the preeffective prospectus be incorporated by reference into and be made part of the document; (2) that a copy of such prospectus was sent or given, in compliance with rule 131, to the person to whom the document is sent or given; and, (3) that the document is sent or given to the stockholder within 20 days of the time when he was sent or given a copy of the proposed form of prospectus. Shortly after the close of the fiscal year the Commission formulated these provisions in proposed rule 431 and issued the rule for public comment in Securities Act Release No. 3300.

Rule 409—Disclaimer of Responsibility

Under rule 409 a registrant may omit information from a registration statement if the information is unknown or not reasonably available to the registrant. In such cases, the present rule provides, in essence, that the registrant shall furnish the best information available to it under the circumstances. In addition, the rule permits the registrant to include in the registration statement a disclaimer of responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of such information. The proposed amendment would strike from the rule the provision permitting this disclaimer of responsibility. The purpose of

this amendment is to protect the prospective investor against inaccurate or incomplete information. The proposal was widely circulated by the Commission in Securities Act Release No. 3296 (1948).

Rule 424—Preeffective Prospectus

Rule 131 provides for the use of a preeffective prospectus in order to give information about a prospective issue of securities the widest possible circulation. The preeffective prospectus must comply substantially with requirements applicable to the proposed final prospectus as filed with the Commission, but there is no provision for filing the preeffective prospectus actually used. In order to provide the Commission with copies of such prospectus for its administrative functions and to make them available for inspection by the general public, the Commission proposes to amend rule 424 (which pertains to the filing of prospectuses with the Commission) in order to require the filing of preeffective prospectuses with the Commission.

Proposed Amendments to Regulation S-X

Regulation S-X contains the Commission's rules respecting the form and content of financial statements filed with the Commission under various of the statutes which it administers. The purpose of the proposed amendments, set out in Securities Act Release No. 3294 (1948), is to provide rules as to the financial statements of commercial, industrial, and mining companies in the promotional, exploratory, or development stage. A full discussion of these proposals appears in Part IX of this report under the section on the Commission's activities in accounting and auditing.

Changes in Forms for Registration

Form S-2 had been used for the registration of securities of certain corporations having simple corporate structures. This form was revised to provide a simple registration form for commercial and industrial companies still in the development stage. The change permits use of Form S-2 by companies previously limited to Form S-12 and certain established companies previously using Form S-2 may now use Form S-1, the form most generally used to register securities. The revision of Form S-2 made it possible for the Commission to rescind Form S-12. See Securities Act Release No. 3247 (1947).

Form C-1 had been used for some time as a registration form for securities of unincorporated investment trusts of the fixed or restricted management type. The subsequent adoption of other forms for the securities of such trusts made Form C-1 obsolete and it was rescinded during the year. See Securities Act Release No. 3247 (1947).

Form S-3 is used to register securities of mining corporations in the promotional stage. Originally, the form required the inclusion of certified financial statements as of a date within 90 days prior to the date of filing the registration statement. The purpose of the amendment is to permit the filing of uncertified financial statements as of such date if there are also filed certified financial statements as of a date within 1 year prior to the date of filing. See Securities Act Release No. 3269 (1947).

Rule 131, which provides for the use of the preeffective prospectus, had been adopted originally, in December 1946, for a 6-month trial period. In Securities Act Release No. 3240 (1947) the Commission

announced the continuance of the rule in its original form. of the operation of the rule during the 6 months indicated that the rule does facilitate the dissemination of information contained in

Securities Act registration statements.

In Securities Act Release No. 3238 (1947) the Commission announced adoption of Form S-7. This form is designed specifically for the registration of securities issued by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.

LITIGATION UNDER THE ACT

Part of the Commission's enforcement activity under the Securities Act is injunctive action to prevent violations of section 5, which (with certain exemptions) requires registration of securities offered to the public, and section 17, which makes it unlawful to sell securities by fraudulent means. While the Commission's investigation may result in subsequent criminal prosecution, the injunction is used to stop activity immediately and prevent the continuance of violations. Some of the injunction actions instituted last year are pending, but most of them have been successfully concluded.

As a result of a story appearing in a popular magazine a number of financing plans were offered to the public involving the use of Government bonds to guarantee repayment of the investment. This practice has been denounced by the Secretary of the Treasury and this Com-It involves the sale of securities under an arrangement through which \$75 of each \$100 advanced by the investor is used to buy series E bonds in the name of the investor. This investment will be worth \$100 at maturity in 10 years. The other \$25 is invested in the business enterprise sought to be financed. The Commission filed an action to enjoin this practice, S. E. C. v. W. Geoffrey Haynes, 12 alleging that, while the defendant unconditionally guaranteed the return of the original investment, he omitted to inform investors that only one-fourth of the amount would be used in the business enterprise and that three-fourths would be invested in Government bonds. complaint charged violations of both the fraud and registration provisions of the Securities Act. A similar action, S. E. C. v. John Derryberry,13 was instituted to enjoin violations of the registration provisions of the Securities Act and the broker-dealer registration provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Derryberry engaged in the purchase and sale of oil royalties, giving to each investor a \$100 Government bond to guarantee repayment of the investment at the end of 10 years. Again only \$25 of the investor's money went into the oil royalty. The Commission's enforcement staff has been able to deter several promotions of this type without court action and was able to secure full disclosure of the features of the plan in still other cases through filings made with the Commission.

The Commission filed a complaint charging Louis A. Montague 14 with violating the registration provisions of the Securities Act in connection with the leasing of apartments in a building under construction. Under the terms of the agreement for lease each applicant was to loan a sum of money to Montague to be repaid within 1 year with

U. S. D. C., E. D. Pennsylvania.
 U. S. D. C., W. D. Louisiana.
 U. S. D. C., District of Columbia.

interest at 5 percent. Upon service of the complaint the defendant immediately discontinued all attempts to offer securities and the action

was dismissed pursuant to stipulation.

S. E. C. v. Petroleum Royalty Corp. 15 and S. E. C. v. Petroleum Southwest Corp. 16 were companion cases involving the activities of one John R. Moroney, who was president of both companies. Judgments were entered enjoining Moroney and the companies from selling unregistered stock. Petroleum Royalty Corp. had been organized to buy and sell oil royalties and Petroleum Southwest Corp. to produce

and sell petroleum products.

Other actions filed by the Commission to enjoin the sale of securities in violation of the registration provisions of the Securities Act included S. E. C. v. Fyre-Mist Inc., 17 involving a company organized for the purported purpose of manufacturing and selling a device for the burning of oil and water to produce enormous heat; S. E. C. v. Amos J. Downs, 18 involving the president of Homestake Le Roi Mining Co. who was selling its common stock without registration; S. E. C. v. Dixieland Petroleum Corp. 19 in which the Commission enjoined the sale of stock which had been issued to the company's president in exchange for oil leases and the sale of additional shares for the company without making the necessary filing; and S. E. C. v. American Silver Corp., 20 in which an injunction was requested to prevent the defendant from selling stock in a new company to be organized for the purpose of taking over the assets of American Silver Co., which was at that time in the bankruptcy court pursuant to a chapter XI proceeding.

In S. E. C. v. Edmond Michel, " the Commission charged violations of both the registration and fraud provisions of the Securities Act in the sale of stock of Larmloc Sales Corp. The complaint charged that the defendants failed to register the stock and that in effecting sales had made numerous false representations, including statements that the Bureau of Narcotics of the Treasury Department had approved the Larmloc device and had recommended its use by dealers in narcotics; that it had been approved by Underwriters Laboratory, Inc.; that use of the lock would reduce burglary insurance rates; and that

the device was in use by banks, hotels, and stores in the Chicago area.

In the case of S. E. C. v. Nye A. Wimer 22 the Commission charged in its complaint for injunction that Wimer was selling unregistered stock of Great Western Exploration Co. and Tennessee-Schuvlkill Corp. by means of false representations concerning the stock. court upheld the right of the Commission to bring the action in Pennsylvania even though the defendant resided in California and had never personally been present in the State of Pennsylvania or the district in which the action was instituted. The court stated that sections 20 and 22 of the Securities Act gave it jurisdiction to hear the case since the sale took place within that district and the defendant had participated in the sale. Other cases in which the Commission charged violation of the fraud provisions of the Securities Act include

U. S. D. C., N. D. Texas.
 U. S. D. C., N. D. Texas.
 U. S. D. C., D. Ohio.
 U. S. D. C., D. Colorado.
 U. S. D. C., S. D. New York.
 U. S. D. C., S. D. California.
 U. S. D. C., N. D. Hlinois.
 U. S. D. C., W. D. Pennsylvania.

S. E. C. v. American Soil Products Co., Inc., 23 and S. E. C. v. Ben Clinton Banner.24

The complaint in S. E. C. v. Metropolitan Mines Corporation, Ltd., 25 was instituted during the 1947 fiscal year but resulted in the entry of a decree during the 1948 fiscal year requiring Metropolitan Mines Corp. to file with the Commission annual reports as required by section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and reports of change of ownership of equity securities by officers and directors as required by section 16 (a) of that act, and enjoining the further sale of securities without compliance with the registration requirements of the Securities Act.

In connection with its investigative function it was necessary for the Commission during the year to institute several actions to enforce Commission subpenas. These subpenas, issued by officers of the Commission in connection with investigative activities, required witnesses to appear and give testimony and in some cases to produce documentary evidence for examination. In each case the Commission was successful in obtaining the desired evidence. These cases were S. E. C. v. Continental-Illinois Bank & Trust Co. of Chicago; S. E. C. v. Edward J. O'Connor; 27 S. E. C. v. M. E. Harrison and Allen Hull, 28 S. E. C. v. Tucker Corp.29

Tucker Corp.—During the past year the Commission instituted a routine investigation relating to certain activities of the Tucker Corp. Incident to the investigation it became necessary to examine certain books and records of the corporation and a request was made to the officers to permit such examination. When the corporation refused

to produce the records a Commission subpena was issued and upon the failure of the corporation to respond to the subpena the Commission authorized the filing of an action in the United States District Court in Chicago to obtain an order requiring compliance with the subpena. Subsequent to the filing of answers and argument on the issues involved, the court entered an order directing the Tucker Corp. to produce the books and records in compliance with the subpena to be examined by an officer of the Commission at the plant of the corporation.

Kaiser-Frazer Corp.—One of the major investigations by the Commission during the fiscal year concerned the collapse of the third offering of common stock of the Kaiser-Frazer Corp. 30 On January 6, 1948, the Kaiser-Frazer Corp. filed with the Commission a registration statement covering a proposed offering of 1,500,000 shares of common stock. Otis & Co., First California Co., and Allen & Co. were named as underwriters. Delaying amendments were filed by the issuer to prevent the statement from becoming effective 20 days after the filing. On February 2, 1948, the registration statement still not being effective, counsel for the corporation inquired of the Commission's staff concerning the propriety of the issuer stabilizing the market in its securities prior to the time of the offering. They were advised that this could be done within certain prescribed limits and it was indi-

U. S. D. C., S. D. New York.
 U. S. D. C., N. D. Texas.
 U. S. D. C., E. D. Washington.
 U. S. D. C., N. D. Illinois.
 U. S. D. C., E. D. Washington.
 U. S. D. C., E. D. Michigan.
 U. S. D. C., E. D. Michigan.
 U. S. D. C., N. D. Illinois.
 Previous offerings had been mude on September 26, 1945, and January 23, 1946.

cated to the staff that the issuer proposed to stabilize in this manner on the following day. On February 3, 1948, total trading for the day amounted to 186,200 shares compared with approximately 7,000 shares on the New York Curb on the previous day. All of the shares were purchased by Kaiser-Frazer at \$13.50 per share in its stabilization effort. It was decided to conduct a private investigation for the purpose of determining the circumstances surrounding this large volume of trading. The private investigation disclosed facts which, in the judgment of the Commission, warranted a public investigation in the matter and such investigation was ordered by the Commission on March 23, 1948.

Public hearings began on April 12, 1948, in Washington and were subsequently held in seven other cities throughout the United States.³¹ These hearings disclosed that after the registration statement had become effective at 5:30 p.m. on February 3, 1948, the offering which was made at \$13 per share had gone poorly and that at about 12:30 p.m. (e. s. t.) on February 4 the underwriters, Otis & Co., First California Co., and Allen & Co., who were then stabilizing for their own account, had terminated the selling group and withdrawn the offering. The investigation disclosed that on February 9, 1948, which was the day for settlement between the issuer and the underwriters, Otis & Co. and First California Co. stated that they were not bound by the underwriting agreement. This statement was based on a provision in the contract which provided that no material litigation not disclosed in the prospectus should be pending against the issuer at 10:00 a.m. on the date of settlement. A derivative action had been filed against the issuer in the county court for Wayne County, Mich., on that date, by one James F. Masterson, a Philadelphia attorney, as plaintiff. He was represented by David V. Martin, a Detroit attorney.

A number of circumstances raised serious questions in connection with this lawsuit. Among them was the fact that Marvin C. Harrison and Allen Hull, two Cleveland attorneys, testified under order of Judge Lederle in the United States District Court at Detroit 32 that they had been retained by Cyrus Eaton, the principal stockholder of Otis & Co., to go to the Wayne County Court in Detroit on February 9 to determine whether a suit similar to the Masterson suit had been filed against the Kaiser-Frazer Corp. Harrison and Hull refused to supply any information other than the identity of their client and based such refusal on the attorney-client privilege. The investigation remains open.³³

investigation.

at New York, Cleveland, Cincinnati, Detroit, Chicago, San Francisco, Los Angeles.

The course of this investigation it has on three occasions been necessary for the Commission to invoke the aid of Federal district courts to effect compliance with its subpenas. In addition to the action referred to above, the Commission has instituted a similar action against the same defendants in the Federal District Court for the District of Columbia asking that the court order them to disclose the contents of communications which would normally fall under the attorney-client privilege, upon the ground that the Commission had made a prima facie showing that they were retained by Eaton for a fraudulent purpose. Judge Morris of that court has refused to enforce the subpena. The Commission also intervened in an action in the Federal district court in Cincinnati wherein the Portsmouth Steel Co. Sought to enjoin the telephone company from producing, in compliance with a Commission subpena, certain telephone toll tickets covering calls made by certain officers of Portsmouth Steel Co. These papers were subsequently obtained. These matters are more fully covered in the section of this report relating to litigation under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

32 On August 12, 1948, the Commission announced the institution of broker-dealer revocation proceedings against Otis & Co. based on alleged violations of sections 5 and 17 of the Securities Act of 1933 and sections 10, 15 (c) and 9 (a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The institution of both of these actions was based on facts disclosed by the investigation.

PART II

ADMINISTRATION OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is designed to eliminate fraud, manipulation, and other abuses in the trading of securities both on the organized exchanges and in the over-the-counter markets, which together constitute the Nation's facilities for trading in securities; to make available to the public information regarding the condition of corporations whose securities are listed on any national securities exchange; and to regulate the use of the Nation's credit in securities trading. The authority to issue rules on the use of credit in securities transactions is lodged in the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, but the administration of these rules and of the other provisions of the act is vested in the Commission.

The act provides for the registration of national securities exchanges, brokers, and dealers in securities, and associations of brokers and

dealers.

RECULATION OF EXCHANGES AND EXCHANGE TRADING

Registration of Exchanges

Each securities exchange in the United States is required by section 5 of the act to register with the Commission as a national securities exchange or to apply for exemption from such registration. Under this section, exemption from registration is available to exchanges which have such a limited volume of transactions effected thereon that, in the opinion of the Commission, it is unnecessary and impracticable to require their registration. During the fiscal year the number of exchanges registered as national securities exchanges remained at 19 and the number of exchanges granted exemption from such registration remained at 5.

The registration or exemption statement of each exchange contains information pertinent to its organization, rules of procedure, membership, and related matters. To keep this information up to date the 24 exchanges filed a total of 102 amendments to their statements during the 1948 fiscal year. Each of these amendments was reviewed to ascertain that the change involved was not adverse to the public interest and that it was in compliance with the relevant provisions of the act. The nature of the changes effected by the exchanges in their constitutions, rules, and trading practices varied considerably. Some of the more significant of these changes are briefly outlined below.

Baltimore Stock Exchange reinstated a rule, which had been rescinded in 1939, prescribing requirements for companies desiring to

remove their securities from listing on that exchange.

Chicago Stock Exchange, following several years of study and trial operation, adopted a plan enabling its out-of-town members to clear their own exchange transactions by mail. The primary objective of

the plan was to extend equal opportunities to all members and to develop a better exchange market by providing present and prospective out-of-town members with an added profit incentive to develop exchange business and to act as specialists in issues which are of public interest in their respective localities.

Cincinnati Stock Exchange and Philadelphia Stock Exchange each increased their rates of listing fees. In addition to the revised schedule of listing fees, Cincinnati also adopted an annual service charge payable by all companies having securities listed on that exchange.

San Francisco Stock Exchange adopted amendments to its constitution permitting corporations to become regular members of that exchange. Previously, corporations were eligible only for associate

membership in the exchange.

New York Stock Exchange adopted, effective November 3, 1947, a schedule of increased commission rates on stocks selling at 50 cents or more per share. Under the new schedule, commission rates are computed on the basis of the amount of money involved in a transaction aggregating not more than 100 shares rather than on a rate-per-share basis as in the past. Following this action by the New York Stock Exchange, 12 of the 17 regional exchanges also adopted revised schedules of commission rates which are, in many instances, identical with the new rates of the New York Stock Exchange. The New York Curb Exchange, however, did not effect any changes in its schedule of commission rates.

In the latter half of 1947, the Board of Governors of the New York Stock Exchange placed greater restrictions on members' trading for their own account on the floor of the exchange. The modified floor trading rules, adopted in February 1947, prohibit any purchase of a stock by a floor trader at a price above the last sale price. Under the policy adopted by the exchange several months later, purchases cannot be made at a price above the last preceding different price if that price is lower than the last sale price. Purchases at such a price by floor traders, individually or as a group, are limited to 300 shares or 30 percent of the amount offered at that price, whichever is greater. In April 1948 the 30 percent limit was raised to 50 percent. An exception to this policy may occur if there is an interval of 15 minutes during which no purchases by floor traders have been made. After such an interval, floor traders may again purchase stock subject to the limitations in the ruling. In addition, a floor trader who acquires stock off the floor must sell that stock off the floor.

Washington Stock Exchange, in an attempt to improve its service to the public and to provide facilities for the execution of transactions on the exchange which theretofore had been executed either over the counter or on another exchange, extended its hours of trading to coincide with those of the major exchanges. Only securities which are traded on the Washington Stock Exchange as well as on another exchange are eligible for trading during the new extended hours. Members continue to meet from 11:15 a. m. to noon each day as in the past to execute transactions in securities traded solely on the Washington Stock Exchange as well as in dually traded securities. The new

trading hours went into effect on July 15, 1948.

Disciplinary Actions by Exchanges Against Members

Pursuant to a request of the Commission, each national securities exchange reports to the Commission any action of a disciplinary nature taken by the exchange against one of its members or an employee of a member for violation of the Securities Exchange Act, any rule or regulation thereunder, or of any exchange rule. During the year 5 exchanges reported such actions against a total of 34 members,

member firms, and partners or employees of member firms.

In nine of these cases the individual or firm involved was censured for an infraction of the rules and warned against further violations. The remaining actions taken included fines ranging from \$50 to \$2,500 in 12 cases with total fines aggregating \$6,450; the expulsion of an individual from exchange membership; the suspension of an individual and his firm from exchange membership for a period of 90 days; the suspension of five individuals from exchange membership for periods ranging from 3 months to 1 year; the suspension of two registered representatives of a member firm for a period of 2 months; and the cancellation of registration of three specialists in certain of their stocks. The disciplinary actions resulted from violations of various exchange rules, principally those pertaining to partnership agreements, capital requirements, handling of accounts, floor trading, registered employees, and specialists.

Market Value and Volume of Exchange Trading

The market value of total sales effected on national securities exchanges for the 1948 fiscal year, as shown in appendix table 7, amounted to \$13,932,441,000, a decrease of 5.9 percent from the market value of total sales for the 1947 fiscal year. Of the total, stock sales (excluding value of right and warrant sales) had a market value of \$12,899,694,000, a decrease of 6.2 percent from 1947, and bond sales that of \$996,747,000, an increase of 2.4 percent over 1947. The market value of right and warrant sales totaled \$36,000,000, involving 35,323,000 units.

The share volume of stock sales (excluding unit volume of right and warrant sales) for the 1948 fiscal year totaled 536,749,000 shares, a decrease of 3 percent from the preceding fiscal year. Total principal amount of bond sales was \$1,356,372,000, an increase of 0.5

percent over 1947.

The market value of total sales effected on exempted exchanges for the 1948 fiscal year amounted to \$9,899,000, a decrease of 13.5 percent from 1947.

Special Offerings on Exchanges

Under rule X-10B-2, special offerings of blocks of securities are permitted on national securities exchanges pursuant to plans filed with and declared effective by the Commission. Briefly stated, these plans provide that a special offering may be made when it has been determined that the auction market on the floor of the exchange cannot absorb a particular block of a security within a reasonable period of time without undue disturbance to the current price of the security. A special offering of a security is made at a fixed price

consistent with the existing auction market price of the security and members acting as brokers for public buyers are paid a special commission by the seller. Buyers are not charged a commission on their purchases and obtain the securities at the net price of the offering. During the year the Los Angeles Stock Exchange became the eighth national securities exchange to file and have declared effective by the Commission a plan for special offerings. The plan of the Los Angeles Stock Exchange is generally similar to the plans of the other exchanges previously declared effective and which remained in

effect throughout the year.1

Three of the eight exchanges having plans in effect reported that a total of 25 special offerings were effected during the year. These offerings involved the sale of 332,999 shares of stock having an aggregate market value of \$8,503,000. The size of these offerings ranged from one with a market value of \$1,768,000 to one valued at \$52,000. Brokers participating in these offerings were paid a total of \$222,000 in special commissions. By comparison, in the preceding fiscal year a total of eight special offerings involving 104,814 shares of stock having a market value of \$2,852,000 were effected on two exchanges, with special commissions paid to brokers totaling \$68,000. Further details of special offerings during the 12-month period ended June 30, 1948, are given in appendix table 8.

Secondary Distributions Approved by Exchanges

A "secondary distribution," as the term is used in this section, is a distribution over the counter by a dealer or group of dealers of a comparatively large block of a previously issued and outstanding security listed or admitted to trading on an exchange. Such offerings take place when it has been determined that it would not be in the best interest of the various parties involved to sell the shares on the exchange in the regular way or by special offering. The distributions generally take place after the close of exchange trading. As in the case of special offerings, buyers obtain the security from the dealer at the net price of the offering which usually is at or below the most recent price registered on the exchange. It is generally the practice of exchanges to require members to obtain the approval of the exchange before participating in such secondary distributions. Registration of such distributions under the Securities Act of 1933 may also be necessary.

During the year 5 exchanges reported having approved a total of 83 secondary distributions under which 6,347,361 shares of stock having an aggregate market value of \$152,803,000 were sold. Of these, 78 distributions involving the sale of 6,273,290 shares having a market value of \$150,019,000 were completed, while 74,071 shares having a market value of \$2,784,000 were sold in the 5 distributions which were

¹These exchanges are: Chicago Stock Exchange, Cincinnati Stock Exchange, Detroit Stock Exchange, New York Curb Exchange, New York Stock Exchange, Philadelphia Stock Exchange, and San Francisco Stock Exchange.

not completed. Further details of secondary distributions of exchange stocks are given in appendix table 12.

REGISTRATION OF SECURITIES ON EXCHANGES

Purpose and Nature of Registration

The statutory purpose of making available currently to investors reliable and comprehensive information as to the affairs of companies which have securities listed on a national securities exchange is accomplished by requiring each such company to file with the Commission and the exchange an application for registration which discloses such data. Unless a security is so registered pursuant to section 12 of the act (or has unlisted trading privileges), it is unlawful to trade in the security on the exchange. In order to keep this information up to date, section 13 requires the filing by these companies of annual, quarterly, and other periodical reports.

Examination of Applications and Reports

All applications and reports filed pursuant to sections 12 and 13 are examined by the staff to determine whether accurate and adequate disclosure has been made of the specific types of information required by the act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. The examination under the Securities Exchange Act, like that under the Securities Act of 1933, does not involve an appraisal and is not concerned with the merits of the registrant's securities. When examination of an application or a report discloses that material information has been omitted, or that sound principles have not been followed in the preparation and presentation of accompanying financial data, the examining staff follows much the same procedure as that developed in its work under the Securities Act in sending to the registrant a letter of comment, or in holding a conference with its attorneys or accountants or other representatives, pointing out any inadequacies in the information filed in order that necessary correcting amendments may be obtained. Here again, amendments are examined in the same manner as the original documents. Where a particular inadequacy is not material, the registrant is notified by letter pointing out the defect and suggesting the proper procedure to be followed in the preparation and filing of future reports, without insistence upon the filing of an amendment to the particular document in question.

Statistics of Securities Registered on Exchanges

At the close of the fiscal year, 2,209 issuers had 3,539 security issues listed and registered on national securities exchanges. These securities consisted of 2,575 stock issues aggregating 2,837,496,642 shares, and 964 bond issues aggregating \$19,224,375,537 principal amount. This represents increases of 182,432,292 shares and \$797,621,682 principal amount, respectively, over the securities registered on national securities exchanges at the close of the 1947 fiscal year.

During the fiscal year 49 new issuers registered securities under the act on national securities exchanges, while the registration of all securities of 55 issuers was terminated, principally by reason of retirement and redemption and through mergers and consolidations. No proceedings were instituted during the year under section 19 (a) (2) of the act to deny, suspend, or withdraw the registration of a security.

The following applications and reports were filed during the fiscal year in connection with the listing and registration of securities on national securities exchanges:

Applications for registration of securities on national securities exchanges	
Applications for registration of unissued securities for "when issued" trading on national securities exchanges	
Exemption statements for trading short-term warrants on national securities exchanges	60
Annual reports	2,123
Current reports	8,767
Amendments to applications and reports	

TEMPORARY EXEMPTION OF SUBSTITUTED OR ADDITIONAL SECURITIES

Rule X-12A-5 provides a temporary exemption from the registration requirements of section 12 (a) of the act to securities issued in substitution for, or in addition to, securities previously listed or admitted to unlisted trading privileges on a national securities exchange. The purpose of this exemption is to enable transactions to be lawfully effected on an exchange in such substituted or additional securities pending their registration or admission to unlisted trading privileges on an exchange.

The exchanges filed notifications of admission to trading under this rule with respect to 101 issues during the year. The same issue was admitted to trading on more than one exchange in some instances, so that the total admissions to such trading, including duplications,

numbered 143.

UNLISTED TRADING PRIVILEGES ON EXCHANGES

Unlisted trading on exchanges is of two principal varieties. The one variety is in issues listed and registered on some other registered exchange, in which case the public enjoys the protections afforded by the listing and registration under the Securities Exchange Act. great majority of these issues are listed on New York Exchange and admitted to unlisted trading on various exchanges in other cities. The other-variety is in issues not listed nor registered on some other registered exchange. Most of such issues are admitted to unlisted trading on New York Curb Exchange alone. In their case the public is not protected by any listing agreement with the issuer nor by the financial reporting requirements of section 13, the proxy rules under section 14, and the "trading by insider" reporting and penalty clauses of section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act, except to the extent that the issuers or issues are registered under other acts administered by the

Commission containing similar requirements.

Exchange trading in issues admitted to unlisted trading prior to March 1, 1934, is permitted to continue under section 12 (f) (1) of the Securities Exchange Act. The further admission of issues to unlisted trading, however, has been prohibited except to the extent permitted under section 12 (f) (2), in the case of issues already listed and registered on some registered exchange,2 and under section 12 (f) (3), in the case of issues not so listed and registered, as more specifically outlined under the next subheading "Applications for Un-

listed Trading Privileges." 3

^{2 &}quot;Registered exchanges" and "national securities exchanges" are used synonymously in this section.

The subject is treated at length in the Tenth Annual Report under "Unlisted Trading Privileges on Securities Exchanges."

Ten years ago, on June 30, 1937, the status of unlisted issues on the registered exchanges was as follows:

Stocks listed on some other registered exchange	554
Stocks not listed on any registered exchange	737
Bonds listed on some other registered exchange	
Bonds not listed on any registered exchange	
	1 883

These issues were practically all in the section 12 (f) (1) category of

securities admitted to unlisted trading prior to March 1, 1934.

Since the first grant in April 1937 of an application by an exchange under section 12 (f) (2) for unlisted trading in stocks listed on some other registered exchange, there have been 524 admissions of such stocks to the various exchanges. The number of issues involved is less than this figure because many issues have been admitted to unlisted trading on two, three, or more exchanges. These admissions of stocks under section 12 (f) (2) have, however, barely maintained the number of listed stocks traded unlisted on other exchanges, which has fallen from 554 in 1937 to 542 in 1948. The grants have tended to make the same stocks available on numerous exchanges and to substitute currently active stocks in offset to the many retirements of issues originally admitted to unlisted trading under section 12 (f)(1).

Only seven stock issues have been admitted to unlisted trading on an exchange (one of them on two exchanges) under section 12 (f) (3). Only two of these were common stocks, and one of these has been removed from unlisted trading on New York Curb Exchange by reason of listing on New York Stock Exchange. In addition, one of the preferred stocks has become listed also on Philadelphia Stock Exchange. Thus only five stocks, including four preferred and one common, admitted to trading under section 12 (f) (3) retain that status and are

not listed on any registered exchange.

Admissions of bonds under sections 12 (f) (2) and 12 (f) (3) have totaled 49, but retirements have exceeded admissions, and only 20 of the issues are still outstanding. It has become unusual to apply for bond admissions under these sections, except in case of very large, and particularly convertible, issues.

The status of unlisted issues on the registered exchanges as of June

30, 1948, was:

Stocks listed on some other registered exchange	
Stocks not listed on any registered exchange	. 353
Bonds listed on some other registered exchange	. 12
Bonds not listed on any registered exchange	- 85

There has been a great disappearance of issues, in all except the first category, from the figures of 1937. The principal shrinkage has been in stocks and bonds not listed on any registered exchange, and this, as has been frequently stated in these reports, was the expectation of Congress when it authorized continuance of such privileges in 1936.

The 353 stocks admitted to unlisted trading without being listed on any registered exchange aggregated 354,477,579 shares, warrants, and receipts as of June 30, 1948. The reported volume of trading in these stocks for the calendar year 1947 was 21,056,358 units, including 14,889,271 domestic shares, 3,046,387 Canadian shares, 2,312,700 warrants, and 808,000 American depositary receipts. The 354,477,579 unlisted shares were about 11 percent of the total 3,196,160,946 shares admitted to trading on the registered exchanges, and the 21,056,358 reported volume was a little over 4 percent of the total 512,475,639 share and warrant volume on the registered exchanges for the calendar year 1947. Comprehensive figures with respect to issues and volumes on exchanges will be found in appendix tables 7 to 16, inclusive.

Applications for Unlisted Trading Privileges

Section 12 (f) (2) of the act provides that, upon application to and approval by the Commission, a national securities exchange may extend unlisted trading privileges to a security which is listed and registered on another national securities exchange. Pursuant to this section, and in accordance with the procedure prescribed by rule X-12F-1, applications were granted during the year extending unlisted trading privileges to Boston Stock Exchange in 12 stock issues; Chicago Stock Exchange, 2 stock issues; Cleveland Stock Exchange, 1 stock issue; Detroit Stock Exchange, 1 stock issue; Los Angeles Stock Exchange, 23 stock issues and 1 bond issue; New York Curb Exchange, 1 stock issue; Philadelphia Stock Exchange, 4 stock issues; St. Louis Stock Exchange, one stock issue; San Francisco Stock Exchange, 1 stock issue and 1 bond issue. An application of Boston Stock Exchange involving one stock issue and an application of San Francisco Stock Exchange involving one bond issue were withdrawn by these exchanges after they had been advised that they did not meet the requirements prescribed by the rule.

Section 12 (f) (3) of the act permits the Commission to grant an exchange's application for the extension of unlisted trading privileges to a security which is not listed and registered on another national securities exchange if investors have, respecting such a security, protections equivalent to those provided for in the act regarding listed securities. An application of New York Curb Exchange under this section was granted with respect to Cities Service Co. 3-percent sinking fund debentures, due January 1, 1977, on the ground that equivalent protection was afforded to the public from the fact that the common stock of the same company was listed and registered

on other national securities exchanges.

Changes in Securities Admitted to Unlisted Trading Privileges

During the year the exchanges filed numerous notifications pursuant to rule X-12F-2 (a) of changes in title, maturity, interest rate, par value, dividend rate, or amount authorized or outstanding of securities admitted to unlisted trading privileges. Where changes of this nature only are effected in an unlisted security, the altered security is deemed for the purposes of the Securities Exchange Act to be the security previously admitted to unlisted trading privileges and such privileges are automatically extended to the altered security. However, when changes more comprehensive than these are effected in an unlisted security, the exchange is required to file an application with the Commission, pursuant to rule X-12F-2 (b), seeking a determination that the altered security is substantially equivalent to the security previously admitted to unlisted trading privileges. plications filed pursuant to this rule were granted by the Commission with respect to one stock issue on Boston Stock Exchange; one stock issue on Detroit Stock Exchange; four stock issues on New York Curb Exchange; three stock issues on Philadelphia Stock Exchange:

one stock issue on Pittsburgh Stock Exchange; and one stock issue on San Francisco Stock Exchange. The Commission denied applications of the Boston, Detroit, Philadelphia, and Pittsburgh Stock Exchanges and New York Curb Exchange with respect to a total of three stock issues.

DELISTING OF SECURITIES FROM EXCHANGES

Securities Delisted by Application

Section 12 (d) of the act provides that upon application by the issuer or the exchange to the Commission, a security may be removed from listing and registration on a national securities exchange in accordance with the rules of the exchange and subject to such terms as the Commission deems necessary for the protection of investors. In accordance with the procedure prescribed by rule X-12D2-1 (b), 10 issues were removed from listing and registration on exchanges during the year. Of these, 3 issues were removed upon application of their issuers and the remaining 7 upon application of exchanges. In each of these instances the application was granted without the imposition of any terms by the Commission.

Of the three issues removed upon application of their issuers, one had not been traded on the exchange involved for a period of 6 months; the mining properties of the issuer of one had not been in operation for the past 20 years, there was no immediate prospect for resumption of such operations, and there was an insufficient number of shares outstanding in the hands of a very few public stockholders to justify continuance of listing and registration of the issue which had been suspended from trading on the exchange involved for the past 2 years; the remaining issue was removed from one of the two exchanges on which it was listed and registered for the reason that the small number of transactions effected on one of the exchanges did not justify the expenses resulting from the maintenance of a coregistrar and cotransfer agent and additional legal services in the State in which the exchange was located.

The removal of the seven issues upon application of exchanges was occasioned by various events which had the effect of practically terminating public interest in the issues involved. These included situations where the issuer was no longer operating; where the issuer was in process of liquidation; where the financial condition and future prospects of the issuer did not warrant continuation of listing and registration of the issue; and where the number of shares of the issue outstanding in public hands had been greatly reduced.

Securities Delisted by Certification

Securities which have been paid at maturity, redeemed, or retired in full, or which have become exchangeable for other securities in substitution therefor, may be removed from listing and registration on a national securities exchange upon the exchange's filing with the Commission a certification to the effect that such retirement has occurred. The removal of the security becomes effective automatically after the interval of time prescribed by rule X-12D2-2 (a). The exchanges filed certifications under this rule effecting the removal of 227 separate issues. In some instances the same issue was removed from more than one exchange, so that the total number of removals, including duplications, was 284. Successor issues to those removed became listed and registered on exchanges in many instances.

In accordance with the provisions of rule X-12D2-1 (d), New York Curb Exchange removed 15 issues from listing and registration when they became listed and registered on New York Stock Exchange. This rule permits a national securities exchange to remove a security from listing and registration in the event trading therein has been terminated pursuant to a rule of the exchange which requires such termination due to the security's becoming listed and registered and admitted to trading on another exchange. Removal under this rule is automatic, the exchange being required merely to notify the Commission of the removal.

Securities Removed From Listing on Exempted Exchanges

A security may be removed from listing on an exempted exchange upon the filing by such exchange of an appropriate amendment to its exemption statement setting forth a brief statement of the reasons for the removal.

Three exempted exchanges removed 11 issues from listing thereon during the year. The removal of these issues was occasioned by such events as calling of the issue for redemption, dissolution of the issuer, or substitution of a new security under a plan of reorganization.

Exempted Securities Removed From Exchange Trading

During the year Chicago Stock Exchange and New York Stock Exchange removed from trading a total of 19 separate issues which had been temporarily exempted from the registration requirements of section 12 (a) of the act pursuant to either rule X-12A-2 or rule X-12A-3. One of these issues had been paid at maturity while the remaining issues were retired in various manners under plans of reorganization of their issuers.

MANIPULATION AND STABILIZATION

Sections 9, 10, and 15 of the Securities Exchange Act empower the Commission to prohibit manipulation and to regulate manipulative devices. Section 9 of this act forbids certain specifically described forms of manipulative activity. Transactions which create actual or apparent trading activity or which raise or lower prices, if they are effected for the purpose of inducing others to buy or sell, are declared to be unlawful. Certain practices designated as "wash sales" and "matched orders" effected for the purpose of creating a false or misleading appearance of active trading or a false or misleading appearance with respect to the market for a security are declared to be illegal. Persons selling or offering securities for sale are prohibited from disseminating false information to the effect that the price of the security will, or is likely to, rise or fall because of market operations conducted for the purpose of raising or depressing the price of a security. Persons selling or purchasing securities are forbidden to make false or misleading statements of material facts, with knowledge of their falsity, regarding securities for the purpose of inducing the purchase or sale of such securities. Sections 10 and 15. empower the Commission to adopt rules and regulations to define and prohibit the use of new forms of manipulation which the Commission might encounter from time to time.

Pursuant to statutory authority, the Commission has adopted rules and regulations to aid it in carrying out the expressed will of Congress. The three above-mentioned sections, as augmented by rules and regulations, are aimed at freeing the security markets from artificial influ-

ence, thus insuring the maintenance of fair and honest markets and allowing prices to be established by supply and demand.

Manipulation

The Commission's purpose in its administration of the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act against stock-market manipulation is to provide policing of the stock exchange markets and the over-the-counter markets sufficient to accomplish the elimination of manipulative practices without interfering with the legitimate functioning of these markets. In order to accomplish this, the Commission has continuously modified and sought to improve its procedure for the systematic surveillance of trading in securities. The methods used to detect manipulation have, of necessity, been elastic in character since techniques employed by manipulators have changed constantly, in-

creasing in subtlety and complexity.

The staff scrutinizes price movements in approximately 7,500 securities, including 3,500 traded on exchanges and 4,000 in the over-thecounter markets. The information maintained with respect to these securities includes not only data reflecting the market action of such securities but also includes news items, earnings figures, dividends, options, and other data which might explain price and volume changes. When no plausible explanation can be found for an unusual movement in any security, the matter may be referred to the appropriate regional office of the Commission for a field investigation. For reasons of policy, the Commission keeps confidential the fact that trading in a given security is under investigation, lest knowledge of the existence of such investigation unduly affect the market or reflect unfairly upon individuals whose activities are being investigated. As a result, the Commission occasionally receives criticism for failing to investigate situations when in fact it is actually engaged in an intensive investigation of those very matters.

The Commission's investigations in respect to matters involving unusual market activity take two forms. The "flying quiz," or preliminary investigation, is designed to detect and discourage incipient manipulation by a prompt determination of the reason for unusual market behavior. Often the results of a flying quiz point to a legitimate reason for the activity under review and the case is closed. Frequently facts are uncovered which require more extended investigation, and in these cases formal orders of investigation are issued by the Commission. In a formal investigation, members of the Commission's staff are empowered to subpens pertinent material and to take testimony under oath. In the course of such investigations, data on purchases and sales are often compiled covering substantial periods of time and trading operations involving considerable quantities of

shares are scrutinized.

The Commission operates on the premise that manipulation should be suppressed at its inception. Many of the cases investigated never come to the attention of the public because the promptness of the Commission's investigation, through the flying quiz technique, stops the manipulation before it is fully developed. Since public losses are seldom recoverable even though the perpetrator of a fraud is brought to justice it is believed that the investigatory methods adopted afford important protection to the public.

A tabular summary with respect to the Commission's trading investigations follows:

Trading investigations		
	Flying quizzes	Formal investiga- tions
Pending June 30, 1947 Initiated July 1, 1947, to June 30, 1948	91 147	34
Total to be accounted for	238	36
Changed to formal investigationClosed or completed	98	. 9
Total disposed of Pending June 30, 1948	100 138	9 27

Stabilization

During the 1948 fiscal year the Commission continued the administration of rules X-17A-2 and X-9A6-1. Rule X-17A-2 requires the filing of detailed reports of all transactions incident to offerings in respect of which a registration statement has been filed under the Securities Act of 1933 where any stabilizing operation is undertaken to facilitate the offering. Rule X-9A6-1 governs stabilizing transactions effected to facilitate offerings of securities registered on national securities exchanges, in which the offering prices are represented

to be "at the market" or at prices related to market prices.

Of the 449 registration statements filed during the fiscal year, 199 contained a statement of intention to stabilize to facilitate the offerings covered by such registration statements. Because a registration statement sometimes covers more than one class of security, there were 222 offerings of securities in respect of which a statement was made, as required by rule 426 under the Securities Act, to the effect that a stabilizing operation was contemplated. Stabilizing operations were actually conducted to facilitate 71 of these offerings, principally the stock offerings. In the case of bonds, public offerings of three issues aggregating \$26,084,000 in principal amount were stabilized. Offerings of stock issues aggregating 23,370,892 shares and having an estimated aggregate public offering price of \$335,147,302 were also sta-In connection with these stabilizing operations, 8,579 reports were filed with the Commission during the fiscal year. Each of these reports has been analyzed to determine whether the stabilizing activities were lawful.

To facilitate compliance with the Commission's rules on stabilizing and to assist issuers and underwriters to avoid violation of the statutory provisions dealing with manipulation and fraud, many conferences were held with representatives of such issuers and underwriters. and many written and telephone requests were answered. A total of 1,002 letters, memoranda relating to such conferences and telephone requests, and memoranda to the regional offices of the Commission wer written in connection with the administration and enforcement of the stabilization and manipulation statutory provisions

and regulations.

SECURITY TRANSACTIONS OF CORPORATION INSIDERS

Under section 16 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, section 17 (a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, and section 30 (f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, during the past 14 years 43,243 corporate "insiders" of more than 3,000 issuers have filed 290,241 reports covering their transactions in and holdings of securities of their companies. Such reports must be filed by beneficial owners of more than 10 percent of any class of an equity security which is listed and registered on a national securities exchange; officers and directors of the issuers of any security so listed; officers and directors of registered public utility holding companies; and officers, directors, beneficial owners of more than 10 percent of any security (other than short-term paper), members of advisory boards, investment advisers, and affiliated persons of investment advisers of registered closed-end investment companies. An initial report is required of these persons showing their beneficial ownership of securities of their companies at the time any of these relationships is assumed, and a report setting forth purchases, sales, or other changes is required for each calendar month thereafter in which any change in beneficial ownership of these securities occurs.

Examination and Dissemination of Information

While, in general, the Nation's principal organized security markets are located in the larger financial centers of the country, security ownership, particularly of the larger issues, is divided among thousands of large and small investors scattered throughout the country. The primary purpose of security ownership reports is to make available to investors, wherever located, information as to the transactions of insiders in their companies' securities. Members of the staff examine all reports filed to determine their compliance with the statutory requirements, and request amended reports where inaccuracies or omissions appear. Documents and reports filed under other sections of the various acts administered by the Commission and data published by various financial news services must also be examined for current information as to corporate actions involving situations or transactions in which ownership reports must be filed. Where any report is not received within the prescribed time, necessary steps are taken to secure its prompt filing.

All ownership reports are available for public inspection as soon as they are filed at the Commission's office in Washington, and in the case of reports under section 16 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act also at the exchanges where additional copies of such reports must be filed. Recognizing the limited opportunity of many individual investors to inspect the reports in person at Washington or at the exchanges, the Commission in addition condenses the information contained in the actual reports and publishes a monthly Official Summary of Security Transactions and Holdings which is mailed to any interested person who requests it. This publication has a wide distribution among individual investors, security brokers and dealers, libraries, newspapers, press associations, and others. Complete files of this summary are available for public inspection at each of the Commission's regional offices and at each national securities exchange.

Preventing Unfair Use of Inside Information

Section 16 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 aims at the prevention of unfair use of information which may have been obtained by a corporate insider by reason of his relationship to the company. To this end, the section provides that any profit realized by an insider from any purchase and sale, or any sale and purchase, of any equity security of his company within a period of less than 6 months shall be recoverable by the issuer. Suit for the recovery of such profits may be instituted by the issuer, or by any security owner acting in its behalf if the issuer fails or refuses to bring suit within 60 days after request or if it fails diligently to prosecute the suit after it is instituted. Similar provisions are contained in section 17 (b) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act and section 30 (f) of the Investment Company Act.

Substantial amounts, ranging up to several hundred thousand dollars, have been recovered under these provisions by or on behalf of issuers. In a number of cases voluntary payments of such profits have been made to the company by the officer or director. Such voluntary payments were often brought about by the necessity to report short-term transactions. Inasmuch as the section provides for the recovery of profits through private civil suits, the Commission does not have the power to administer or enforce the provisions of the section. It has, however, filed briefs as amicus curiae in many of the suits brought in the courts, particularly where novel questions of

law have been raised for judicial determination.

As has been noted, information as to changes in ownership of securities held by persons subject to liability under section 16 (b) is required to be furnished in reports under section 16 (a). These reports make available to stockholders data which may indicate the existence of liability under section 16 (b).

Statistics of Ownership Reports

The number of ownership reports filed with and examined by the Commission during the past fiscal year is set forth below:

Number of ownership reports of officers, directors, principal security holders, and certain other affiliated persons filed and examined during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

Description of report 1	Original reports	Amended reports	Total
Securities Exchange Act of 1934: Form 4 Form 5 Form 6	13, 391 536 1, 884 15, 811	715 14 30 759	14, 106 550 1, 914 16, 570
Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935; Form U-17-1. Form U-17-2.	90 432 522	1 34 35	91 466 557
Investment Company Act of 1940: Form N-30F-1. Form N-30F-2.	=======================================	4 20	119 545
Total	640 16, 973	24 818	17, 791

Form 4 is used to report changes in ownership; Form 5, to report ownership at the time any equity securities of an issuer are first listed and registered on a national securities exchange; and Form 6, to report ownership of persons who subsequently become officers, directors, or principal stockholders of such issuer, under sec. 16 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1931; Form U-17-1 is used for initial reports, and Form U-17-2 for reports of changes in ownership of securities, under sec. 17 (a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935; and Form N-30F-1 is used for initial reports and Form N-30F-2 for reports of changes in ownership of securities under sec. 30 (f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940.

SOLICITATION OF PROXIES, CONSENTS, AND AUTHORIZATIONS

Under three of the acts it administers—sections 14 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 12 (a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 and 20 (a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940—the Commission is authorized to prescribe rules and regulations concerning the solicitation of proxies, consents, and authorizations in connection with securities of the companies subject to those acts. Pursuant to this authority, the Commission has adopted regulation X-14, which is designed to protect investors by requiring the disclosure of certain information to them and by affording them an opportunity for active participation in the affairs of their company. Essentially, this regulation makes unlawful any solicitation of any proxy, consent, or authorization which is false or misleading as to any material fact or which omits to state any material fact necessary to make the statements already made not false or misleading. Under the regulation it is necessary, in general, that each person solicited be furnished such information as will enable him to act intelligently upon each separate matter in respect of which his vote or consent is sought. The proxy rules set forth in this regulation also contain provisions which enable security holders who are not allied with the management to communicate with other security holders when the management is soliciting proxies.

Statistics of Proxy Statements

During the 1948 fiscal year the Commission received and examined both the preliminary and definitive material required with respect to 1,677 solicitations under regulation X-14 as well as "follow up" material employed in 229 instances. The number of proxy statements filed by management and nonmanagement, and the principal items of business for which stockholders action was sought in these solicitations, is shown below for each of the past five calendar years.

•	Year ended December 31—					
,	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	
Proxy statements filed by management. Proxy statements filed by others than management.	1, 467 31	1; 523 27	1, 570 24	1,664 21	1, 613 32	
Total proxy statements filed	1, 498	1, 550	1, 594	1, 685	1, 645	
For meetings at which the election of directors was one of the items of business. For meetings not involving the election of directors. For assents and authorizations not involving a meeting or the election of directors.	1, 368 109 21	1, 350 172 28	1, 350 213 31	I, 407 244 34	1, 461 149 35	
Total proxy statements filed.	1, 498	1, 550	1, 594	1, 685	1,645	

A corresponding distribution of the specific proposals of action other than the election of directors reflected in these proxy statements is set forth below.

:	Year ended December 31—						
•	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947		
Mergers, consolidations; acquisition of businesses, and purchase and sale of property	47	59	40	65	69		
recapitalization plans other than mergers or consolidations	95	144	227	249	223		
Employees pension plans.	46	105	94	75	66		
Bonus and profit-sharing plans, including stock options	51	58	51	52	60		
Indemnification of officers and directors	137	31	25	36	22		
Change in date of annual meeting	54	33	33	28	27		
Other miscellaneous amendments to bylaws, and miscellaneous							
other matters	131	141	217	309	207		
Stockholder approval of independent auditors Number of management's proxy statements containing stock-	307	310	296	304	312		
holder proposals under rule X-14A-7	27	20	14	19	15		
Number of such stockholder proposals	66	38 [34	34	29		
Net number of stockholders whose proposals were included in management's proxy statements under rule X-14A-7 (each stockholder is counted only once in each year regardless of the number of his proposals or the number of companies that in-					,		
cluded his proposals in proxy statements)	19	· 17	17	9]	13		

Examination of Proxies

An example of disclosure resulting from the Commission's examination of preliminary proxy soliciting material before it is mailed in definitive form to stockholders may be noted in the following particular case from among the hundreds processed last year. It involved solicitations by a registrant of proxies for the election of directors proposed by both the management and a minority stockholders group. The management slate was headed by the registrant's chairman of the board of directors, who had acquired a dominating position in the registrant's affairs as a result of the transactions described below.

In September 1945 the chairman acquired all the common stock and other property of company B for \$200,625, of which he allocated \$150,000 as the cost of the stock. In July 1947, he transferred this common stock to company A and received in exchange all the common stock of company A and a promissory note of the company for \$650,000 at 4 percent interest. The common stock of company B was then the sole asset of company A. The chairman of the registrant then sold the common stock of company A to the registrant in the same month, July 1947. The registrant agreed to issue to the chairman, in payment for the stock, 47,000 shares of its own common stock having a market value of approximately \$246,750. Subsequently, the registrant assumed payment of the \$650,000 note of company A held by the chairman. By reason of these transactions the chairman had converted his original \$150,000 investment into stock of the registrant worth \$246.750 and a promissory note for \$650,000, a total of \$896,750.

A former chairman of the registrant was in control of manufacturing, insurance, and investment companies which together owned 19,990 shares of the registrant's common stock (which shares were subsequently acquired by the chairman or his associates). One of these controlled companies, company C, had previously purchased

one of the registrant's former subsidiaries, company D, and had given the registrant a note for \$250,000 in payment. Thereafter, company C had refused to make payments of principal or interest on this note, asserting offsets and counterclaims against the registrant in the amount of \$500,000 in connection with this transaction. Accordingly, as a condition precedent to the exchange of the 47,000 shares of stock of the registrant for stock of company A, general releases were exchanged between the registrant, company C and company D, with respect to all claims arising out of the sale of company D to company C, and the \$250,000 note was canceled.

As a result of disclosures required by the Commission's staff in this situation, the registrant's definitive proxy statement contained the

following information:

(a) Details of the arrangements entered into for the acquisition of the shares of the registrant by its chairman or his associates from the

corporate interests of its former chairman.

(b) Details with respect to the exchange of general releases between the registrant, company C and company D related to claims arising out of the sale by the registrant to company C of the capital stock and accounts receivable of company D, and the relationship of

the former chairman to these companies.

(c) An indication with regard to company B that (1) its fixed assets were reappraised after acquisition by the chairman, resulting in a net increase of \$26,038.59 over the previous depreciated carrying value of land and buildings; (2) it has outstanding a mortgage upon its land and buildings, in the unpaid principal amount of \$105,500, bearing interest of 4 percent a year; (3) it also has outstanding 30,000 shares of 60-cent cumulative preferred stock requiring annual dividend payments of \$18,000, of which shares 5,595 were owned by the chairman, 420 by his son, and the balance by friends and/or employees; and (4) these interest and dividend requirements represent prior charges on the earnings of company B before dividends may be paid on its common stock which was acquired by the registrant. The net income of company B for each of the past 3 years was also set forth.

The definitive proxy also disclosed, with respect to the \$650,000 promissory note: (1) That if such note is not paid at maturity the ownership of company B will revert to the chairman, since all the common stock of company B is pledged as collateral for the note; (2) that in connection with the issuance of such note an intangible item of \$650,000 arose which now appears on the books of company B and that the disposition thereof has not yet been determined; and (3) that such note has resulted in an annual fixed charge of \$26,000, representing interest payments, which must be paid out of the earnings of the registrant and its subsidiaries before any dividends may be paid to stockholders of the registrant.

The proxy requirements of the Securities Exchange Act operated in this case to give stockholders of the registrant full information about the manner in which the chairman acquired control of the registrant, about his dealings with the registrant, and of the results of these transactions as they affected the interests of the stockholders.

Changes in Proxy Rules

In Securities Exchange Act release No. 4037 (1947) the Commission announced the adoption of a number of changes in its proxy rules after wide circulation of the proposals and numerous conferences with interested persons. The fundamental purpose of the proposals was to revise the rules to clarify their application and to write into them more explicitly the exact situations they cover. The response to the Commission's invitation for comments on the revision was very generous and the comments and suggestions received were most constructive and helpful to the Commission. A number of the more important changes made are noted below.

The new rules require disclosure of the individual remuneration

paid only to the three highest-paid officers of the issuer.

Follow-up material must now be filed in advance of its transmission to security holders, but this does not apply to replies to inquiries from stockholders requesting further information or to communications requesting only that proxies previously solicited be signed and returned. Where a proxy solicitation is made in person and written material discussing the merits of any matter as to which the proxy is being solicited is used, copies of such material must also be filed with

the Commission prior to its use.

The rule requiring the mailing of communications for security holders has been clarified, particularly as to the circumstances under which the management of the issuer is required to mail solicitation material. The new rule also gives the management the option of furnishing the security holder who wishes to make such a communication with a reasonably current list of security holders in lieu of mailing his material for him. Another rule which requires the management to include a security holder's proposal in its proxy material has been revised to require such security holder to furnish the management with a copy of his proposal and statement at the time he gives notice to the management of his intention to make the proposal at the meeting.

The revised rules became effective December 18, 1947, immediately upon announcement of the changes, in order to permit those who wished to do so to comply with the new rules rather than the old. However, it was provided that any person commencing a solicitation prior to February 15, 1948, could make the solicitation under either the old or

revised rules at his option.

During the year the Commission also had under consideration proposals to adopt amendments to the proxy rules other than those described above. These proposals were circulated by the Commission shortly after the close of the fiscal year in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 4114 (1948). These proposals are not as extensive as the amendments adopted on December 1947, but they involve certain im-

portant problems. The proposed changes are noted below.

The proposals contemplate a number of changes as to the form of proxy and the rules governing its use. To simplify the proxy, the changes would eliminate certain statements heretofore required to be in the proxy. Other changes would provide that no proxy may confer authority to vote at any annual meeting other than the one following the solicitation and that the proxy statement provide that the shares covered by a proxy will be represented at the meeting and

will be voted according to the choice made by the security holder. The purpose of these changes is to prevent the premature solicitation of proxies on the basis of out-of-date information and to insure that

a proxy be given its full effect.

Rule X-14A-8 would be amended so that the management of an issuer need not include the proposal of a security holder in its proxy solicitation material where such proposal is submitted for the purpose of enforcing a personal claim or of redressing a personal grievance against the issuer or its management. In addition, the management could omit a proposal if the management had included a proposal of the same security holder in its proxy statement and the security holder failed to attend the meeting before which the proposal was to be submitted and did not present the proposal for action. In another situation, the proposal could be omitted if the same proposal had been submitted for action at the last annual meeting of the security holders, or at a special meeting subsequent thereto, and received less than 3 percent of the total votes cast in regard to the proposal. Where a management does omit a proposal, under the proposed rule, it would have to file the proposal with the Commission together with a statement of the reasons why the management deems such omission to be proper in the particular case.

Schedule 14A, which states the information required to be contained in a proxy statement, would be amended with respect to item 7 (a) as to certain matters relating to the disclosure of remuneration paid by the issuer to its officers, directors, and other persons. It would also be amended to require information as to the indebtedness to the issuer or its subsidiaries of associates of directors, officers, and nominees of the issuer as well as the indebtedness of such directors, officers, and nominees. This would not include any indebtedness arising in the ordinary course of business or to any person whose indebtedness did not exceed \$1,000 at any time during the last fiscal year of the

issuer

Item 12 of schedule 14A would be amended to make it clear that the information required by that item must be supplied as to authorizations for securities to be issued, otherwise than in exchange for outstanding securities of the issuer, even though the securities are not to be issued immediately. It would also be amended to provide that a description of the securities to be authorized or issued need not be given in cases involving only additional shares of common stock of a class already outstanding.

REGULATION OF BROKERS AND DEALERS IN OVER-THE-COUNTER MARKETS

Registration

Brokers and dealers using the mails or other instrumentalities of interstate commerce to effect transactions in securities on over-the-counter markets are required to be registered with the Commission pursuant to section 15 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act, except those brokers and dealers whose business is exclusively intrastate or exclusively in exempt securities. The following tabulation reflects certain data with respect to the registration of brokers and dealers during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948.

Registration of brokers and dealers under section 15 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act, fiscal year ending June 30, 1948

Effective registrations at close of preceding fiscal year	174 0 40
Total	4, 591
Applications withdrawn during yearApplications canceled during year	12
Registrations withdrawn during yearRegistrations canceled during the year	407
Registrations denied during yearRegistrations suspended during year	2
Registrations revoked during year	4, 006 172
Total	4. 591

¹ Registrations on inactive status because of inability to locate registrant despite careful inquiry. Two such registrations were canceled, withdrawn, or restored to active status during the year.

Broker-Dealer Inspections

Inspections of brokers and dealers are undertaken pursuant to section 17 of the Securities Exchange Act for the purpose of determining whether registrants are in compliance with the requirements of law. To a considerable extent, the inspection work is correlated with the examination of the financial reports filed by brokers and dealers, for when these financial reports reflect weak financial condition it is necessary to make prompt inquiry to determine whether customers' funds and securities are in jeopardy and whether remedial action may be necessary or appropriate. Inspections are also frequently made as a result of complaints made to the Commission by customers, but the facts presented by complainants are carefully considered before any decision is made to make an inspection as a result of their complaints.

During the 1948 fiscal year a total of 841 inspection reports were received from the Commission's regional offices. In 24 of these inspections the question of financial condition required consideration and continued surveillance. In 217 inspections the reports disclosed transactions at prices sufficiently different from prevailing market prices to raise some question as to the fair treatment of customers. For the most part, however, transactions of this type by the firms involved were isolated and did not represent the characteristic pattern of their business; 177 inspections disclosed information indicating noncompliance with regulation T relating to the extension of credit. In 55 inspections, questions were raised concerning noncompliance with the rules adopted by the Commission with respect to hypothecation and commingling of customers' securities. In only 3 inspections were secret profits reported—transactions in which a firm misrepresents to customers the prices at which the customers' orders are executed.

The Commission has continued its established policy of giving informal notice of infractions to a firm when there is no indication of willful disregard of the law, and generally as a result of such notice

the infractions are promptly discontinued and measures taken to prevent their recurrence. There are, of course, inspections in which acts and practices are discovered which represent such disregard of the interests of customers that investigations looking to appropriate action by the Commission are undertaken. During the 1948 fiscal year 13 such investigations were undertaken.

Administrative Proceedings

Among the sanctions which the Commission is authorized to apply against brokers and dealers who violate the law are revocation of registration or denial of registration to a new applicant. The Commission may also take action to suspend or expel brokers and dealers from membership in the National Association of Securities Dealers and from membership on national securities exchanges.

A summary of the administrative proceedings instituted by the Commission during the 1948 fiscal year with respect to brokers and dealers

is given below.

Record of broker-dealer proceedings and proceedings to suspend or expel from membership in a national securities association instituted pursuant to section 15 of the Securities Exchange Act

Proceedings on revocation of registration pending at beginning of fiscal	
rearProceedings on revocation of registration and suspension or expulsion from	4
NASD pending at beginning of fiscal year	2
Proceedings on denial of registration pending at beginning of fiscal year	1
Proceedings ordered during year on revocation of registration	13
Proceedings ordered during year on revocation of registration and suspen-	
sion or expulsion from NASD	9
Proceedings ordered during year on denial of registration	- 6
-	
Total	35
=	
Denial proceedings dismissed, withdrawal of application being permitted.	3
Denial proceedings resulting in registration under terms and conditions	2
Registration denied	2
Registration revokedi	9
Revocation proceedings pending at end of fiscal year	10
Revocation proceedings and proceedings to expel or suspend from NASD	
pending at end of fiscal year	9
	
Total	35

During the past 10 years a substantial number of administrative proceedings and several criminal prosecutions against brokers and dealers have involved the fraudulent practice of dealing in securities at prices not reasonably related to the prevailing market prices without disclosure of the current market, and of confirming transactions "as principal" when by its representations and conduct the firm in fact acted as the customer's agent. Such practices, in part, resulted during the 1948 fiscal year in the revocation of the registration of May-Phinney Co. and in the denial of registration to Washington National Co.; Inc., controlled by Herbert R. May who had been a general partner in the May-Phinney firm and before that had operated as a sole proprietorship under the name of Herbert R. May & Co. By various artifices May sought and gained the trust and confidence of many customers who were uninformed in securities matters and relied on his

Securities Exchange Act release No. 4061 (1948).

representations and recommendations in the belief that he was acting in their behalf and for their best interests. Having thus insulated himself against any suspicion on the part of the customer, it was May's practice to recommend that the customer purchase a particular security at a price which he knew, but did not disclose to the customer, was far in excess of the market price of that security and to confirm the transaction as a sale by him "as principal." Similarly, he would recommend the sale by the customers of particular securities at prices far below the current market prices and again would confirm the transaction as

a purchase by him "as principal."

The Commission held that, by virtue of the trust relationship cultivated by him and the understanding reached by customers from his representations that he would act in their behalf and for them, he was under a duty not to deal with them for his own account (as principal) without their express consent. Furthermore, under these circumstances, he was obligated to obtain for them the best possible prices and to divulge all the profits he made. In violation of this duty May took large secret profits and without disclosing the facts charged customers prices greatly in excess of the current market prices, thereby violating the antifraud provisions of the statutes. But even assuming he had no duty to act as agent and assuming that he was in fact a principal, the Commission held that these transactions would also be fraudulent because the prices customers paid and received were not reasonably related to the prevailing market prices. The Commission also found that May violated the law in the sale of preferred stock of Washington Chemical & Salt Corp, which he organized and promoted, This stock was sold without registration under the Securities Act in violation of section 5 (a), and in the sale May made false and misleading representations with respect to the company's financial condition and the value of its properties. This violation was all the more reprehensible because about 80 percent of the stock was sold to six widows, uninformed customers whose trust and confidence he had cultivated and who, from the very beginning of their dealings in securities with May, had indicated to him their need for nonspeculative investments which would produce a reasonable income.

In proceedings on the question of revocation of the broker-dealer registration of Arleen W. Hughes, doing business as E. W. Hughes & Co., the primary legal issue related to disclosures required of a fiduciary. Mrs. Hughes, registered as both a broker and dealer and as an investment adviser, transacted business in securities with about 175 investment advisory clients with whom she had entered into a written contract which purported to declare the respective rights and obligations of the parties. Under the contract Mrs. Hughes would act as a broker or dealer and investment adviser, and it provided that when acting as investment adviser, she should act as a principal "in every such transaction, except as otherwise agreed." The contract contained a schedule of "maximum rates and charges," expressed in points on a base-price formula, to be paid by the client on all purchases of securities. In the actual operation of her business, it was Mrs. Hughes' practice to handle the client's entire account, advising the client with reference to an investment program, furnishing information and making recommendations as to particular securities,

⁶ Securities Exchange Act releases Nos. 4048, 4073, and 4080 (1948).

and in connection with such recommendations she would give an approximate price that the securities would cost the client. If the client agreed to the purchase she would either supply it from inventory or purchase it to cover the sale and then, as principal, confirm the transaction as a sale of the security by her to the client at net price plus insurance and postage. The Commission's staff took the position that, because of the fiduciary relationship established by the contract, Mrs. Hughes was under a duty in each transaction to disclose the cost of the security to her and the best current market price. Mrs. Hughes, on the other hand, although admitting her fiduciary relationship to clients, contended that the disclosures made in the contract satisfied all of her fiduciary duties and obligations. The Commission, in its opinion and findings, held that her failure to disclose fully to her clients the nature and extent of her adverse interest in transactions with clients, including her cost of the security and the best price available on a purchase in the open market, constituted a violation of the antifraud provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Mrs. Hughes filed a petition with the Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia for review of the Commission's order revoking her broker-dealer registration and obtained a stay of the order pending such review.

In revoking the registration of Light, Wofsey & Benesch, Inc., and denying registration to Light Bros., the Commission considered certain activities of Abraham Light, who was the dominant figure in both firms and also treasurer and a director of Seco Signal Corp. which he and his associates organized and promoted. Securities of Seco had been sold to the public by a predecessor of Light, Wofsey & Benesch, Inc. The evidence disclosed certain instances in which Light made or was responsible for making express misrepresentations in offering Seco securities. In addition, it appeared that, before various contracts for the sale of the securities had been completed by delivery, Light and certain of his associates in at least two transactions obtained secret profits at the expense of Seco. At about the time of its formation Seco, acting through Light as treasurer and director, purchased a building and Light secretly received \$500 of the commission from Herbert I. Benesch, the agent representing the seller of the building. Later, Light participated in the sale of electric storage batteries on behalf of Seco which resulted in a diversion from the company treasury of \$25,000. This sum was divided among four persons, including Abraham Light, all of whom then made contributions to organize Light, Wofsey & Benesch, Inc. and became its officers. No disclosure was

made of any of the facts relating to the diversions.

The Commission concurred in the finding of the hearing officer that the express misrepresentations and the diversion of funds from Seco without disclosure of the facts operated as a fraud on the public purchasers of the company's securities by Light and his associates, and constituted willful violations of the antifraud provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The specter of the bucket shop appeared in three proceedings during the year in which the Commission ordered revocation of regis-

Securities Exchange Act release No. 4052 (1948).

tration.7 The general pattern of conduct was substantially the same in all three instances. Customers were solicited to purchase particular securities which the firm highly recommended, and were induced by varying degrees of pressure and by various false representations to enter their orders. At the time a customer agreed to effect a purchase the firm would request payment of the purchase price, which would be made by the customer with the understanding, of course, that the transaction would be promptly executed. The firm, on the other hand, would not deliver the purchased securities nor would it return the cash it had received, but instead converted the cash paid by the customer to its own use. Similarly, customers who delivered securities to the firm for sale with the understanding that the proceeds of the sale would be promptly turned over to them received neither the proceeds nor the securities they had surrendered. Moreover, in the Bauer proceeding the evidence showed that, contrary to the representations in the application for registration, one D. W. Dawes, not K. L. Bauer, was the real owner and manager of the business. Bauer, in street jargon, was merely a front for Dawes who, as his own convenience or necessity dictated, used various other names.

SUPERVISION OF NASD ACTIVITY

Membership

In the 1948 fiscal year membership in the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (NASD), the only national securities association registered with the Commission, increased to a year-end total of 2,677, a gain of 63 during the year. At the same date 26,228 individuals, including generally all partners, officers, traders, salesmen, and all other persons employed by member firms in capacities which involved doing business directly with the public, were registered with the NASD as registered representatives, an increase of 655 during the year.

Disciplinary Actions

The Commission received reports from the NASD during the 1948 fiscal year on the dispositions of 10 disciplinary actions in which formal complaints had been filed against members. In three of these cases the complaints were dismissed. In the other seven cases the appropriate district business conduct committee found that the NASD rules of fair practice had been violated by the accused members and imposed various penalties. The membership of one firm was suspended for 30 days; one member was fined \$1,000 and censured and another was fined \$250 and censured; in two cases members were censured and assessed costs of the proceedings; and in one case the member was censured. The seventh case involved a complaint filed jointly against a firm and one of its registered representatives, an officer and employee of the firm, charging the misappropriation of customers' funds and securities and the falsification of the books and records of the firm to conceal the misappropriations. The district business conduct committee having jurisdiction found that the reg-

⁷James E. Scott & Co., Securities Exchange Act release No. 4088 (1948); Louis J. Burns, Securities Exchange Act release No. 4087 (1948); Kenneth Lee Bauer, Securities Exchange Act release No. 4006 (1948).

misappropriations.

istered representatives had violated the rules as alleged in the complaint and revoked his registration as registered representative. The committee found no fault with the employing firm and dismissed the complaint as to it on a finding that the firm had brought to light the alleged violations, had promptly instituted a complete audit, had called the matter to the attention of the appropriate authorities, and had brought about full restitution to all customers injured by the

As is its custom the Commission referred to the NASD for appropriate action facts concerning the business practices of members where there were indications of possible violations of the NASD rules of fair practice. Seven such references were made in the 1948 fiscal year and one had been in process before the NASD at the start of that year. Reports on six of these matters were received from the NASD during the year. Two of these involved formal complaint procedures in which violations were found, as reported above, and in which some penalty was imposed on the offending member. The other four cases had been disposed of by informal means. In these four cases the NASD undertook its own examination of the members cited in the reference. In two cases such examination, subsequent to the date of the facts referred to, showed a marked change in the practices of the member following the inspection by the Commission. Consideration of such correction and improvement led to the conclusion that no further action against the members was necessary. Independent examinations in the remaining two cases revealed other relevant facts or circumstances such as to convince the committees having jurisdiction that there was no basis for formal disciplinary action.

Commission Review of Actions on Membership

Under section 15A (g) of the Securities Exchange Act the Commission may review certain types of action by the NASD, including cases wherein membership is denied to an applicant. Such cases come before the Commission either on its own motion or on application by an aggrieved party. One such case, involving DeWitt Investment Co.. came before and was decided by the Commission during the year.

The petitioner had been denied membership on the grounds that (1) its principal officer, Paul K. Guthrie, had been suspended from the Philadelphia Stock Exchange in 1922 for conduct inconsistent with just and equitable principles of trade, and (2) the petitioning firm was not regularly engaged in the business of acting as a broker or dealer in securities.

After hearing, as well as on the record made before the NASD, the Commission held that inasmuch as the suspension by the exchange had occurred prior to the enactment of the Securities Exchange Act it was not a valid disqualification from membership in the NASD. On the second point the Commission stressed that, although the petitioner had theretofore effected only a few securities transactions, its stated purpose with respect to business proposed to be done if given the benefits of membership should also have been considered, particularly in the early stages of a new venture. Consideration of the firm's past activities and professed intention led the Commission to find that the petitioner was actually engaged in the business of effecting transac-

tions in securities and thus was not ineligible for membership under Section 1 of article 1 of the NASD bylaws. As required by statute under the circumstances, the Commission by order set aside the action of the NASD and required the NASD to admit the firm to membership.8

Under section 15A (b) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act the Commission may be petitioned to determine whether it is in the public interest to approve or direct the admission to or continuation in membership of a firm if a partner, officer, director, or employee individually is disqualified from membership. Such petitions are in the first instance passed on by the NASD which, if it acts favorably to the applicant, becomes the petitioner before the Commission on behalf of the applicant. Should the NASD reject such an application, the applicant may directly seek a Commission order directing the NASD

to admit or continue the applicant as a member.

The Commission gives public notice of the receipt of applications under section 15A (b) (4) so that interested parties may present their views or request public hearings. In the absence of such a request the Commission either sets the matter down for hearing on its own motion or decides the question on the basis of the record without hearing. In addition the Commission will, upon request and under appropriate circumstances, keep confidential the identity of the employing firm, a procedure developed when it was advised that the publicity attendant upon a Commission proceeding had discouraged some members from taking the necessary legal steps to obtain approval of the employment of persons under some disqualification but who, with due regard to the public interest, might appropriately be employed under supervision of an NASD member.

Two "approval" cases were decided by the Commission during the 1948 fiscal year and seven applications were in process or under advisement at the year end. One decision concerned Alois G. Scheidel, held by NASD to have been a "cause" for the expulsion in 1941 of A. G. Scheidel & Co., by and from the NASD under circumstances which required Commission approval or direction for the admission to or continuation in membership of any firm with which he later became associated. A petition was subsequently filed with the Commission by the NASD on behalf of Minnesota Securities Corp. who then employed The NASD found the firm ineligible for membership due to the employment of Scheidel, a disqualified person, but petitioned the Commission to approve the admission of the firm to membership.

The Commission granted the application.9

A somewhat similar case involved John J. Bell, formerly a partner of W. F. Thompson & Co. and a "cause" for the expulsion of that firm by and from the NASD in 1942 for conduct inconsistent with just and equitable principles of trade. Bell subsequently obtained employment with a member firm which sought by petition to retain membership while employing a disqualified person. As in the former case the NASD acknowledged the disqualification but petitioned the Commission in Bell's behalf and the Commission approved the application.¹⁰

⁸ Securities Exchange Act release No. 4076 (1948).
9 Securities Exchange Act release No. 4033 (1947).
10 Securities Exchange Act release No. 4034 (1947).

CHANGES IN RULES AND FORMS

Rule X-3A12-2-Exemption for Municipally Guaranteed Securities

This rule was originally adopted to permit exchange trading without registration under the act in a security the income of which is substantially guaranteed by a State or political subdivision thereof. Under an amendment adopted during the fiscal year the exemption is extended to cover the period while a company previously exempted under the rule is in process of dissolution.¹¹

Rule X-11D-1-Extension of Credit by Broker-Dealers

Section 11 (d) (1) generally prohibits a broker-dealer from effecting any transaction in connection with which he extends credit to a customer on any security which was part of a new issue in whose distribution he participated during the preceding 6-month period. In some cases the "new issue" subject to section 11 (d) (1) consists of additional shares of a class previously outstanding. In such cases old securities of the same class are not subject to the prohibition against extending credit. As a result it may be difficult during the 6-month period to distinguish between shares of the "new issue," which are subject to the rule, and the previously outstanding shares, which are not. A purchaser's ability to obtain credit from his broker in such a situation would depend therefore on the particular shares which the seller happened to deliver after the transaction. The problem was particularly difficult where the majority of the outstanding shares were "old" shares, not subject to the rule.

Under an amendment to rule X-11D1-1 during the year the problem was minimized by exempting a security of a mixed class, not predominently "new," provided that the particular security was sold to or purchased for the customer by the broker-dealer after he ceased to participate in the distribution of the "new issue." However, the proposal does not remove the prohibition against extensions of credit in connection with sales of "new" shares effected by a broker-dealer during the distribution of the "new issue."

Rules X-12A-4, X-12D3-1 and X-12D3-2—Exemption From Listing for "When-Issued" Dealing

Holders of a security dealt in on an exchange are not afforded an exchange market for short-term warrants or subscription rights which have been issued to them unless such warrants or rights are registered on an exchange or each "subject security" (the security to be acquired by the exercise of the warrant or subscription right) is admitted to dealing or is "in the process of admission to dealing on a national securities exchange"; and they are not afforded an exchange market on a "when-issued" basis for such warrants or rights which are to be issued to them in the future, unless, among other prerequisites, each "subject security" is "in the process of admission to dealing on a national securities exchange."

The three rules in question were amended by waiving the "in the process of admission" requirement to permit exempt trading in issued warrants and "when-issued" dealing in unissued warrants on national

Securities Exchange Act release No. 4077 (1948).
 Securities Exchange Act release No. 4044 (1948).

securities exchanges in certain cases where information regarding each subject security and its issuer is available from registration statements and periodic reports filed with the Commission under any of the statutes which it administers.¹³

Forms 12-K and 12A-K-Annual Report Forms

Companies which report to the Interstate Commerce Commission on Form A are permitted, in connection with reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission on Forms 12–K and 12A–K, to file certain selected schedules in lieu of a complete Form A. Because of changes made in Form A by the Interstate Commerce Commission for the year ended December 31, 1947, the Commission revised the selected schedules to conform to these changes.¹⁴

LITIGATION UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT

Injunction and Appellate Proceedings Involving Brokers and Dealers

Most of the court cases under the act during the year were injunction actions against brokers and dealers who either were or should have been registered with the Commission under section 15 (a) of the act.

Three actions against registered brokers and dealers involved the financial responsibility of the defendants. In these three cases, SEC v. Light, Wofsey & Benesch, Inc., et al., SEC v. Raymond, Bliss, Inc., and SEC v. York, the Commission's complaints charged that the defendants, in violation of the fraud provisions of the act, had accepted money and securities from customers without advising them that the defendants were insolvent. In addition, the Light complaint alleged a violation of rule X-15C3-1 of the act, which prohibits a broker-dealer from permitting his aggregate indebtedness to exceed 2,000 percent of his net capital, and the Raymond, Bliss and York complaints alleged the hypothecation of customers' securities without their knowledge or consent.

In the Light case the Commission's complaint alleged that, except for furniture, fixtures, and certain other items which could not be readily converted into cash, the firm's assets consisted of a total of approximately \$30 in cash and documentary stamps while it had liabilities in excess of \$5,000. After the United States District Court at Baltimore had entered a preliminary injunction on this count, the Commission in an administrative proceeding revoked the firm's broker-dealer registration for violations including the insolvency count. The revocation of the firm's registration having rendered the court action moot, the Commission's complaint and the preliminary injunction were thereafter dismissed without prejudice.¹⁶

Both the Raymond, Bliss, and York cases were pending from the preceding fiscal year and are described in the Thirteenth Annual Report, pages 58-59. In the Raymond, Bliss case a consent permanent injunction was entered on the Commission's complaint during the past fiscal year after the family of the registrant, who was deceased, had made an assignment of \$30,000 for the benefit of creditors. Because of the assignment the Commission's request for the appoint-

¹³ Securities Exchange Act release No. 4053 (1948).
¹⁴ Securities Exchange Act release No. 4075 (1948).
¹⁵ Civil No. 3645, D. Maryland, April 7, 1948.

ment of a receiver of the firm's assets was denied. However, the court reserved jurisdiction on the question to permit a future request by the Commission for a receiver should developments so require. 16 The York case was dismissed during the past fiscal year after the defendant had been shot and killed by his principal creditor.17 concurrent administrative proceeding instituted by the Commission to revoke York's registration as a broker-dealer was also thereupon discontinued.18

Two of the injunction actions involved persons conducting a business in securities without being registered with the Commission as required by section 15 (a) of the act. One was SEC v. Atlas Investment Co., Inc., Anchor Investment Co., Inc., and John R. Jones, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. The two corporations had been engaged in the securities business in St. Joseph, Mo., under the management and control of Jones, who was a stockholder in both corporations. The defendants admitted that they had defrauded their customers by misrepresenting the prices at which they were effecting securities transactions and by taking secret profits. In addition it was admitted that the corporations, not having registered as brokers and dealers, had neglected to keep proper records and to file reports of financial condition with the Commission, and had not given proper confirmations to their customers. The defendants consented to the entry of a final injunction as sought by the Commission.19

The second of these actions was SEC v. Burmeister & Co., Inc., J. E. Burmeister, and Max Leiber, filed in the United States District Court at Nashville, Tenn. The Commission in its complaint charged that the corporation had been executing transactions in securities without being registered as a broker or dealer with the Commission and that the individual defendants had aided and abetted the corporation in this violation of section 15 (a) of the act. The complaint charged also that the defendants for several years had been selling securities consisting of fractional undivided interests in oil and gas leases and royalties in mineral rights in land in Texas without complying with the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. A permanent injunction by consent was entered shortly after the close of the fiscal year.20

With respect to appellate court proceedings to review Commission orders, only one new action was instituted during the past fiscal year. That case is Arleen W. Hughes v. SEC, filed in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit shortly before the close of the fiscal year.21. The action is one to review the Commission's order revoking the broker-dealer registration of Mrs. Hughes. The basis of the appeal is that the Commission erred as a matter of law in finding that it was a willful violation of the antifraud provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the registrant, who was registered both as a broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act and as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, to sell her own securities to her investment advisory clients without fully disclosing the nature and extent

<sup>Civil No. 5999, D. Massachusetts, Sept. 12, 1947.
Civil No. 894, W. D. Texas, July 31, 1947.
Securities Exchange Act release No. 3965 (1947).
Civil No. 469, W. D. Missouri, June 24, 1948.
M. D. Tennessee, July 1948.
No. 9853, App. D. C.</sup>

of her adverse interest. This disclosure, the Commission held, should have included the capacity in which she acted (i. e., whether as principal or agent), the cost of securities to her, and the current market price of the securities. The appeal alleged also that it was unlawful discrimination on the part of the Commission to treat the registrant, who was registered as an investment adviser as well as a broker-dealer, differently from any other registered broker-dealer in imposing duties of disclosure.

A second appellate court action involving a broker-dealer was Lann v. SEC, pending from the preceding year. This appeal, described in the Thirteenth Annual Report at pages 60-61, was the first petition for judicial review of a Commission finding of manipulation in the overthe-counter market. Lann was a partner of M. S. Wien & Co., whose registration as a broker-dealer the Commission had revoked for an over-the-counter manipulation in violation of sections 10 (b) and 15 (c) (1) of the Securities Exchange Act and rules X-10B-5 and X-15C1-2 thereunder. While the court review was pending Lann filed an application with the Commission for registration as a brokerdealer, Wien & Co. having been readmitted to registration after Lann's separation from the firm. The Commission, in view of Lann's having been out of business for a year and in consideration of his record both prior and subsequent to the revocation of the registration of Wien & Co., permitted Lann's application for registration to become effective. Lann's petition in the appellate court to review the revocation of Wien & Co. was thereupon dismissed.²²

The final appellate court review proceeding during the year was Norris & Hirschberg, Inc. v. SEC, an appeal from a Commission order revoking the petitioner's registration as a broker-dealer for violation of the antifraud provisions of the Securities and Securities Exchange Acts. After the Commission had filed a transcript of its record in the court of appeals the petitioner raised numerous objections to a consideration of the case by the court upon that transcript. Some of those objections have been discussed in the Twelfth Annual Report at pages 35-6 and 41 and in the Thirteenth Annual Report at page 61. During the past year an effort was again made to compel the Commission to include in the transcript of record a summary of the evidence which it was alleged the staff had prepared for the use of the individual Commissioners, and petitioner sought to inquire into the decisional process of the Commission to determine how various items in the record to which it objected were treated by the Commission. The petitioner filed a motion asking that a master be appointed, interrogatories framed and issued, or detailed statements concerning these matters certified by the Commission. The court of appeals denied the motion and denied a request for findings of fact and conclusions of law. The petitioner then applied to the United States Supreme Court for a writ of certiorari. This too was denied,23 and just before the close of the fiscal year the court of appeals heard argument on the merits of the case.

Injunction Actions Against Persons Other Than Broker-Dealers

One case in this category consummated during the year was SEC v. Transamerica Corp., an action based on regulation X-14, compris-

²² No. 9460, App. D. C., November 15, 1947.

^{24 333} U. S. 867 (1948).

ing the Commission's proxy rules. This case was reported previously in the Twefth Annual Report at page 106 and in the Thirteenth Annual Report at page 62. The action was brought by the Commission for the purpose, among others, of compelling the defendant corporation to resolicit proxies originally obtained as a result of solicitations which failed to include proposals which a minority stockholder sought to have brought before the annual meeting. These proposals were: (1) To permit the stockholders to amend the bylaws at any annual meeting without the requirement that such proposed amendments be contained in the corporation's notice of meeting; (2) to hold the annual meetings in San Francisco, Calif., instead of Wilmington, Del.; (3) to cause auditors to be elected by the stockholders and to have a representative of the auditors last chosen attend the annual meeting; and (4) to require that an account of the proceedings at annual meetings be sent to all stockholders. These proposals had been submitted to the corporation by the minority stockholder under rule X-14A-7 (since redesignated rule X-14A-8), which provides that, if a qualified security holder has given the management reasonable notice that he intends to present a proposal which is a "proper subject for action" by security holders, the management shall set forth the proposal in the proxy soliciting material and provide means by which the security holders can vote on the proposal as required elsewhere in the proxy rules.

The Commission supported the request of the minority stockholder on all four proposals. The district court sustained the position of the Commission on the proposal relating to the election of independent auditors by the stockholders, but held for the corporation on proposals (1) and (4). Proposal (2) had become most by the corporation's changing the place of annual meeting to San Francisco. However, the court granted the Commission's request for an order enjoining the management from violating section 14 (a) of the Securities Ex-

change Act and rules X-14A-2 and X-14A-7 thereunder.24

On appeals by both sides to the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, the district court's decision was modified to sustain the position of the Commission on the three proposals still in issue.²⁵ The court of appeals found for the Commission on the ground that (a) each of the proposals was "a proper subject for action" by the stockholders under the law of Delaware, where the defendant was incorporated, and (b) the management's attempt to block any stockholder proposal by declining to include it in the notice of meeting was contrary to the purpose of Congress in the Securities Exchange Act to prevent the control of corporations by a very few persons. The corporation filed a petition for a writ of certiorari in the Supreme Court of the United States, which was denied.26 Thereafter, without submitting the matter to a vote of the stockholders, the board of directors adopted the proposals concerning the selection of the auditors and the sending of reports to stockholders. The third proposal, designed to amend the by-law provision relied upon by the management to preclude matters from being taken up at annual meetings, was abandoned by its stockholder sponsor as unnecessary in the light of the decision of the court of appeals.

 ^{24 67} F. Supp. 326 (D. Delaware 1946).
 25 163 F. (2d) 511 (C. C. A. 3, 1947).
 26 332 U. S. 847 (1948).

Participation by the Commission in Private Actions

It is the usual practice of the Commission, where private litigation involves questions of construction of the statutes it administers, to seek leave of the court to express its views in a memorandum filed as amicus curiae. One case in which the Commission filed such a memorandum during the year was Phillips v. The United Corp., in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Commission took the position that the court had jurisdiction to entertain an action by a complaining stockholder for an injunction and other equitable relief founded upon alleged violations of the Commission's proxy rules promulgated under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, provided that the stockholder had exhausted his administrative remedy by first bringing his complaint to the Commission for any action it might take in the exercise of its primary responsibility for securing enforcement of the statutes it administers. The Commission conceded that the stockholder had satisfied this condition in the instant case, but expressed the view that the action was without merit because: (1) To the extent that it sought invalidation of a stockholder's vote on a management plan for the operation of The United Corp. as an investment company after it had ceased to be a holding company, a justiciable controversy was not before the court since the vote was without legal significance except as it might affect future discretionary action of the Commission; and (2) to the extent that the plaintiff sought invalidation of the election of United's board of directors on the ground of unlawful expenditures by the management in the solicitation of proxies in violation of the Commission's rule U-65, it did not appear from the circumstances that the rule had in fact been violated.

The court sustained the Commission's position that such a suit could be brought by a stockholder and indicated agreement with certain of the other views expressed by the Commission, but denied the defendants' motion for summary judgment on the ground that the plaintiff should be afforded an opportunity to prove certain of his charges at a trial.27 Shortly before the close of the fiscal year, the issues as to the election of directors having been made moot by their uncontested reelection at a subsequent annual meeting of stockholders, the court stayed the cause pending such disposition as the Commission might make of an application by the corporation for an order declaring it no longer to be a public utility holding company.28 Following the close of the fiscal year an appeal was taken by the plaintiff to the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, where it was heard together with a related appeal 20 taken directly to that court under section 24 (a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act with respect to certain action of the Commission preliminary to the management's solicitation of proxies.

There were a number of additional actions during the year, involving sections 10 (b), 14, and 16 (b) of the act, in which the Commission either participated as amicus curiae or over which close observation was maintained. In none of these were there any particularly significant developments during the year.

CCH Fed. Sec. Law Serv., par. 90, 395.
 CCH Fed. Sec. Law Serv., par. 90, 412.
 Phillips v. Securities and Exchange Commission, No. 20, 523.

PART III

ADMINISTRATION OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY HOLDING COMPANY ACT OF 1935

The Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 was adopted following an extensive investigation by the Federal Trade Commission and after exhaustive hearings and debates by the Congress. These inquiries disclosed a variety of abuses in public-utility holding company finance and operations which the act was designed to correct. The more significant of these are enumerated in section 1 (b) of the act: (1) Inadequate disclosure to investors of the information necessary to appraise the financial position and earning power of the companies whose securities they purchase; (2) the issuance of securities against fictitious and unsound values; (3) the overloading of the operating companies with debt and fixed charges thus tending to prevent voluntary rate reductions; (4) the imposition of excessive charges upon operating companies for various services such as management, supervision of construction and the purchase of supplies and equipment; (5) the control by holding companies of the accounting practices and rate, dividend and other policies of their operating subsidiaries so as to complicate or obstruct state regulation; (6) the control of subsidiary holding companies and operating companies through disproportionately small investment; (7) the extension of holding company systems without relation to economy of operations or to the integration and coordination of related properties.

The act covers all holding company systems which are engaged in

the electric utility business or in the retail distribution of natural or manufactured gas. It was particularly designed to eliminate holding companies serving no useful purpose, and thus to afford to the operating companies the advantages of localized management and to strengthen local regulation. This objective finds its most direct expression in section 11 of the act. Section 11 (b) (1) requires the operations of holding company systems to be limited to one or more integrated systems and to such additional businesses as are reasonably incidental or economically necessary or appropriate to the operation of the integrated systems. Section 11 (b) (2) requires elimination of undue complexities in corporate structures of holding company systems and the redistribution of voting power among their security holders on a fair and equitable basis. The act provides also for the registration of holding companies (sec. 5); regulation of security transactions of holding companies and their subsidiaries (secs. 6 and 7); regulation of acquisitions of securities and utility assets by holding companies and their subsidiaries (secs. 9 and 10); regulation of sales of public utility securities or assets, payment of dividends, solicitation of proxies, intercompany loans and other intrasystem transactions (sec. 12); control of services, sales, and construction contracts (sec. 13); and the control of accounting practices (sec. 15).

COMPANIES REGISTERED UNDER THE ACT

As of June 30, 1948, there were registered under the act 46 public utility holding company systems comprising 73 holding and subholding companies, 309 electric and gas subsidiaries, and 323 nonutility subsidiaries. The assets of these 705 companies aggregated approximately \$14,488,000,000. On June 30, 1947, 52 holding company systems had been registered, with 89 holding and subholding companies, 336 electric and gas subsidiaries and 409 nonutility subsidiaries. The assets of those 834 companies aggregated about \$15,350,000,000. The decrease of approximately \$870,000,000 in assets subject to the statute reflects primarily the divestment of companies and properties under section 11 modified by a substantial expansion of assets of those companies remaining under the act, as indicated below.

Total assets subject to act June 30, 1947	\$15, 350, 000, 000
Less:	
Direct and indirect divestments of companies and properties no longer subject to the act, less consideration received therefor \$1,606,000,000 Assets of companies dissolved or exempted 9,000,000	1, 615, 000, 000
Add:	13, 735, 000, 000
Net growth of assets from business expansion, etc	.,,.
Assets of companies not previously consolidated 63,000,000	753, 000, 000
Total assets subject to act June 30, 1948	14, 488, 000, 000

As suggested by these figures, the task of administering the act during the past year has been concentrated in the integration and simplification of holding company systems and in the processing of a very heavy financing program for the operating utility subsidiaries.

INTEGRATION AND CORPORATE SIMPLIFICATION UNDER SECTION 11

Under standards requiring both the disposal of properties not retainable under the physical integration standards of section 11 (b) (1) and the elimination of undue corporate complexities as required by section 11 (b) (2), holding companies divested themselves of 39 direct subsidiaries with assets of \$3,198,000,000 during the year. Twenty-nine of these companies with assets of \$1,522,000,000 were released from the jurisdiction of the act and the remainder continued subject to the statute. This compares with the divestment of 31 subsidiaries with assets of \$1,978,000,000 in the 1947 fiscal year, of which number 23 companies, with assets of \$870,000,000, are no longer under the jurisdiction of the act. The total of subsidiaries divested since December 1, 1935 is 470, with total assets of \$11,312,000,000, of which 395 companies with assets of \$6,972,000,000 are no longer subject to the statute. A complete analysis of these divestments appears in the following tables.*

^{*}These data include direct divestments, but not indirect divestments. Thus, if a subholding company and its five small subsidiaries were divested as a group in one operation from a holding company system, the divestment table would show one company divested with assets equal to the consolidated assets of that group of six companies.

Direct divestments of securities and properties, by registered holding companies July 1, 1947, to June 30, 1948

	Number of companies						ompan 000 om	
	Elec- tric	Gas	Non- util- ity	Total	Elec- tric	Gas	Non- util- ity	Total
Divested by exchange or distribution of securities to								
security holders: No longer subject to Holding Company Act Still subject to Holding Company Act Divested by sale of property or securities:	9 5	3 2	1	13 8	\$778 1, 537	\$48 44	\$14 	\$840 1,581
No longer subject to Holding Company Act 3 Still subject to Holding Company Act	11 1	2	3	16 2	490 9	4 86	188	682 95
Total divested	26	8	5.	39	2, 814	182	202	3, 198
•			compa such sa		Sa		e (000,0 tted)	000
Partial sales of property not included in above totals: Assets sold no longer subject to the act	2	3	2	7	\$6	\$3	\$1	\$10
Total	2	3	2	7	6	3	1	10

July 1, 1946, to June 30, 1947

	Number of companies						ompan 000 om	
	Elec- tric	Gas	Non- util- ity	Total	Elec- tric	Gas	Non- util- ity	Total
Divested by exchange or distribution of securities to security holders: No longer subject to Holding Company Act. Still subject to Holding Company Act ¹ Divested by sale of property or securities: ³ No longer subject to Holding Company Act ³ Still subject to Holding Company Act ⁴ Total divested	2 3 9 5	2 	5 6	5 3 18 . 5	\$172 354 620 - 754 1,900	\$16 15 31	\$27 20 47	\$215 354 655 754 1, 978
	Number of companies making such sales				Sa	le pric omit	e (000,0 ted)	00
Partial sales of property not included in above totals: Assets sold no longer subject to the act	3	3	4	10	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$6
Total	3	3	4	10	2	1	3	6

^{. 1} By reason of their relationship to other registered holding companies.

1 Includes all cases where total divestment was effected by sale of entire property to 1 or more buyers.

1 In the case of sales to more than 1 buyer, the company was classified for the purposes of this table in accordance with the disposition of the major portion of its assets sold.

¹ By reason of their relationship to other registered holding companies.
² Includes all cases where total divestment was effected by sales of entire property to 1 or more than 1

In the case of sales to more than 1 buyer, the company was classified in accordance with the disposition of the majority of the assets sold.

Reflects divestment of Pennsylvania Power & Light Co. by Electric Bond & Share Co. The divestment of Pennsylvania Power & Light Co. by National Power & Light Co. is not included in the above summary table figures.

December 1, 1935, to June 30, 1948

							<u>* '</u>	
	Nur	nber o	f comp	anies	Asse veste	ts of o	ompar 000 om	ics di itted)
	Elec- trie	Gas	Non- util- ity	Total	Elec- tric	Gas	Non- util- ity	Total
Divested by exchange or distribution of securities to security holders:			-					
No longer subject to Holding Company Act Still subject to Holding Company Act	23 16	13	. 1	. 20 . 20	\$2,114 3,117	\$482 \$107	\$45 	\$2, 641 3, 224
Divested by sale of property or securities: No longer subject to Holding Company Act Still subject to Holding Company Act Still subject to Holding Company Act	142 38	92 14	121	355 55	3,384 985	369 111	578 ·20	4, 331 1, 110
Total divested	219	122	129	470	9,600	1,069	643	11, 312
	Nun	nber of aking s	compa	nies les	(0	Sale 00,000	price .	1)
Partial sales of property not included in above totals: Assets sold no longer subject to the act Assets sold still subject to the act	56 11	19 5	32	107 17	\$86 11	\$11- 4	\$31	\$128
Total.	67	24	33	124	97	15	32	144
		ı	ı	I	I	1	1	i

¹ By reason of their relationship to other registered holding companies.

² Northern Natural Gas Co., which was a subsidiary in 3 holding company systems and itself a registered holding company, was reflected in these figures as 1 divestment.

⁵ Includes all cases where total divestment was effected by sale of entire property to 1 or more buyers.

⁶ In the case of sales to more than 1 buyer, the company was classified for the purposes of this table in accordance with the disposition of the major portion of its assets.

Viewed from the standpoint of methods of disposition employed; the divestments of the past year have followed a pattern very similar. to that of the 1947 fiscal year. Reflecting continuation of the less favorable markets for equity securities which have prevailed since the latter part of 1946, only four divestments were accomplished during the 1948 fiscal year by means of public offerings for cash. These involved common stock of the following companies:

Detroit Edison Co. Northern Natural Gas Co. Public Service Co. of New Mexico. American Water Works Co., Inc.

Both the Detroit Edison and the Northern Natural Gas common stocks had previously enjoyed good markets, which facilitated their distribution. The American Water Works stock was sold subject to the prior exercise of subscription warrants and exchange rights.

Five divestments were effected by means of sales to cooperatives or public utility districts, 14 represented sales to other utilities, and 3 were private sales to individuals. The great bulk of the divestments took the form of outright distributions to security holders of the parent companies or of exchanges.

Stocks of the following companies were distributed directly to the

common stockholders of the parent company:

Central Illinois Public Service Co. by Middle West Corp. Conowingo Power Co. by Susquehanna Utilities Co. East Coast Electric Co. by East Coast Public Service Co. El Paso Electric Co. by Engineers Public Service Co. Potomac Electric Power Co. by North American Co. Southern Pennsylvania Power Co. by Susquehanna Utilities Co. South Jersey Gas Co. by Public Service Co. of New Jersey.

Virginia Electric & Power Co. by Engineers Public Service Co. West Penn Electric Co. by American Water Works & Electric Co., Inc. Wisconsin Electric Power Co. by North American Co.

Other distributions to security holders of the parent companies or by exchanges for the securities of parent companies were as follows:

Atlanta Gas Light Co. by Consolidated Electric & Gas Co. Edison-Sault Electric Co. by American States Utilities Corp. Hartford Gas Co. by Connecticut Gas & Coke Securities Co. Interstate Power Co. by Ogden Corp.

Minneapolis Gas Light Co. by American Gas & Power Co.

New England Power Association by International Hydro Electric System. New Haven Gas Light Co. by Connecticut Gas & Coke Securities Co. Portland General Electric Co. by Portland Electric Power Co. Public Service Electric & Gas Co. by Public Service Corp. of New Jersey. Southern California Water Co. by American States Utilities Corp.

These data do not include a number of indirect divestments. In addition, simplification has resulted also from merger or consolidation of companies and many companies have been released from the jurisdiction of the act by exemption. Thus, the over-all reduction in the number of companies subject to the act is much greater than has been indicated. From June 15, 1938, to June 30, 1948, a total of 2,145 companies had become subject to the act, whereas on June 30, 1948, only 714 remained thus subject. Two hundred and forty-nine companies were absorbed by merger or consolidation, 889 were sold, dissolved, or otherwise divested, and 149 were released from jurisdiction of the act by exemption under section 3 or declarations of status under sections 2 and 5. The following tables present the record for the entire period and for each of the past two fiscal years.

Fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

	m-4.1	Eliminations				Com	
	Total com- panies subject to act during period	Absorbed by merger or consolidation	Sales, disso- lutions and other divest- ments	Exemp- tion by rule or order	Other dis- posals ¹	Total	Companies subject to act as of June 30, 1948
Holding companies. Electric and/or gas companies. Nonutilities pius utilities other than electric and/or gas companies.	87 345 421	1 3	13 33 92	1 1	1	14 36 98	73 309 323
Total companies	853	4	138	2	, 4	148	705

Fiscal year ended June 30, 1947

Holding companies Electric and/or gas companies Nonutilities plus utilities other than electric	104 371	1 18	13 17	1	 15 . 35	89 336
and/or gas companies	433	2	21	1	 · 24	409
Total companies	908	21	51	2	 74	. 834

•	(Data)		E	liminatic	ns.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total com- panies subject to act during period	Absorbed by merger or consolidation	Sales, disso- lutions and other divest- ments	Exemp- tion by rule or order	Other dis- posals:	Total	Com- panies subject to act as of June 30, 1948
June 15, 1	938, to	June	30, 194	8			
Holding companies	205 912	23 127	69 368	31 60	1 9 1 48	132 603	73 309
and/or gas companies.	1,019	99	452	58	1 87	696	323
Total companies	2, 136	249	889	149	144	1, 431	705

¹ Principally small or nonutility subsidiaries, with little or no public interest, disposed of by various

The changes in the aggregate assets of holding company systems subject to the act have not been as pronounced during the past 10 years as the sharp reduction in the number of companies. Comparable data for the smaller companies and for nonutility companies have not been assembled for the earlier years, but an analysis has been made of electric and gas subsidiaries with assets of \$5,000,000 or over. This group constitutes the bulk of all assets subject to the act.

From December 31, 1938 to June 30, 1948, a total of 276 companies in this category had become subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. On December 31, 1938, these companies had aggregate assets of approximately \$14,000,000,000. Ninety-two with assets of \$4,100,000,000 on that date have been released from the jurisdiction of the act by divestment 1 or otherwise.2 One hundred and eighty-four companies, with assets of \$9,900,000,000 on December 31, 1938, remained subject to the act. The 92 companies released from the jurisdiction of the act ultimately contracted by merger or consolidation into 62 companies by June 30, 1948, with assets of about \$5,000,000,000. The 184 companies still subject to the act became 155 companies by June 30, 1948, with assets of approximately \$11,000,000,000.3 Overall, the 276 companies originally subject to the act contracted in later years by merger or consolidation (but not by divestment) into 217 companies on June 30, 1948, with assets of approximately \$16,000,000,000.3 Some of the effects of limiting interstate control by holding com-

panies are indicated by a comparison of the geographical dispersion of the systems under the act today with the situation existing in 1940.

	1940	1948
Number of holding company systems providing electric or gas service in— 20 or more States 15 to 19 States 10 to 14 States 5 to 9 States 3 or 4 States 1 or 2 States	2 3 7 17 17 17	None None 3 13 13
Total systems subject to the act	56	ı 45

¹ Does not include Engineers Public Service Co. now in final stages of liquidation and which now has no subsidiaries.

 ⁷² companies with assets of \$3,700,000,000 on December 31, 1938.
 20 companies with assets of \$400,000,000 on December 31, 1938.
 Assets calculated as of December 31, 1947.

While, in past years, substantial emphasis has been placed upon the divestment of nonretainable assets of holding companies, activity has centered also around retainable properties which are being knitted into integrated systems subject to the Commission's continuing jurisdiction. Divested companies remaining subject to the act have been fitted either into new integrated systems created from suitable portions of the old systems or into entirely different systems with which integration of the divested properties is found to be feasible. Thus, the reorganization of the former system of American Water Works & Electric Co., Inc. involved the segregation of the utility and miscellaneous properties under The West Penn Electric Co., a former subsidiary which now is a top registered holding company under the act. The Commonwealth & Southern Corp. reorganization has produced the formation of The Southern Co., a registered holding company whose subsidiaries comprise the former southern utility properties of the old parent company. The so-called "Central System" of American Gas & Electric Co. system is being developed as an integrated utility system through various purchases and sales of properties, thus requiring the parent to remain registered as a holding company. Numerous other systems such as Central and South West Corp., Columbia Gas System, Inc., Consolidated Natural Gas Co., and New England Electric System might also be mentioned in this connection. Some problems of integration remain in many of these systems, but it is now readily apparent that a substantial number of new integrated systems are in the making.

STATUS OF INTEGRATION AND SIMPLIFICATION PROGRAMS

There has been activity during the 1948 fiscal year in nearly all of the public-utility holding company systems subject to the act. Principal developments, however, occurred in the following 15 systems, which are described in this section:

American Gas & Electric Co.
Cities Service Co.
The Commonwealth & Southern Corp.
Electric Bond & Share Co.
Engineers Public Service Co.
International Hydro-Electric System.
The Middle West Corp.
New England Public Service Co.
The North American Co.
Ogden Corp.
Standard Power & Light Corp.
Standard Gas & Electric Co.
The United Corp.
The United Light & Railways Co.
The West Penn Electric Co.

American Gas & Electric Co.

American Gas & Electric Co. (American Gas) has proceeded during the past fiscal year with the divestment of its common stock holdings in Atlantic City Electric Co. (Atlantic City). This constitutes the only remaining holding which the Commission has held to be not retainable by this company under section 11. In September 1947 American Gas disposed of 343,106 shares, or 30 percent of its common stock holdings in Atlantic City, by a sale to underwriters.4 An additional 448,232 shares has since been distributed as dividends by American Gas up to June 30, 1948. It is anticipated that similar stock dividend payments will complete the divestment of this holding by the close of 1948.

In April 1948 Indiana Service Corp., a subsidiary of American Gas, disposed of its transportation properties in Fort Wayne as required by previous order of the Commission.⁵ Indiana Service Co. has since been merged, with Commission approval, into another subsidiary,

Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.6

On August 19, 1948, approval was given to the acquisition by American Gas of the common stock of Citizens Heat, Light & Power Co. (Citizens) of Indiana from United Public Utilities Corp. It was found that this acquisition tended toward the economical and efficient development of the so-called Central System. The approval was conditioned, however, so that American Gas was required to dispose of Citizens' water properties within 1 year from the acquisition date.

Cities Service Co.

Cities Service Co.'s (Cities) plan of corporate simplification filed pursuant to section 11 (e) was consummated in June 1947. Under that plan, new debentures were issued by Cities to holders of its outstanding preferred and preference stocks in a principal amount equivalent to their redemption prices plus accumulated dividend arrears, the latter totaling approximately \$50,000,000. Provision was also made for the immediate retirement of about 40 percent of outstanding long-term debt and for application of anticipated proceeds from the disposition of utility subsidiary companies to the retirement of the remaining long-term debt and to the reduction in the amount of new

Federal Light & Traction Co. (Federal), a subsidiary holding company of Cities, was liquidated in October 1947 pursuant to a plan filed under section 11 (e). The plan was approved on September 11, 1947,8 and provided, in part, for the immediate cash payment to preferred stockholders of the stated value (\$100) of their stock plus accrued dividends and for a pro rata distribution to the common stockholders of shares in Public Service Co. of New Mexico (Public Service) and Federal Liquidating Corp., the latter formed as a vehicle for consummating the plan. The common stockholders of Federal also received an \$11 per share cash distribution. An amount of cash covering the call premium on the outstanding shares of preferred stock was placed in escrow pending a determination of any additional amounts to which the preferred holders may be entitled. Reservation of jurisdiction over this matter was expressly ordered. In April 1948, Cities disposed of its interest in the common stock of Public Service Co. of New Mexico, acquired by reason of the liquidation of Federal. Disposition was made by sale at competitive bidding.

An application was filed in May 1948 by Arkansas Natural Gas Corp. (Arkansas), a holding company subsidiary of Cities, which

<sup>Holding Company Act release No. 7717.
File No. 70-1777.
Holding Company Act release No. 8325.
Holding Company Act release No. 8453.
Holding Company Act release No. 7701.
Holding Company Act releases No. 8067 and 8113.</sup>

involves the creation of two new corporations to which are to be transferred the pipe-line transportation system and the natural-gas producing properties, respectively, now owned by Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. (Ark-Lou), a subsidiary of Arkansas. The capital stocks of the new corporations are to be acquired by Ark-Lou and distributed to its parent, Arkansas. This will leave Ark-Lou owning only gas distribution properties. After these transactions are completed all of the capital stock of Ark-Lou will be sold by Arkansas. Hearings on the application have not yet been concluded.

The Commonwealth & Southern Corp.

On August 1, 1947,¹⁰ the Commission approved a plan, filed as a part of this company's over-all program under section 11, providing, in substance, for the transfer by Commonwealth of all of its interest in four southern operating companies to a new holding company, The Southern Co. (Southern). On the same date, pursuant to the standards of section 11 (b) (1), the Commission required the divestment from the Commonwealth holding company system of its northern subsidiaries, South Carolina Power Co., and its interest in the nonelectric properties of the aforesaid four southern operating subsidiaries. In connection with this plan Commonwealth had agreed to dispose of all its interests in subsidiary companies, other than those transferred to Southern, and to dispose of its interest in Southern after the retirement of Commonwealth's preferred stock.

In accordance with Commonwealth's agreement, a new plan under section 11 (e) was filed by Commonwealth on July 30, 1947. It provided, briefly, for: (a) The retirement of all of its outstanding preferred stock by the distribution in exchange therefor of its portfolio common stock holdings in two subsidiaries, Consumers Power Co. and Central Illinois Light Co.; (b) the distribution of Commonwealth's remaining assets to the holders of its common stock; (c) the cancellation of Commonwealth's option warrants; and (d) the dissolution of Commonwealth.

Hearings on the plan were instituted in October 1947 and concluded in January 1948. Subsequently, representatives of substantial amounts of Commonwealth's preferred and common shareholders offered a "compromise proposal" which would alter somewhat the ratios of stock allocation and the amount of cash payment to the preferred stockholders. On June 11, 1948, the staff of the Division of Public Utilities filed its proposed findings and opinion in which it found that the plan as filed was unfair to the preferred stockholders since it did not adequately compensate them for the surrender of their rights. It was stated, however, that the plan could be found fair if it were amended in a manner consistent with suggestions in the "compromise proposal." On July 7, 1948, Commonwealth amended its plan in a manner deemed by the company to be consistent with the "compromise proposal" and the staff's proposed findings. The hearings were reopened on the amended plan, further evidence taken, and the record closed. The case is now before the Commission for its decision.

During the fiscal year there have been various divestments from the Commonwealth holding company system pursuant to the terms of the statute. Commonwealth's interest in South Carolina Power Co.

Holding Company Act release No. 7615.
 Holding Company Act release No. 7667.

has been sold to a nonaffiliated company.12 Additional divestments by companies in the Commonwealth system included the sale by Alabama Power Co. of its gas distribution properties at Phenix City, Ala., 13 and its transportation properties at Tuscaloosa, Ala.; 14 the sale by Gulf Power Co. of its gas properties in the city of Pensacola, Fla.; the sale by Southern Indiana Gas & Electric Co. of its transportation properties in Evansville, Ind.; 16 and the sale by Georgia Power Co. of its gas properties located in Columbus and Americus, Ga.17

Electric Bond & Share Co.

Electric Bond & Share Co. (Bond & Share) is continuing its program of reducing its holdings of utility stocks, looking toward the final divestment of all such investments in the United States and its emergence as an investment company. Bond & Share presently has four major subholding companies: American & Foreign Power Co., Inc. (Foreign Power); American Power & Light Corp. (American); Electric Power & Light Corp. (Electric) and National Power & Light Co. (National). American Gas & Electric Co., formerly a subholding company, ceased to be a statutory subsidiary of Bond & Share in March 1947.18

The plan of reorganization of Foreign Power was discussed in findings issued by this Commission on November 4, 1947,19 and approved on November 19, 1947,20 after the filing of certain modifications. Application was then made to the United States district court for enforcement. Under the plan, Foreign Power's corporate structure, presently consisting of two classes of debt securities, three series of preferred stock, common stock, and option warrants to purchase common, would be recapitalized and simplified by the issuance and substitution of two debenture issues and common stock. On September 16, 1948, the court entered its decision approving the plan as submitted.

During November 1947, American, which is largest of the four Bond & Share subholding companies, advised the Commission that market conditions were such that its then pending plan was no longer feasible and that it proposed to file a new plan for disposition of its assets and readjustment of the rights of its security holders. The new plan pursuant to section 11 (e) was filed jointly by American and Bond & Share on April 8, 1948.21 In general, the plan proposes the distribution, directly or indirectly, by American to its preferred and common stockholders of all its assets. Specific allocations of American's assets to its preferred and common stockholders were not included in the plan as filed. The joint applicants were not in agreement, at the time of filing, on such allocation. But the two companies expect to reach agreement after the conclusions of hearings on the application or to file separate amendments containing their individual allocation proposals at that time. Bond & Share has indicated that within 1 year after the effective date of the plan it will

PHOIDING Company Act release No. 8080 (1948).

PHOIDING Company Act release No. 7565 (1947).

PHOIDING Company Act release No. 7565 (1947).

PHOIDING Company Act release No. 8163 (1948).

PHOIDING Company Act release No. 8393 (1948).

PHOIDING Company Act release No. 7285.

Holding Company Act release No. 7815.

Holding Company Act release No. 7815.

Holding Company Act release No. 7849.

Holding Company Act release No. 7849.

Holding Company Act release No. 7849.

dispose of any securities received by it from American under the plan. Hearings before the Commission are presently in progress on

this plan.

Electric, another subholding company of Bond & Share, also withdrew the section 11 (e) plan which it had on file with the Commission because of changes in market conditions. A new four-part plan was filed jointly by Electric and Bond & Share on March 25, 1948.22 The plan provides for the transfer to a new company of securities of four of Electric's subsidiaries which operate in the Arkansas, Mississippi and Louisiana area. Electric will receive the common stock of the new company, which will register as an electric utility holding company. Provision is also made for the settlement of claims against Bond & Share and its subsidiaries by the payment of \$2,200,000 to Electric. The third part of the plan contemplates an allocation of Electric's common-stock holdings in United Gas Corp. and the new holding company to the existing classes of preferred stock to effect a retirement of these shares. Finally, Electric is to be dissolved and its assets, consisting of the remaining shares of its holdings in United Gas Corp. and the new holding company, will be distributed among the common stockholders. Hearings on this program have been closed, briefs filed, and oral argument held. The matter is now before the Commission.

National, the fourth subholding company of Bond & Share, has already disposed of substantially all of its interests in utility subsidiaries. The consolidated book assets of this holding company have contracted from a figure of \$570,000,000 at the date of initial registration with the Commission to approximately \$45,000,000 at the present time. In 1947, National filed an amended plan under section 11 (e) for the reorganization of Lehigh Valley Transit Co. (Lehigh), largest of its remaining subsidiaries. This is a further step looking toward the final distribution of National's remaining assets and its complete Under this plan Lehigh would retire its outstanding bonds with cash or the delivery of Pennsylvania Power & Light Co. 41/2 percent preferred stock shares. The preferred stock of Lehigh would be retired by cash payment to the public holders and the issuance of new common shares for those preferred shares held by National. Additional new common would be issued to replace the old common, publicly held, on a share-for-share basis. This plan was approved with some modification by the Commission after the close of this fiscal year 23 and the application for enforcement in the district court has also been approved.

Engineers Public Service Co., Inc.

Engineers Public Service Co., Inc. (Engineers) has progressed with its program under section 11 to the point where its consolidated assets have been reduced from \$370,000,000 at the time of original registration to a current figure of approximately \$5,000,000. Its eventual liquidation awaits the results of final court determination with respect to certain aspects of its plan of reorganization filed under section 11.

This plan as amended was approved by this Commission on January 8, 1947²⁴ and application for enforcement was made in the district

Holding Company Act release No. 8086.
 Holding Company Act releases Nos. 8445 and 8467.
 Holding Company Act release No. 7119.

court. On May 15, 1947, that court disapproved a part of the plan calling for the payment of the full voluntary redemption prices in retiring Engineers' preferred stocks. However, it permitted consummation of the plan by the payment of \$100 plus accrued dividends to the preferred holders and the escrowing of an amount sufficient to cover the difference between the involuntary liquidation price and the voluntary redemption prices in the event that it should be determined on appeal that the preferred stockholders were entitled to the larger amounts.

On appeal from the decision of the district court, the circuit court of appeals on March 19, 1948, expressed agreement with the district court's conclusion that the plan of reorganization for Engineers was unfair and inequitable, but vacated that court's order, upon the ground that the district court should have entered an order disapproving the plan originally submitted to it, instead of modifying the plan as it

had done.25

On August 23, 1948, since the close of the fiscal year, this Commission has petitioned the United States Supreme Court for a review of the final order of the third circuit court. The Supreme Court has granted certiorari.

International Hydro-Electric System

This company (IHES) is presently under order of the Commission to liquidate and dissolve. On September 12, 1947, a plan toward this end was approved. The plan provided for the payment by IHES to its debenture holders of 30 percent of the principal amount of its outstanding debentures.26 The plan also received approval of the district

court and has been consummated.

Additional plans providing for the complete liquidation of IHES have been filed by a number of parties, including the trustee for the company and various security holders. However, one such holder contends that due to changing circumstances the Commission's order for dissolution of the company should be altered to one requiring only its reorganization. Extended hearings on all such plans have been conducted since October 1947 and were not yet concluded at the close of the fiscal period.

The IHES holding company system has already been substantially contracted. At the time of initial registration there were 66 direct and indirect subsidiaries as against 10 at the close of the fiscal year. Consolidated assets likewise show a reduction of approximately

\$400,000,000.

The Middle West Corp.

In May 1947 the management of the Middle West Corp. (Middle West) deemed it advisable for the benefit of stockholders to dissolve the corporation. It presented an appropriate resolution to its stockholders who, in August 1947, voted in favor of this decision. Middle West has filed no overall plan of dissolution but has taken a number of steps tending toward that result. Its common stock holdings in Oklahoma Power & Water Co. were sold to another company in December 1947.27 In February, it disposed of its common stock of Central

 ¹⁶⁸ F. (2d) 722.
 Holding Company Act release No. 7714 (1947).
 Holding Company Act release No. 7942.

Illinois Public Service Corp. by distribution to its own common stockholders.²⁸ A plan of its subsidiary, North West Utilities Co. (North West), approved by the Commission on December 31, 1947,29 was also carried to completion after receipt of the district court order of enforcement in March 1948. This plan, filed under section 11 (e), provided for the distribution of cash and common shares of Wisconsin Power & Light Co, in exchange for the publicly held shares of North West preferred stocks. The remaining assets of North West consisting of additional common shares of Wisconsin Power & Light Co. plus cash were distributed to Middle West. Dissolution of North West is anticipated as soon as practicable.

New England Public Service Co.

In connection with the plan of reorganization described in the Thirteenth Annual Report, the Commission instituted enforcement proceedings in the district court and, on August 6, 1947, the court approved the plan and ordered it carried out.³⁰ Thereafter, New England Public Service Co. elected to go forward with one of the alternative procedures permitted by the plan and gave notice of its adoption of the so-called "alternative 1," which gave to the prior lien preferred stockholders of New England Public Service Co. the option to take common stock of Public Service Co. of New Hampshire in lieu of cash. On September 15, 1947, the Commission approved the adoption of alternative 1 31 and the plan has been carried out to the extent of the retirement of all of the prior lien preferred stock of New England Public Service Co. by the payment of \$100 per share plus accrued dividends and the issuance of certificates of contingent interest. Accrued dividends included substantial amounts of arrearages on both classes of prior lien preferred. The certificates of contingent interest represent the holders' claims, as yet of undetermined value, to call premiums on the retired preferred shares.

A parent of New England Public Service Co., Northern New England Co., which owns 32.5 percent of the former company's common stock and is also a respondent in the section 11 (b) (2) proceedings instituted by the Commission, filed on January 30, 1948, a voluntary plan for its partial liquidation, which plan was still pending at the close of the fiscal year.

The North American Co.

The section 11 (e) dissolution plan of Washington Railway & Electric Co. (Washington Railway), a subsidiary of the North American Co. (North American), was consummated in the fall of 1947. North American, by reason of its holdings of common stock of Washington Railway, acquired directly 2,073,113 shares of common stock of Potomac Electric Power Co. (Potomac)³² and 106,456 shares of capital stock of Capital Transit Co.³³ By the end of the fiscal year, North American had disposed of all of its holdings of the common stocks of Potomac and of Wisconsin Electric Power Co. (Wisconsin Electric), constituting about 60 percent and 84 percent, respectively, of the outstanding common stock of these companies.34

²⁸ By Commission order dated January 23, 1948.
20 Holding Company Act releases Nos, 7905 and 7951.
30 66 F. Supp. 378.
31 Holding Company Act release No. 7713.
32 Holding Company Act release No. 7747 (1947).
33 Holding Company Act release No. 7703 (1947).
34 Holding Company Act release No. 7654 and 7846 (1947).

After approval by the Commission of the amended section 11 (e) dissolution plan for North American Light & Power Co. (Light & Power), 35 the District Court of the United States for the District of Delaware entered its order enforcing the plan. 36 The plan has been consummated as to the public preferred stockholders of Light & Power but certain common stockholders have appealed from the decree of the court, and this appeal is now pending in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.⁸⁷ In December 1947, Light & Power sold its entire holdings of common stock of Northern Natural Gas Co. by

competitive bidding.28

North American remains with Light & Power, Union Electric Co. of Missouri, and several minor nonutility subsidiaries. Under the plan for the dissolution of Light & Power, North American will acquire directly all the common stock of the Kansas Power & Light Co. and Missouri Power & Light Co., and up to approximately 35 percent of the common stock of Illinois Power Co. These holdings are required to be divested under the Commission's order of April 14, 1942, which requires that North American sever its connections with all subsidiaries except Union Electric and 60 Broadway Building Corp.

Ogden Corp.

Since the initial registration of Utilities Power & Light Corp., predecessor of Ogden Corp. (Ogden), consolidated assets of this system have been reduced from approximately \$314,000,000 to less than

\$10,000,000 at the close of the fiscal year.

On July 29, 1947, 39 an amended plan pursuant to section 11 (e) was approved which provided for the liquidation and dissolution of Ogden's subsidiary, Central States Utilities Corp. (Central Utilities), and the latter's subsidiary Central States Power & Light Corp. (Central States). Under the plan cash payments were made to the debt holders and the preferred stockholders of Central States other than Ogden and to the bondholders of Central Utilities other than Ogden. The residual net assets of both companies were transferred to Ogden Corp. Court approval of the plan was obtained on October 28, 1947, and liquidation of the two subsidiaries was commenced in April 1948.

Another subsidiary of Ogden completed an urgently needed financial reorganization during the past year. This action was taken after the Commission approved the sale of new bonds, debentures, and common shares by Interstate Power Co. to retire its outstanding mortgage An amount of unsold common shares was placed in escrow in favor of the holders of Interstate's securities junior to the first mortgage bonds. This reorganization of Interstate constitutes a necessary step prior to the disposition by Ogden of its interest in the company.

On August 3, 1948, an order was entered by the Commission pursuant to section 5 (d) stating that Ogden has ceased to be a holding The order was made subject to certain conditions, however, which were necessary for investor protection in connection with

⁸⁵ Holding Company Act release No. 7515 (1947).
86 74 F. Supp. 317 (Del. 1947).
87 C. C. A. 3rd, No. 9593.
82 Holding Company Act release No. 7930 (1947).
85 Holding Company Act release No. 7610.
80 Holding Company Act releases No. 7955 (1947) and 8066 (1948).

remaining unresolved problems related to Ogden's interest in Interstate Power Co.41

Standard Power & Light Corp.—Standard Gas & Electric Co.

On October 3, 1947, Standard Gas & Electric Co. (Standard Gas) filed a plan to dispose of its holding of stock in a subsidiary company and to accomplish other corporate changes. The plan was not approved unanimously by all directors and two dissenting members of

the board submitted a separate plan.

Shortly thereafter, on October 8, the Commission permitted a declaration to become effective allowing a protective committee for \$7 and \$6 cumulative prior preference stock of Standard Gas to solicit all such holders in connection with the reorganization of Standard Gas.42 This protective committee, on October 23, filed a petition which, among other things, requested that the Commission act to prohibit the holding of the annual meeting of stockholders of the company, the solicitation of proxies by Standard Gas for this meeting, and the election of directors for Standard Gas. The committee further requested the Commission to apply, under section 11 (d), to a court and to petition the court to appoint the Commission as trustee to hold and administer the assets of Standard Gas. It was also requested that the plan of the company, filed October 3, be dismissed.

A memorandum opinion and order of the Commission dated October 30, 1947, pointed out that the company plan was vague and indefinite and Standard Gas was required to show cause why the plan should not be dismissed.⁴³ In addition, Standard Gas was prohibited from soliciting proxies for the annual meeting unless prior approval of the Commission was received; Standard Gas, its parent Standard Power & Light Corp. (Standard Power), and their respective affiliates were prohibited from entering into new contracts without giving the Commission 10 days' notice of such intention; and pending the conclusion of hearings, Standard Power was prohibited from voting its stock holdings in Standard Gas. Standard Gas was directed also to show cause why it should not be ordered to liquidate or recapitalize on a one-stock basis.

Standard Gas subsequently filed a declaration regarding its proposal to solicit proxies for the annual meeting and a consolidated hearing was held to consider the matter specified in the Commission's memorandum opinion and order of October 30, 1947. While the hearings were in progress the Commission postponed the annual meeting 44 and subsequently, in its findings and opinion of February 17, 1948, permitted Standard to solicit proxies in connection with this deferred annual meeting. Permission was conditioned so as to require Standard Gas to send a copy of the Commission's opinion to each stockholder at the time of solicitation.45 In permitting this action the Commission took note of a program of action, approved by the board of Standard Gas on February 4, 1948, which included the following steps: (1) Selection of a new chief executive to serve

⁴ Holding Company Act release No. 8402. 4 File No. 68-93. 4 Holding Company Act release No. 7811. 4 Holding Company Act release No. 7841 (1947). 5 Holding Company Act release No. 7983.

as chairman of the board and president of the company and as a director to be elected by the holders of prior preference stock; (2) amendment of the certificate of incorporation and bylaws to provide for an increase in the board of directors from eight to nine members of whom three would be elected by holders of prior preference stock; (3) immediate consideration by the new board of steps to be taken to comply with section 11 of the act; (4) selection of new counsel for purposes of the section 11 proceedings; and (5) consent by the company to the entry of an order by the Commission pursuant to section 11 (b) (2) of the act requiring the dissolution and liquidation of Standard Gas or its recapitalization on a one-stock basis. On March 1, 1948, the Commission ordered the hearing reconvened to consider what action should be taken by Standard Gas to effect compliance with section 11 (b) (2) of the act.⁴⁶ A hearing has been held on this issue and the Commission has the matter under advisement.

The Philadelphia Co., a registered holding company and a subsidiary of Standard Gas, was ordered by the Commission on June 1, 1948, to dispose of its gas and transportation properties and, after completion of such dispositions, to liquidate and dissolve.⁴⁷ At present the system of the Philadelphia Co., aggregating \$370,000,000 in assets, supplies electric, gas, and transportation services in the city of Pittsburgh, Pa., and its surrounding area. Petitions for rehearing, filed by Philadelphia Co., certain of its subsidiaries, and Standard Gas were denied by the Commission on June 30, 1948.48 An appeal to the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia has been taken by Philadelphia Co. and Standard Gas since the close of the fiscal year.

Philadelphia Co. has also presented a plan of reorganization of its gas properties in Pennsylvania, and this plan was approved by the Commission on June 30, 1948.49 Under the plan, all the gas properties of the Philadelphia Co. and its subsidiaries located in Pennsylvania will be brought under the ownership of Philadelphia Co.'s present subsidiary, Equitable Gas Co. Equitable Gas Co. is being recapitalized so that its outstanding securities will consist only of first mortgage bonds and common stock, the latter to be owned by Philadelphia To this end, Equitable Gas Co. recently completed the sale of \$14,000,000 of mortgage bonds and transferred the proceeds to Philadelphia Co. in payment for the gas properties received from that company. The Commission's order approving these transactions required that the proceeds received by Philadelphia Co. be applied solely to the reduction of its own outstanding debt.

On October 28, 1947, the Commission approved a section 11 (e) plan of Louisville Gas & Electric Co. (Delaware), another subsidiary of Standard Gas. 50 The plan provides for the distribution by that company of its common-stock holdings in Louisville Gas & Electric Co. (Kentucky) and subsequent dissolution of the Delaware company. The distribution of these holdings, which constitute the Delaware company's principal asset, is to be made in the ratios of 11/14 shares of the Kentucky company common stock for each share of class A common stock of the Delaware company and 0.913 of a share of the Kentucky

<sup>Holding Company Act release No. 8004 (1948).
Holding Company Act release No. 8242.
Holding Company Act release No. 8320.
Holding Company Act release No. 8326.
Holding Company Act release No. 7789.</sup>

company common stock for each share of class B common stock of the Delaware company. The holdings of Standard Gas in the common shares of Kentucky are to be disposed of as soon as the dissolution of

the Delaware company is accomplished.

Following its approval of the plan, the Commission applied to a Federal district court for enforcement of the plan. On May 13, 1948, the court remanded the case to the Commision in view of the court's opinion, contrary to that of the Commission, that the class A stock of the Delaware company has the right to cumulative dividends.⁵¹ Despite the court's different view on this point it nevertheless found the plan to be fair and equitable. Since the close of the fiscal year the Commission held oral argument on the cumulative feature and in supplemental findings reaffirmed its previous order, finding that the proposed allocations were still within the range of fairness. 52 Upon subsequent application for enforcement by the Commission the court issued its order providing that the plan be made effective on September 3, 1948.

Hearings were ordered and, since the close of the fiscal year, have been held on the section 11 (e) plan of Market Street Railway Co. (Market Street), a nonutility subsidiary company of Standard Gas. Included in the plan is an agreement among Standard Gas, Market Street, and a committee of prior preference stockholders designed to settle the open account indebtedness owed to Standard Gas by Market Street and pending litigation with respect thereto. It also provides for the settlement of other claims, distribution of remaining assets to the holders of its prior preference stock, and the dissolution of Market

Street.

The United Corp.

The United Corp. (United) owned substantial amounts of the common stock of four major subholding companies: Public Service Corp. of New Jersey (Public Service), Columbia Gas System, Inc. (Columbia), the Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co. (Cincinnati), and Niagara

Hudson Power Corp. (Niagara Hudson).

On August 14, 1943, the Commission issued an order under section 11 (b) (2) directing that United change its existing capitalization to a single class of common stock and cease to be a holding company.⁵³ The Commission having subsequently approved two voluntary exchange plans for United's preference stock 54 under the terms of which approximately 54 percent of that stock was eliminated, United filed, in July 1947, a plan under section 11 (e) for the mandatory retirement of the remaining shares in exchange for a package of \$6 in cash, one share of common stock of Public Service Electric & Gas Co. (PEG) as reorganized according to the plan described in the following paragraph—one share of common stock of Columbia, one-fourth of a share of common stock of Cincinnati, and one-tenth of a share of common stock of South Jersey Gas Co. (South Jersey). On April 9, 1948, after appropriate hearings, the Division of Public Utilities filed its recommended findings and opinion recommending approval of the plan if modified in certain minor aspects, which include the elimination

In the Matter of Louisville Gas & Electric Co., 77 Fed. Supp. 176,
 Holding Company Act release No. 8404 (1948).
 Holding Company Act release No. 4478.
 Holding Company Act releases Nos. 5440, 5452, 5812, 5899.

of the South Jersey stock from the package because of the character and small size of that company and the fractional interest to be dis-On August 9, 1948, the plan was approved after being amended by the company in accordance with modifications indicated by the Commission in its previously issued findings and opinion. Application has been made to the appropriate court for enforcement of the Commission's order, arguments have been heard, and the matter has been taken under advisement.

PEG was one of three public-utility subsidiaries of Public Service Corp. of New Jersey which, together with a transportation holding company subsidiary, made up the Public Service subholding company system. Pursuant to a plan approved by the Commission 56 and enforced by the district court on December 30, 1947, and March 19, 1948, respectively, the ownership of the transportation holding company was transferred to PEG, the holders of perpetual certificates of Public Service received debentures of PEG in exchange, the public holders of Public Service noncallable preferred received PEG preference stock in exchange, and the common stock of PEG and another subsidiary of Public Service, South Jersey Gas Co., was distributed to the common-stock holders of Public Service. The third utility subsidiary of Public Service was sold to nonaffiliated interests.56

The Columbia Gas System, Inc., formerly Columbia Gas & Electric Corp., has divested itself of its electric utility subsidiaries 57 and now comprises, except for a minor part of the system, only gas utility and related properties which the Commission had declared to be retainable.

Niagara Hudson, another of United's subholding companies, owns all the common stock of Buffalo Niagara Electric Corp., Central New York Power Corp., and New York Power & Light Corp., and, directly or indirectly, of seven smaller companies. Niagara Hudson has on file with the Commission two plans under section 11 (e) looking toward its elimination as a holding company. The first plan, filed May 19, 1948, provides for the consolidation of Niagara Hudson's three major subsidiaries. Under the provisions of the plan the debt of the three companies will be assumed by the consolidated company and the holders of preferred stocks of the three companies will receive in exchange therefor preferred stock of the consolidated company. Niagara Hudson, which owns all the outstanding shares of common stock of the three companies, will receive the common stock of the consolidated company. A hearing on this plan was held on June 29, 1948. Proceedings are also pending concerning the merger of two of the seven smaller subsidiaries of Niagara Hudson, Northern Development Corp., and Union Bag & Paper Power Corp., into two of the companies which are to be consolidated, either before or after consolidation.

On June 23, 1948, Niagara Hudson filed the second plan, which provides that Niagara Hudson will substitute 3 percent debentures, maturing 10 years from the date of issue, for its outstanding preferred stock and will distribute to its common stockholders for each share of its common stock tendered together with a cash payment of \$7.50 one share of common stock of the consolidated company. Under the plan, net income of Niagara Hudson and cash received by it in

Bolding Company Act release No. 8002 (1948).
 Bolding Company Act release No. 8164 (1948).
 Twelfth annual report, p. 61.

the exchange will be applied to the payment of the bank loans of Niagara Hudson presently outstanding in the amount of \$21,370,000. Niagara Hudson will accept for exchange its new debentures at face value in amounts up to \$4 per share toward payment of the \$7.50. The offer will remain open until all bank loans and debentures are retired through application of the funds received from stockholders and from corporate net income. Upon the retirement of the debentures, Niagara Hudson will distribute the remaining shares of common stock of the consolidated company to the remaining holders of common stock of Niagara Hudson on a share-for-share basis, transfer all its remaining assets to the consolidated company, and thereafter Niagara Hudson will dissolve. While the plan is still formally on file, discussions are being held with respect to revisions therein.

The United Light & Railways Co.

On December 31, 1947, the Commission approved a plan filed by the United Light & Railways Co. (Railways) and its subsidiary American Light & Traction Co. (American) which proposes that Railways will dispose of its interest in American and in any stocks transferred by American to it. American will continue to operate a separate integrated gas production, transmission, distribution, and

storage system.58

Details of the plan include, in part, the following procedures. American will continue as parent company of a gas utility system including a group of pipe line and gas distribution subsidiaries, but will dispose of its holdings in Detroit Edison Co. and Madison Gas and Electric Co. by public sale or distribution to its stockholders. In this connection it is noted that, up to June 30, 1948, American had already disposed of 969,160 shares of common stock of Detroit Edison by sales at competitive bidding or as dividends on its common stock. Proceeds from the sale of the Detroit Edison stock by American are to be invested primarily in the equity of Michigan-Wisconsin Pipe Line Co., a new long-distance line designed to bring gas from the Texas area to the distributing subsidiaries of American. Under the plan, American will also purchase all shares of its outstanding preferred stock tendered to it during a specific period. The offer to purchase has been fixed at \$33 per share plus accrued dividends. As holder. of a substantial block of these shares, Railways will make disposition of its holdings through this offer.

Railways also proposes to dispose of its interests in Madison Gas & Detroit Edison (shares received from American) and will also divest itself of its holdings in American through the payment to its own stockholders of dividends of stock of this company or by sale through a rights offering. Proceeds of stock sales made by Railways will then be applied against its outstanding bank loan. The proceeds of new serial note borrowing by Railways will be used to retire the prior preference stock of Railways and to make an additional cash investment in its subsidiary, Continental Gas & Electric Corp., enabling the latter company to retire a similar amount of its own outstanding bank loans. Review proceedings with respect to certain aspects of this

approved plan are still pending.

⁵⁸ Holding Company Act release No. 7951.

The West Penn Electric Co. 50

American Water Works & Electric Co., Inc. (American) was dissolved during the past fiscal year. This was in accordance with the two-plan program filed by the company under section 11 (e) and approved after some modification by the Commission in its findings

and opinions of December 23, 1946, and February 17, 1947.60

Plan I, designed to establish an exempt holding company to acquire and hold the water properties of American, was brought to completion with the sale of 2,687,069 shares of common stock of the American Water Works Co., Inc. (Water Works), a nonutility holding company, at competitive bidding on September 25, 1947. The sale was subject to a 10-day stand-by arrangement permitting the exercise of subscription warrants by common stockholders of American and acceptance of an exchange arrangement by certain public stockholders of Community Water Service Co. and Ohio Cities Water Corp., two intermediate holding companies which were to be dissolved. Under these purchase and exchange rights 784,593 shares were taken

up; the balance of the common went to underwriters.

Transfer of the water properties by American to the other holding company and receipt of proceeds from the stock sale permitted American to go forward thereafter with plan II looking toward its own liquidation and dissolution. To this end, the outstanding debt and preferred stock of American were retired, but an escrow fund of \$2,200,000 was established to provide for such additional payments to the preferred holders, over and above par plus accrued dividends, as the Commission and the courts may finally determine to be fair and equitable. The common-stockholders of American received shares of the reclassified common stock of The West Penn Electric Co. (West Penn) which has now become the senior company of the utility system remaining under the jurisdiction of this Commission. American contributed all its remaining assets to West Penn, which also assumed whatever liabilities were not otherwise provided for. Final dissolution of American occurred in January 1948. It is noted that when American originally registered under the act it had a total of 142 utility and nonutility subsidiaries. West Penn which now succeeds it as the registered parent holding company had 25 subsidiaries on June 30, 1948.

FINANCING UNDER SECTIONS 6 AND 7

The postwar expansion program of the electric and gas industry, which experts estimate will require an average expenditure of more than \$2,000,000,000 a year for the next 5 years, went into full swing during the 1948 fiscal year. Reports indicate that about \$2,200,000,000 was spent during that period with indications that expenditures in the 1949 fiscal year will exceed that amount. In 1941 they amounted to only \$700,000,000 and in the peak years of the twenties never exceeded \$1,000,000,000. That the scale of expansion of the utility industry is fully in step with that in other sectors of the economy is indicated by the fact that capital expenditures for the country as a whole are running at a dollar rate twice that of the peak years of the 1920's. Furthermore, this increase in expenditures is not wholly due to price

⁸⁰ Formerly a subsidiary of American Water Works & Electric Co., Inc. ⁸⁰ Holding Company Act releases Nos. 7091 and 7208.

advances but represents an increase in the rate of expansion in physical facilities as is shown by the fact that private electric utilities plan to install 17,000,000 kilowatts of capacity during the 4 years 1948-51, as against 9,000,000 kilowatts installed in the previous 4-year period

of peak installation, 1925-28.

To finance this huge volume of capital expenditures the gas and electric industry drew on the capital markets for about \$2,000,000,000 of new money, the largest amount ever raised for new construction by the electric and gas utilities in any one year. The remainder of the funds required, about \$200,000,000, came from internal sources. To obtain the funds raised from outside sources they sold approximately \$1,400,000,000 of bonds and debentures, placed about \$300,000,000 of short-term notes with banks and other financial institutions, and sold approximately \$100,000,000 of preferred and \$200,000,000 of common stocks. Since more than \$600,000,000 of securities were sold for refunding and other purposes, total issues for all purposes during the year totaled over \$2,700,000,000.

This trend was reflected fully in the financing operations of the holding company systems subject to the act as evidenced by the fact that applications and declarations for security issues approved under sections 6 (b) and 7 rose from \$1,200,000,000 in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1947, to \$1,900,000,000 in the 1948 fiscal year. This level was only \$400,000,000 below the figure for 1946, which represents the all-time peak for financing under the act. Fifty percent of the dollar amount of financing approved in 1948 took the form of bonds and debentures, 24 percent was in short-term notes sold primarily to banks, and 26 percent in stock, of which 22 percent was represented by com-

mon and 4 percent by preferred.

The dollar volume of refunding issues was slightly higher, \$573,000,000 as against \$557,000,000 in 1947. But the percentage to the total declined from 49 percent to 31 percent. In 1946 refunding accounted for 85 percent of the total, amounting to over \$2,000,000,000. The factors accounting for the continued decline in the importance of refunding issues since 1946 are the same as were pointed out in last year's report, namely, that most companies had already completed their refundings. Furthermore, money rates have continued to harden and other costs of refunding have remained on a more expensive plane. All of the increase in financing during the past year can be accounted for by issues for new money. The dollar volume of new money issues rose from \$287,000,000 in 1947 to almost \$1,000,000,000 in 1948. In 1946 new money issues accounted for only \$2,000,000 of the total and in the previous peak year, 1942, it totaled only \$90,000,000. Issues for new money accounted for over 50 percent of the total dollar value of applications and declarations approved in 1948, as against 25 percent in the previous year and less than onetenth of 1 percent in the 1946 fiscal year. Two hundred and twentysix of the 285 issues approved during the year were for this purpose in whole or in part. The significance of these data is enhanced when considered in the light of the fact that, during the period in question, a substantial number of companies have been released from jurisdiction of the act by divestment under section 11.

Bonds and notes were the principal vehicle employed to raise this new money, accounting for 40 percent and 27 percent, respectively,

of the total applications and declarations authorized in 1948. In the previous year notes and common stock predominated. Of the 56 bond issues approved, 42 were sold at competitive bidding in an aggregate amount of \$338,000,000; 13 issues totaling \$47,000,000 were placed directly with banks and insurance companies; and 1 issue of \$14,000,000 was taken by the parent company. With respect to the 106 note issues authorized 98, amounting to \$222,000,000, were placed with banks and insurance companies and 8 issues totaling \$46,000,000 were taken by parent companies. Of nine debenture issues approved in the 1948 fiscal year eight, with a dollar volume of \$118,000,000, were offered to the public through underwriters, and one, amounting to less than

\$500,000, was taken by an insurance company.

The most striking change in the pattern of financing from the previous year was in the increase in the percentage of notes and common stock and the decline in preferred stock. However, the proportion of new money financing reflected in authorizations of preferred and common stocks was relatively low. The 44 common-stock issues representing approximately \$117,000,000 of new money financing accounted for only 12 percent of the total dollar volume of new money offerings. This relatively minor proportion of common financing is considered due to the combined effect of several factors. In part, it reflects the less favorable market conditions prevailing since 1946 and the relatively high-yield rates necessary to generate public buying interest. The influence of these two elements is, of course, heightened by the continued existence of a strong market appetite for bonds, debentures, and notes at very attractive rates of interest. It is recognized, however, that common capital is being increased in many instances by retention of a portion of earnings, which thus assists in preserving a balanced capital structure.

Of the common stock financing authorized during the year, over 90 percent represented sales of stock by subsidiaries to their parent companies. Thus, utility holding companies have purchased over \$100,000,000 of such common stock offerings, to this extent facilitating the growth of equity capitalization. Of the total amount, \$32,000,000 was taken by American Light & Traction Co., \$25,000,000 by American Gas & Electric Co., \$13,000,000 by Electric Power & Light Corp., \$11,000,000 by the North American Co.; \$8,000,000 by The Middle West Corp., and the remainder in lesser amounts by other registered holding companies. In a number of instances funds used to make such stock purchases have been derived from the sales of the stock of other subsidiaries by the holding company parent pursuant to divestment programs approved by this Commission under section 11 (e). In other cases the holding companies have resorted to public financing

in order to provide the funds required by their subsidiaries.

There has been little marked change in the lack of public appetite for preferred stocks. This trend has accentuated the reliance upon debt securities and is sufficiently important to merit some discussion at this point. Yields on preferred stocks were driven to record lows (3.25 percent to 3.35 percent for electric utilities) early in 1946 as a result of heavy buying by institutions, induced by the pressure of idle funds. With the postwar development of broad outlets for funds such as mortgages and privately placed industrial construction loans, the institutional market for preferred stocks narrowed. Since yields on preferred

stocks had for some years been too low to interest individual investors, the contraction in the institutional demand was immediately felt in the form of increased yields. A large portion of medium grade stocks was forced into the individual investor market at yields sometimes in excess of 5 percent. The sale of preferred stocks, particularly the medium grade issues, may also have suffered from the less favorable trend of earnings displayed by many companies in recent months.

Since institutions were no longer under any particular pressure to buy preferred issues, they were in a position to demand certain concessions in the terms of security. In this way the sinking fund came into use in connection with utility preferred stocks. These provisions were initially set up on a 2 percent basis, although some later issues have carried requirements of $2\frac{1}{2}$ percent or 3 percent. Thus preferred stock ceases to be permanent capital. A variation of this arrangement is the purchase fund, which typically operates only when the security is selling at less than a specified price, usually par or the offering price. While a sinking fund or purchase fund appeals to institutional investors, it places an additional cash requirement upon the issuer and has undoubtedly led some companies to seek other means of financing.

The declining interest in preferred stock has rendered more difficult the problem of maintaining an adequate proportion of equity security in the capital structure. This is particularly true in view of the large sums still to be raised for construction purposes. However, we are continuing our attempts to encourage equity financing as a means of preserving that strength of the operating companies which has been achieved through several years' work of overhauling their financial

structures and property accounts.61

OTHER ACTIVITIES UNDER THE ACT

The remainder of the Commission's work load under the Public Utility Holding Company Act relates principally to the administration of sections 2, 3, 11 (g), 12 (b), 12 (e), 12 (f), and 13. The cases arising under these sections are numerous, but few are of an involved nature. A number, particularly exemptions, received little attention during the war due to shortages of personnel, but with the return of experienced veterans this backlog has been substantially reduced and reattainment of a current status is in view.

Case load—other activities

Fiscal year ending June 30—	Number of proceedings filed or re- opened during fiscal year	Number of proceedings disposed of during fiscal year	Number pending at end of fiscal year
1944	308	409	144
1945	419	376	187
1946	431	401	212
1947	299	349	162
1948	194	204	152

 $^{^{\}rm sq}$ Attention is invited to the Tenth Annual Report in which these developments were discussed.

The Commission's task of administering the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 has been performed in the past year with approximately 158 man-years of personnel. Following is a record of personnel employment for this phase of the Commission's work for each of the past 5 years:

Fiscal year end June 30—	Division . employment in man-years
1944	193. 3°
1945	172.6
1946	172, 4
1947	172, 5
1948	157.9

STATISTICS OF LITIGATION UNDER THE ACT

The results of the year's work in the Federal courts are summarized in the data which follow.

Applications by the Commission in district courts to enforce and carry out voluntary plans pursuant to section 11 (e)

	Fisca	l year
	1947 .	1948
Pending at beginning of year Filed during year Proceedings reopened Supplemental applications Proceedings remanded from circuit courts	. 13	8 4 5
Total	19	20
Plans approved for enforcement. Order of approval vacated at Commission's request. Proceeding dismissed at Commission's request.	1 15 2 1 2 1	15
Plans remanded to Commission for further proceedings Plans disapproved by order of United States circuit court and remanded to the Commission for further proceedings Pending at close of year	<u>2</u>	1 1 <u>1</u> 3
Total	19	20

The district court approved the plan of Engineers Public Service Co. except insofar ns it provided for payment to preferred stockholders of more than liquidation preference. The Commission was joined in appealing from this order by several parties in interest. The circuit court of appeals vacated the order and remanded the plan to the district court with orders to disapprove the plan and remand it to the Commission,

Following entry of an order by the district court enforcing a plan, New England Gas Electric Association filed a new plan. The Commission requested that the district court vacate its order of approval in order that the new plan might be acted upon. Following vacation of the district court's order the Commission approved the new plan and upon application for an order enforcing it, the district court entered its order enforcing the new plan.

*Following appoval by the Commission and before entry of an order by the district court, a change of circumstances rendered the plan of Northern States Power Co. no longer "fair and equitable." At the request of the Commission, the district court dismissed the proceedings before it. A new plan was filed by the company and approved by the Commission. It was pending before the district court on June 30, 1948.

Appeals taken to circuit courts of appeals from orders of district courts approving plans filed pursuant to section 11 (e)

·	Fisca	i year
	1947	1948
Pending at beginning of year Filed by parties in interest. Filed by Commission.	2 4	4
Total	7	10
Orders of district courts affirmed	2	2
approve plan and remand it to the Commission Appeals dismissed in favor of Commission Pending at close of year	1 4	4
Total	7	10

¹ The district court approved the plan of Engineers Public Service Co. except insofar as it provided for payment to preferred stockholders of more than liquidation preference. The Commission was joined in appealing from this order by several parties in interest. The circuit court of appeals vacated the order and remanded the plan to the district court with orders to disapproved the plan and remand it to the Commission.

Two petitions were filed with the United States Supreme Court for writs of certiorari to review orders of circuit courts of appeals affirming orders of district courts approving plans under section 11 (e) upon application to the Commission. Both petitions were denied by the court. None had been filed during the 1947 fiscal year.

Petitions filed in circuit courts of appeals pursuant to section 24 (a) to review orders of the Commission 1

	Fiscal	year
	1947	1948
Pending at beginning of year Filed during the year Causes remanded from United States Supreme Court	6 16	2
Total	12	1
Orders of the Commission affirmed Petritions dismissed Petritions withdrawn	2 5	
udgment vacated by order of United States Supreme Court and petition dismissed as being moot. Pending at close of year.	2 5	2
Total	12	

¹ All but one of these petitions involved section 11 (e) of the Act.
² Philadelphia Co. appealed from adoption of amendment to rule U-49 (c) and moved for a stay of Comnission action. The Commission moved to dismiss and to modify stay granted pursuant to petitioner's motion and both motions were denied. The Commission petitioned the United States Supreme Court for a writ of certionari to review the orders of the circuit court of appeals denying these motions and was denied. The cause was pending in the circuit court of appeals on June 30, 1948.

Petitions to U.S. Supreme Court for writs of certiorari to review orders of circuit courts of appeals on petition for review of orders of the Commission under sec. 11

	Fisca	d year
	1947	1948
Petitions pending at beginning of year	2 2	
Total	4	
Petitions granted Petitions denied Petitions pending	4	
Total		
Clearings on petitions to U. S. Supreme Court for writs of certiorari granted (all on sec. 11 matters); Pending.	113	
Total	3	1
Judgment of circuit court of appeals upholding order of the Commission affirmed Judgment of circuit court of appeals reversed and remanded with instructions to affirm order of the Commission.	² 1	
Judgment of circuit court of appeals donying portion of order of the Commission vacated and remanded with instructions to dismiss as moot Pending at close of year		1
Total	3	1

¹ The circuit court of appeals affirmed the order of the Commission requiring dissolution of American Power & Light Co. and Electric Power & Light Corp.
² The circuit court of appeals reversed the order of the Commission limiting management of Federal Water & Gas Co. to cost plus 4 percent with respect to securities acquired during reorganization. The U. S. Supreme Court reversed the circuit court of appeals and remanded the proceedings with instructions to set aside its judgment and after the order of the Commission.

PART IV

PARTICIPATION OF THE COMMISSION IN CORPORATE REOR-GANIZATIONS UNDER CHAPTER X OF THE BANKRUPTCY ACT

Chapter X of the Bankruptcy Act, as amended in 1938, in setting up appropriate machinery for the reorganization of corporations (other than railroads) in the Federal courts provides for participation by the Commission in proceedings thereunder at the request of or with the approval of the court for the purpose of providing independent expert assistance to the court and to investors and for the preparation by the Commission of formal advisory reports on plans of reorganization submitted to it by the courts in such proceedings. The Commission's functions in chapter X proceedings are of a purely advisory character. The Commission has no authority to veto or to require adoption of a plan of reorganization or to render a decision on any other issue in the proceedings. It has no right to appeal in such proceedings, although it may participate in appeals taken by others and has, as a matter of fact, participated in many appeals as a party or as amicus curiae.

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES

The Commission actively participated during the year in 84 reorganization proceedings involving the reorganization of 105 companies (84 principal debtor corporations and 21 subsidiary debtors). The aggregate stated assets of these 105 companies amounted to \$1,744,674,000 and their aggregate indebtedness was \$1,130,548,000. During the year the Commission filed its notice of appearance in 10 new proceedings under chapter X, two of which were filed at the request of the court and the remaining eight upon approval by the court of the Commission's motion to participate. These 10 new proceedings involved 11 companies (10 principal and 1 subsidiary debtor) with aggregate stated assets of \$28,487,000 and aggregate stated indebtedness of \$32,620,000. Proceedings involving 13 principal debtors and 4 subsidiary debtors were closed during the year.

At the close of the year, the Commission was actively participating in 71 reorganization proceedings involving 88 companies (71 principal debtors and 17 subsidiary debtors), with aggregate stated assets of \$1,562,053,000 and aggregate stated indebtedness of \$1,063,632,000.

COMMISSION'S FUNCTIONS UNDER CHAPTER X

A detailed discussion of the Commission's duties and policies in connection with its functions under chapter X appeared in the Tenth and Twelfth Annual Reports of the Commission. To carry out its advisory functions under chapter X, the Commission maintains expert staffs of lawyers, accountants, and financial analysts in various regional offices where they keep in close touch with all matters arising in the proceedings and with the parties in the case, and are readily available

¹Appendix table 22 contains a complete list of reorganization proceedings in which the Commission participated during the year ended June 30, 1948.

²Appendix table 21, parts 1 and 2, classify these debtors according to industry and size of indebtedness.

to the courts. As a statutory party in interest in a chapter X proceeding, the Commission is concerned not only with proposed plans of reorganization but also with the many diverse and complex legal and financial problems that usually arise in the proceeding. Frequently these problems are met through informal conferences and discussions, in which the Commission's staff participates, in an endeavor to work out solutions in advance of litigation in order to avoid needless delay and expense. Some of the legal and financial questions encountered in typical reorganization proceedings are described in the following paragraphs.

Problems in the Administration of the Estate

The Commission has continued as always to scrutinize the qualifications of trustees in the light of the standards prescribed by the statute. Since the independent trustee has the duties of examining into the history and affairs of the debtor, ascertaining its financial and managerial problems, and formulating the plan of reorganization, it is obvious that the success of the reorganization depends largely upon his thoroughness and skill and freedom from adverse or conflicting interests. During the past fiscal year, in an important case the Commission concluded that certain conduct and affiliations of the so-called disinterested trustee required that he be removed from office.3 In its petition seeking such removal the Commission alleged, among other matters, that the trustee had permitted a director and officer of the debtor, who had been connected with the parent company for many years, to assume a leading role in the preparation of a report by the trustee as to whether claims existed against the parent company or whether grounds existed for equitable limitation or subordination of claims filed by the parent company in the proceeding. The report involved was favorable to the parent company. The matter is pending before the district court.

The responsibilities of an additional, or operating, trustee, who may be an officer, director, or employee of the debtor, do not, of course, assume the proportions of those of the disinterested trustee. Nevertheless, where the additional trustee's interests are adverse to those of the estate or any class of security holder, his key position together with the independent trustee in exercising responsibility for operations makes it essential that he be removed from office. In several cases during the 1948 fiscal year, the Commission had occasion to take steps to have the additional trustee resign or to bring about his removal because of conflicting or adverse interests or because of the existence of causes of action against him by the debtor. In all of these cases, the trustee tendered his resignation after informal conferences.

In connection with the independent trustee's investigation of the debtor's operations and the reasons for its financial ills, the Commission has frequently found it necessary to advocate the retention by the trustee of an independent expert, qualified to appraise the debtor's property, make valuations, or report upon the efficiency of the debtor's operations. In one case, during the fiscal year, the Commission objected to reliance for purposes of valuation upon an appraisal of the debtor's assets made by an officer of the majority stockholder of the debtor.⁴ In a memorandum filed with the special master, the Com-

In re Pittsburgh Railways Co., W. D. Pa.
 In re Van Sweringen Corp., N. D. Ohio, E. Div.

⁸¹³⁸⁹²⁻⁴⁹⁻⁻⁻⁷

mission pointed out the conflicting interests of the appraiser, among other matters, and urged that a qualified and independent appraiser be retained. As a result steps have been taken toward the selection

and appointment of such an appraiser.

In another case, involving a large transit system, the Commission petitioned the court to direct the trustee to employ engineering experts to advise whether, and the extent to which, bus routes should be substituted for trolley routes which by far predominated in the system.⁵ The Commission argued that most large cities had made increasing use of busses to replace trolleys in the interests of economy and efficiency and that it was important, for purposes of determining the fairness and feasibility of a plan, to ascertain whether the property was being operated economically. The Commission also pointed out that the management showed an apparent predilection for street railway operations and that an independent, unbiased survey by experts would provide answers to many operating problems. Despite the special master's adverse recommendation on the ground that, prima facie, no one would be wronged by adherence to the same business in which security holders invested and which came into control of the court or by refusal to spend a substantial sum to learn whether a "different" business would be better, the district court granted the Commission's petition. The court stated that it was evident from the facts that a survey should be made whether used in the reorganization or not, and that it might be of value in the proceedings.

One of the fundamental reasons for the mandatory requirement of the statute that a disinterested trustee be appointed in the larger cases was to assure a thorough exploration, not solely of the causes of financial difficulties, but of the possibility of claims against the old management or other persons and their diligent prosecution. In view of this precept, the Commission has in various cases urged that the facts warranted a thorough investigation of possible causes of action by the trustee against persons controlling the debtor. In two of these cases the trustee, with the assistance of the Commission, prepared and made available to security holders a detailed report of the results of his investigation, including a report on various misrepresentations and omissions in the prospectus under which stock had been sold to the public. These reports included a reference to the possible rights that purchasers of the stock might have under section 12 (2) of the Securities Act of 1933 and the time limitations of any action thereunder contained in section 13 of that act. In another case, the Commission's motion to require an investigation was denied. still another case,8 the investigation, sought and obtained by the Commission as to the grounds for equitable limitation to cost of certain bonds acquired by insiders prior to reorganization but during a period when the debtor was insolvent, resulted in a compromise favorable to the estate and to the public bondholders.

Responsibilities of Fiduciaries

Trading in securities of a debtor in reorganization by trustees, directors, attorneys, committee members, or other fiduciaries is a prac-

In re Pittsburgh Railways Co., W. D. Pa.
 In re Cosmo Records, Inc., E. D. N. Y.; in re American Acoustics, Inc., D. N. J.
 In re Kellett Aircraft Corp., E. D. Pa.
 In re Gramott Corp., S. D. N. Y.

tice which has generally been condemned by the courts and the Commission in opinions and reports. The access to inside information and, frequently, the control or influence over the course of reorganization which are possessed by these insiders are urgent considerations for enforcing judicial sanctions against them strictly. One such sanction which has been used during the fiscal year in several cases in which the Commission participated is the prohibition against payment of any fees or reimbursement of any expenses where a fiduciary bought or sold securities of the debtor. Another sanction is the prevention of any profiting by such a fiduciary through the limitation of his securities to the cost thereof or requiring him to account for any profits from securities sold by him. A compromise entered into in one case where the purchase of securities occurred prior to the reorganization but when the corporation was insolvent has been mentioned. In another case, 10 a committee member had purchased securities of the debtor and of its two subsidiaries, which were also in reorganization under the Bankruptcy Act. The Commission contended that the committee member as a fiduciary should be limited to cost or account for the profit on the purchased securities of the subsidiaries as well as of the debtor under section 212 of the statute and equitable principles applicable to the situation. A satisfactory compromise of the issues was entered into and approved by the court.

In its Thirteenth Annual Report, the Commission referred to the reorganization proceedings involving National Realty Trust and Federal Facilities Realty Trust and to objections filed to the final accounts of a former trustee of the debtors based upon charges that he had permitted certain employees of his to trade freely in securities of the debtors and their subsidiaries. The special master has since ruled in favor of practically all of the Commission's contentions and the matter is pending before the district court for decision.

Activities With Respect to Allowances

The Commission has actively participated in the matter of allowances of compensation to those claiming to have rendered services and incurred expenses in a proceeding. In granting allowances the courts seek to protect the estate from exorbitant charges, while at the same time providing equitable treatment to the applicants. The Commission has continued to provide the courts with considerable assistance in this matter.

The Commission itself receives no allowances from estates in reorganization and is able to present a wholly disinterested and impartial view. The Commission has endeavored to obtain a limitation of the aggregate fees to an amount which the estate can feasibly pay. In each case, the applications are carefully studied and recommendations are made to the end that unnecessary duplication of services and nonbeneficial efforts shall not be recompensed and that applicants shall be rewarded on the basis of their relative contribution to the administration of the estate and the adoption of a plan of reorganization. Specific recommendations are made to the courts in cases in which the Commission has been a party throughout the proceeding

o In re Gramott Corp., S. D. N. Y.
In re American Fuel & Power Co., Inland Gas Corp., and Kentucky Fuel Gas Corp., E. D. Ky.

and is fully familiar with the activities of the various parties and all

significant developments in the case.

The Commission has contended consistently that fees be granted only for disinterested and loyal services rendered to the estate. In several cases during the past year the Commission opposed the allowance of fees to persons having interests adverse to those of the estate or the security holders. In one case, applicants were attorneys for a bondholder who in effect purchased the debtor's property through a new corporation. The Commission opposed the granting of any fee out of the estate to these attorneys on the ground that their services were rendered for the purpose of advancing the individual interests of their client which were distinct and different from that of other bondholders in view of his objectives in the reorganization. Hence, while their services may have contributed to the plan of reorganization, it was contended that they should look to their client for compensation. The district court denied applicants' request for a fee and, on appeal, the Circuit Court for the Eighth Circuit affirmed the decision."

In a similar case, the Commission opposed the granting of a fee to attorneys who represented the lessee of property leased from the debtor. During the proceedings the lessee had resisted efforts of the trustee to secure possession of the property despite large arrears of rent. A compromise was eventually effected which was incorporated in a plan of reorganization and the applicants requested compensation out of the estate for their contributions to the plan of reorganization. The district court upheld the Commission's position that the services were rendered on behalf of a client whose special interests were adverse to those of the estate and should therefore not be compensated by the debtor. On appeal, the Circuit Court for the Seventh Circuit affirmed.¹²

In the proceedings for reorganization involving Pittsburgh Terminal Coal Corp., the Commission took the position that the chapter X court had jurisdiction to pass upon the reasonableness of all fees in connection with the reorganization even though such fees were to be paid by a stockholders' committee and not out of the estate. The Commission argued that under the specific language of section 221 (4) the court was required to pass upon all payments made or promised by any person for services in connection with the proceeding. The district court did not agree with this position and concluded that it was without jurisdiction to pass upon the agreement between the stockholders' committee and its counsel. An appeal to the Circuit Court for the Third Circuit was taken by the committee but was not prosecuted and the appeal was dismissed. Subsequently action was brought in the State court by the attorneys against the preferred stockholders' committee to enforce the agreement. The Commission participated, as amicus curiae, in an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of New York from the denial of a motion to dismiss the complaint on the ground that the chapter X court had exclusive jurisdiction over the fee agreement. In upholding the contention of the Commission, the court of appeals held that the chapter X court had the statutory duty to determine reasonable compensation for all

¹¹ In re Congress & Senate Co., 163 F. (2d) 621 (C. C. A. 8, 1947).

¹² In re 32-36 North State Street Building Corp., 164 F. (2d) 205 (C. C. A. 7, 1947).

services rendered by the attorneys for the committee and to determine whether the payment of the fee under the agreement was reasonable. 18

INSTITUTION OF CHAPTER X PROCEEDINGS AND JURISDICTION OF THE COURT

The Commission has striven for a liberal interpretation of the provisions of the Bankruptcy Act so that the benefits of chapter X may be made fully available to security holders in accordance with the spirit and intent of the statute. In accordance with this policy, the Commission has participated heretofore in various cases involving the question of "good faith" in the filing of a petition.

During the past fiscal year the Commission participated in another case involving the "good faith" of the filing of the petition, the proceeding involving Diversey Hotel Corp. The district court approved the petition as having been properly filed and in good faith. In supporting the decision on appeal, the Commission urged that the debtor could not pay its debts (primarily a large mortgage bond indebtedness) as they matured and that there was a need for a plan of reorganization to avoid sacrifice of values through a forced sale. The Commission pointed out the safeguards and flexibility of chapter X and urged that a plan of reorganization could involve either a sale of the property at a fair price and a distribution of the proceeds or the issuance of new securities in a new or reorganized company which would acquire the assets of the debtor. The Circuit Court for the Seventh Circuit affirmed the decision of the lower court.14

Shortly after the enactment of chapter X, the possibility arose of an improper use of chapter XI which was intended only for the small corporation with no publicly held securities. Obviously the safeguards to investors of chapter X could be evaded if a corporation were permitted to effectuate a plan of arrangement affecting public investors under chapter XI. In the case of Securities and Exchange Commission v. United States Realty and Improvement Co., the Supreme Court established the rule that chapter XI was inadequate to assure to public investors the safeguards necessary for a fair, equitable, and feasible plan and that the Congress intended the reorganization of debtors with publicly held securities to take place under chapter The Supreme Court also held that the Commission could intervene in a chapter XI proceeding for the purpose of having it dismissed as improperly commenced.¹⁵

During the past fiscal year, the Commission intervened in a chapter XI proceeding and was successful in having it dismissed. In that case, the promoter of the debtor corporation, which had leased some old mining claims of undetermined value, had been unsuccessful in raising new funds for his project. An attempt to sell stock under the exemption afforded by regulation A under the Securities Act of 1933 had ended when the Commission began an investigation into charges of fraud and misrepresentation. The promoter then conceived the scheme of selling stock to raise money through the device

Leiman v. Guttmann, N. Y., 78 N. E. (2d) 472 (1948).
 In re Diversey Hotel Corp., 165 F. (2d) 655 (C. C. A. 7, 1948).
 310 U. S. 434 (1940).
 In re American Silver Corp., S. D. Cal., C. D.

of a plan of arrangement under chapter XI without compliance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. Alleging the need of funds to pay creditors, the debtor filed a petition under chapter XI and a plan pursuant to which a new corporation was to be formed to take over the assets of the debtor. Rights to subscribe to the assessable stock of the new company were offered to old stockholders in exchange for the nonassessable stock of the debtor. Failure to subscribe meant, of course, that the stockholders would remain with shares of a defunct corporation.

The Commission moved to dismiss the proceeding, contending that chapter XI is not available for a corporation seeking to alter the rights of publicly held securities, that chapter XI is available only for the adjustment of unsecured obligations and not for the modification of stockholders' rights, and that the proposed issuance of stock violated the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933. The referee dismissed the proceeding and, at the instance of the Commission, funds collected from stockholders were returned to them. Subsequently the corpora-

tion filed a petition under chapter X.

PLANS OF REORGANIZATION UNDER CHAPTER X

The ultimate objective of a reorganization is the formulation and consummation of a fair and feasible plan of reorganization. Accordingly, the most important function of the Commission under chapter X is to aid the courts in achieving this objective.

Fairness

In appraising the fairness of reorganization plans under chapter X the Commission has at all times taken the position that full recognition must be accorded claims in order of their legal and contractual priority, either in cash or new securities or both, and that junior claimants may participate only to the extent that the debtor's properties have value after the satisfaction of prior claims or to the extent that they make a fresh contribution necessary to the reorganization of the debtor. Hence, a valuation of the debtor is necessary to provide the basis for judging the fairness as well as the feasibility of proposed plans of reorganization. In its advisory reports, in hearings before the courts, and in conferences with parties to proceedings, the Commission has consistently stated that the proper method of valuation for reorganization purposes is primarily an appropriate capitalization of reasonably prospective earnings. These principles as to the recognition of priorities and as to valuation are now firmly established as a result of Supreme Court decisions.

In connection with the fairness of plans, the Commission has been concerned among other matters with situations where mismanagement or other misconduct on the part of a parent company or controlling person requires that the claim of such person be subordinated to the claims of the public investors or, where a fiduciary's activities require, that he be limited to the cost of his claims. Such matters must be given full consideration since they form an integral part of the concept of the "fair and equitable" plan. Likewise the Commission is concerned with the treatment of causes of action against the former management or other parties. Unless such claims have been

disposed of by litigation or settlement during the proceeding or as part of a plan of reorganization, the Commission has argued that the plan provide for the retention and prosecution of such causes of action by the trustee. In this way litigation in favor of the estate need not

delay the consummation of the reorganization.

Where operations of the debtor result in the accumulation of cash, the Commission has generally successfully urged that cash distributions be made to creditors whenever it is feasible to do so, even though in advance of the plan of reorganization. This position was taken in several cases during the past fiscal year. In one case, junior creditors affiliated with the management of the debtor and its principal stockholders opposed any distribution to bondholders because certain issues in the case were still undetermined. Emphasizing the tentative nature of interim distributions, the Commission argued that the order for distribution could preserve all the rights of the parties in the case and that it would be inequitable to withhold partial payment until final adjudication of all issues where such rights could be preserved.17 The district court upheld the Commission's position and directed a partial distribution to bondholders. An appeal is pending from this decision to the Circuit Court for the Third Circuit but that court denied a request for a stay of the judge's order.

Feasibility

Although the representatives of security holders frequently regard the fairness of the plan as their principal concern, the provisions of the statute and the protection of investors' interests require also that the plan be feasible. To be feasible, a reorganization must be economically sound and workable. It must not hamper future operations or lead to another reorganization. The extent to which current reorganizations are attributable to lack of feasibility in previous reorganizations is indicated by the fact that numerous chapter X proceedings involved companies which had already undergone reorganization in equity receivership proceedings or under section 77B of the Bankruptcy Act. In order to avoid a similar record as to chapter X cases some years hence, with its attendant expense and injury to investors, the Commission gives a great deal of attention to the factors affecting feasibility. In this connection, the Commission is particularly concerned with the adequacy of working capital, the relationship of funded debt and of the capital structure as a whole to property values, the adequacy of corporate earning power in relation to interest and dividend requirements, and the effect of the new capitalization upon the company's prospective credit.

In recent years the Commission has encountered difficulties because the parties are disposed to base values and capital structures upon inflated earnings, either because they overlook the extent to which earnings are inflated or hope such earnings will continue long enough to permit debt to be scaled down to manageable proportions. Another obstacle to the formulation of feasible plans in the current period of high tax rates is the reluctance of investors to scale down debt and

thereby lose the deduction for interest payments.

¹⁷ In re Industrial Office Building Corp., D. N. J.

Consummation of Plan

The Commission also gives its attention to the drafting and preparation of corporate charters, bylaws, trust indentures, and other instruments which are to govern the internal structure of the reorganized debtor. The Commission strives to obtain the inclusion of various provisions in these instruments which will assure to the investors a maximum of protection, adequate information with regard to the enterprise, and a fair voice in the management. The Commission has generally opposed the control device of a voting trust except when its use has been justified by the special circumstances of the case: and, when adopted, the Commission has sought to have the voting trust agreement contain appropriate provisions in the interests of the investors.

Rights to Interest

Creditors' rights to interest on secured claims were the subject of several decisions rendered by the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit during the past year, in cases in which the Commission was an active participant. In In re Realty Associates Securities Corp.18 a majority of the court held that interest on the principal amount of a claim continued to accrue after the institution of chapter X proceedings at the contract rather than the legal or "judgment" rate. The debtor in this case had covenanted to pay 5 percent interest "until the reduced principal * * * shall be duly paid," which was held to be the contractual post-maturity rate. Citing the ruling of the Supreme Court in Vanston Bondholders Protective Committee v. Green, 329 U.S. 156 (1946), the court held also that no interest was payable on that portion of the claim which represented unpaid interest accrued prior to the date of the chapter X petition. In Empire Trust Co. v. Equitable Office Building Corp., a debenture provision for the payment of interest at 5 percent "until such principal shall be paid" was likewise construed as fixing the post-maturity rate applicable during the pendency of the reorganization proceeding and as negativing the 6 percent legal rate which might otherwise have been applicable. court held also that an express covenant to pay simple interest on overdue and unnegotiated interest coupons is invalid in New York. Delatour v. Prudence Realization Corp., 20 where guaranteed certificates of participation in a 6 percent mortgage issued by the debtor provided for the remission of only 5½ percent interest to the public certificate holders by the guarantor servicing-agent, the former were nevertheless allowed the 6 percent mortgage interest to the exclusion of the guarantor following default on the guaranty. The court held that the 1/2 percent interest represented compensation due the guarantor for its guaranty and agency for servicing the mortgage, both of which terminated upon default.

ADVISORY REPORTS

Although the preparation of an advisory report is not the major part of the activity of the Commission in any particular case, such reports, because of their wide distribution, form one of the primary

¹⁸ 163 F. 2d 387 (1947), cert. denied, 332 U. S. 836 (1947). ¹⁹ 167 F. (2d) 346 (1948). ²⁰ 167 F. (2d) 621 (1948).

means of contact between the Commission and the public in chapter X matters. Generally speaking, an advisory report is prepared only in connection with a proceeding involving significant problems and a relatively large company in which the investing public has a substantial interest. Even though the Commission in some cases does not file a formal advisory report, it does, in all cases in which it is a participant, advise the court of its opinion with respect to any plan of

reorganization under consideration by the court.

During the past fiscal year the Commission prepared two supplemental advisory reports with respect to a proposed plan of reorganization involving Childs Co., the owner and operator of a large chain of Previously the Commission had submitted an advisory report and two supplemental reports on a plan of reorganization which failed to receive the required percentage of approval of common stock The trustee's revised plan was considered to be feasible in that it provided for adequate working capital and a sound capitalization. It was also considered to be fair in its treatment of creditors and its allocation of new securities between preferred and common stock holders, which fell within a range previously stated by the Commission to be fair. The Commission suggested, however, certain protective provisions for the preferred-stock holders in respect of pre-emptive rights, a cumulative sinking fund and a two-thirds approval of any extraordinary borrowing. Several of these suggestions were adopted in the revised plan. After court approval, the plan was accepted by stockholders and confirmed by the court and it has now been consummated.

PART V.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE TRUST INDENTURE ACT OF 1939

The Trust Indenture Act of 1939 outlaws the exculpatory clauses used in the past in trust indentures underlying corporate debt secu-Many of these clauses eliminated liability of the trustee for misconduct to such an extent that the word "trustee" was meaningless as applied to indenture trustees. The act is designed to insure that the trustee will act in the interest of the bond or debenture owners and to insure his complete independence of the issuer and the under-To secure its objectives, the act requires that bonds, notes, debentures, and similar debt securities publicly offered for sale, sold, or delivered after sale through the mails or in interstate commerce, except as specifically exempted by the act, be issued under an indenture which meets the requirements of the act and has been duly qualified. with the Commission. The provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Trust Indenture Act are so integrated that registration pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933 of securities to be issued under a trust indenture is not permitted to become effective unless the indenture conforms to the requirements expressed in the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, and such an indenture is automatically "qualified" when registration becomes effective as to the securities themselves. An application for qualification of an indenture covering securities not required to be registered under the Securities Act of 1933, which is filed with the Commission under the Trust Indenture Act, is processed substantially as though such application were a registration statement filed pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933.

STATISTICS OF INDENTURES QUALIFIED

While the dollar amount involved in all indentures filed with the Commission last year for qualification under the act again aggregated more than $2\frac{1}{2}$ billions of debt securities, this value shows a reduction of \$138,000,000 from the prior year. At the same time there was an increase in the number of indentures filed from 108 to 121. Other significant details concerning indentures examined last year for qualification and the disposition thereof are shown below.

Total number of indentures filed under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939

	Number	Aggregate amount
Indentures pending June 30, 1947	15 121	\$230, 004, 800 2, 554, 029, 380
Total	136	2, 784, 034, 180
Disposition during fiscal year: Indentures qualified. Amount reduced by amendment. Indentures detected by amendment or withdrawn. Indentures pending June 30, 1948.	171	2, 445, 903, 580 5, 000, 000 69, 350, 000 283, 780, 600
Total	136	2, 784, 034, 180

During the past year the following additional material relating to trust indentures was also filed, in a volume somewhat above that of the preceding year, and examined by the staff for compliance with the appropriate standards and requirements of the act:

One hundred forty-three statements of eligibility and qualification

under the Trust Indenture Act;

Twenty-four amendments to trustee statements of eligibility and

qualification:

One hundred eight supplements S-T, covering special items of information concerning indenture securities registered under the Securities Act of 1933;

Forty-three amendments to supplements S-T;

Seventeen applications for findings by the Commission relating to exemptions from special provisions of the Trust Indenture Act; and

Three hundred ninety-three annual and interim reports of indenture trustees pursuant to section 313 of the Trust Indenture Act.

PART VI

ADMINISTRATION OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940

The Investment Company Act of 1940 requires the registration and provides for the regulation of investment companies, which are, generally, companies engaged primarily in the business of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding, or trading in securities. Among other things, the act requires disclosure of the finances and of the investment policies of these companies to afford investors full and complete information with respect to their activities; prohibits such companies from changing the nature of their business or their investment policies without the approval of the stockholders; bars persons guilty of security frauds from serving as officers and directors of such companies; prevents underwriters, investment bankers, and brokers from constituting more than a minority of the directors of such companies; requires management contracts in the first instance to be submitted to security holders for their approval; prohibits transactions between such companies and their officers and directors and other insiders except on the approval of the Commission; forbids the issuance of senior securities of such companies except in specified instances; prohibits pyramiding of such companies and cross ownership of their securities; and requires face-amount certificate companies to maintain reserves adequate to meet maturity payments upon their certificates.

UNLAWFUL ACQUISITION BY INVESTMENT COMPANY OF INSURANCE COMPANY STOCK

A registered, diversified management-investment company of the open-end type acquired shares representing 50 percent of the voting stock of an insurance company when it had no interest in the insurance company. The acquisition contravened section 12 (d) (2) of the act which provides that it shall be unlawful, with exceptions not pertinent here, for a registered investment company to acquire more than 10 percent of the outstanding voting stock of an insurance company, unless the investment company owned at least 25 percent of such securities at the time the purchase is made. Section 47 of the act declares contracts made in violation of the act void, as regards the rights of any person who shall have made or engaged in the performance of such contract or of any person, though not a party, who shall have acquired any right thereunder with knowledge of the pertinent facts.

After conferring with the staff, the investment company filed an application under section 6 (c) of the act for an order of the Commission exempting the acquisition from the provisions of section 12 (d) (2). It was stated therein that the vendors had been apprised of the violation and of their possible rights to rescind but had indicated a desire to reaffirm the transaction. In its disposition of the case, the Commission issued an order of exemption conditioned upon divestment of the insurance company stock by the investment com-

pany and other terms intended to insure that neither the investment company nor its management would derive any benefit as a consequence of the violation which had occurred. (Investment Company Act release No. 1189.)

CHANGES IN RULES

A number of changes were made last year further to simplify the Commission's rules and regulations under this act.

Rule N-17A-2-Exemption of Transactions by Banks

During the fiscal year the Commission amended rule N-17A-2 which exempts from section 17 (a) of the act certain purchase, sale, or borrowing transactions. Prior to the amendment the exemption was limited to a transaction between a bank and a person engaged principally in the business of installment financing. The amendment expanded the exemption provided by the rule so that it would also apply to certain transactions between banks.

Rule N-17A-4-Exemption of Contracts With Nonaffiliates

During the fiscal year the Commission adopted rule N-17A-4 to exempt from section 17 (a) of the act transactions pursuant to a contract where, at the time the contract was made and for a period of 6 months prior thereto, no affiliation or other relationship existed which would bring the transaction within the purview of section 17 (a). The purpose of this amendment was to provide an automatic exemption for such transactions since they are effected pursuant to a contract presumably entered into upon the basis of arm's-length negotiations.

Rule N-17F-2—Custody of Securities

Rule N-17F-2 specifies the conditions under which registered management investment companies may lodge their securities and similar investments in their own custody. During the fiscal year the Commission revised this rule in the interest of clarification. The revision specifically made clear that such investments deposited with a bank or other company under any arrangements which permit the withdrawal of such investments by directors, officers or employees upon their mere receipt are deemed to be in the custody of the registered company and may be maintained only in accordance with the terms and conditions of the rule.

Rule N-17G-1-Bonding of Officers and Employees

During the fiscal year the Commission circulated for public comment and later adopted rule N-17G-1. This rule implements the provisions of section 17 (g) of the act regarding the bonding of officers and employees of registered management investment companies who have access to securities or funds of the company. The rule permits the management to determine initially the amount of the bond required, but reserves to the Commission an opportunity, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing, to fix a minimum reasonable amount, as well as the type, form and coverage, of such bond.

STATISTICS RELATING TO REGISTERED INVESTMENT COMPANIES

As of June 30, 1948, there were 359 companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940. During the fiscal year 18 companies registered under the act, and the registration of 11 companies was terminated. The assets of the 359 registered investment companies aggregated approximately \$3,825,000,000, an increase of \$225,000,000 over the corresponding figure for 352 companies so registered a year before. These companies are classified under the act as follows:

Management open-end Management closed-end Unit Face amount	114 95
Total	359

The 18 companies that registered during the fiscal year are classified under the act as follows:

Management M anagement	open-endclosed-end	11 7
Total _		18

The 11 companies whose registrations were terminated during the fiscal year were classified under the act as follows:

Management open-end Management closed-end Unit	$\frac{2}{8}$
Total	11

During the fiscal year 73 applications were filed under various provisions of the act, 61 of these for orders of the Commission relating to exemption from requirements of the act and the remaining 12 for a determination of the Commission that the applicant had ceased to be an investment company within the meaning of the act. At the beginning of the fiscal year, 50 applications were pending. These pending applications, together with the 73 filed during the year, totaled 123 applications which required the appropriate examination and consideration of the Commission during the year. As a result of the Commission's action, 79 of these applications were disposed of during the year and 44 were pending on June 30, 1948. The various sections of the act under which these applications were filed, and the disposition of the applications during the fiscal year, are shown in the following table (since an application may involve more than one section of the act, the numbers are not totaled):

Section of the act under which application was filed	Number pending at June 30, 1947	Filed during year	Disposed of during year	Number pending at June 30, 1948
2 (a) (9) Determination of question of con- trol.	4	4	1 granted	7
3 (b) (2) Determination that applicant is not an investment company.	9	3	4 granted; 1 withdrawn; I de- nied: 2 dismissed.	. 4
6 (b) Employees' security company exemp-	1	1	1 withdrawn	1
 tion. (c) Various exemptions not specifically provided for by other sections of the act. 	13	22	21 granted; 2 withdrawn; 3 dismissed.	9

Section of the act under which application was filed	Number pending at June 30, 1947	Filed during year	Disposed of during year	Number pending at June 30, 1948
6 (d) Exemption for small closed-end com- panies offering securities in intrastate commerce.	1		1 granted	
8 (f) Determination that a registered investment company has ceased to be an investment company.	5	12	11 granted; 1 withdrawn; 1 denied.	4
9 (b) Exemption of incligible persons to serve as directors, officers, etc.	13			13
10 (f) Exemption of certain underwriting transactions.	[2	2 granted	
17 (b) Exemption for proposed transactions between investment companies and affiliates.	10	30	28 granted; 2 withdrawn; I dismissed.	. 9
17 (d) Approval of certain bonus and profit- sharing plans.	3	4	4 granted; 2 withdrawn	1
23 (c) (3) Terms under which closed-end investment company may purchase its outstanding securities.	2		1 granted; 1 withdrawn	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

The number of documents filed under the act by registered investment companies during the fiscal years ended June 30, 1947 and 1948, together with other related statistics, are tabulated below:

	Fiscal yea	
	1947	1948
Number of registered investment companies:		
Beginning of year	361	352
Registered during year	12	18
Terminations of registration during year	21	11
Number of companies registered at end of year	352	359
Notifications of registrations	12	18
Registration statements	12	14
Amendments to registration statements	18	39
A marsal remores	226	219
Annual reports Amendments to annual reports	20	28
Quarterly reports		762
Periodic reports, containing financial statements, to stockholders	718	688
Reports of repurchases of securities by closed-end management companies	102	102
Proxy statements.	162	166
Copies of sales literature	1, 035	2, 110
Applications for exemption from various provisions of the act	74	- 61
Applications for determination that registered investment company has ceased to be	14	
an invastment company.	17	12
an investment company Amendments to applications	50	42
Potal applications:	30	74
Beginning of year.	60	50
Filed during year	91	73
Dienocad of during year	101	79
Disposed of during year Pending at end of year	50	44

PART VII

ADMINISTRATION OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940

The Investment Advisers Act of 1940 requires the registration of investment advisers, persons engaged for compensation in the business of advising others with respect to securities. The Commission is empowered to deny registration to or revoke registration of such advisers if they have been convicted or enjoined because of misconduct in connection with security transactions or have made false statements in their applications for registration. The act also makes it unlawful for investment advisers to engage in practices which constitute fraud or deceit; requires investment advisers to disclose the nature of their interest in transactions executed for their clients; prohibits profitsharing arrangements; and, in effect, prevents assignment of investment advisory contracts without the client's consent.

Investment advisers' registration statistics, 1948 fiscal year

Effective registrations at close of preceding fiscal yearApplications pending at close of preceding fiscal yearApplications filed during fiscal year	19
Total	1, 137
Registrations canceled or withdrawn during year	0 1
Applications pending at end of year	
Total	1 137

Approximately 222 of these investment advisers represent in their applications that they engage exclusively in supervising their clients' investments on the basis of the individual needs of each client. The services of about 224 others are chiefly through publications of various types; 237 investment advisers are registered also as brokers and dealers in securities. Most of the remainder offer various combinations of investment services.

PART VIII

OTHER ACTIVITIES OF THE COMMISSION UNDER THE VARIOUS STATUTES

THE COMMISSION IN THE COURTS

Civil Proceedings

Complete lists of all cases in which the Commission appeared before a Federal or State court, either as a party or as amicus curiae, during the fiscal year, and the status of such cases at the close of the year, are contained in appendix tables 21 to 33. In addition, appendix table 34 contains a list of all those cases involving the statutes administered by the Commission (including chapter X cases) which have been decided by the courts during the fiscal year, including the official citation of each case and the sections of the statutes involved. The only cases omitted are those in which no opinion was rendered and which, in

addition, did not involve a novel legal problem.

At the beginning of the 1948 fiscal year 23 injunctive and related enforcement proceedings instituted by the Commission in connection with fraudulent and other illegal practices in the sale of securities were pending before the courts; 25 additional proceedings were instituted during the year and 27 cases were disposed of, so that 21 of such proceedings remained pending at the end of the year. In addition, the Commission participated in a large number of reorganization cases; in 19 proceedings in the district courts under section 11 (e) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935; and in 28 miscellaneous actions, usually as amicus curiae or intervenor, to advise the court of its views regarding the construction of provisions of statutes administered by the Commission which were involved in private lawsuits. The Commission also participated in 64 appeals. Of these, 23 came before the courts on petition for review of an administrative order; 23 arose out of corporate reorganizations in which the Commission had taken an active part; 4 were appeals in actions brought by or against the Commission; 12 were appeals from orders entered pursuant to section 11 (e) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act; and 2 were miscellaneous appeals.

The civil proceedings in which the Commission participated during the fiscal year are discussed at length in the sections of this report

devoted to the respective acts involved in the proceedings.

Criminal Proceedings

The statutes administered by the Commission provide for the transmission of evidence of statutory violations to the Attorney General who, in his discretion, may institute appropriate criminal proceedings. As a matter of practice the Commission, largely through its 10 regional offices, thoroughly investigates suspected violations and, in cases where the investigation appears to disclose a foundation for criminal proceedings, prepares detailed reports of investigation which are forwarded to the Attorney General. When it is decided to institute criminal proceedings, the Commission may assign such of its employees as have participated in the investigation to assist in the preparation

of the case for presentation to the grand jury, in the conduct of the trial, and in preparing briefs on appeal. Parole reports on convicted offenders are prepared also by members of the Commission's staff. Where the investigation discloses violations of statutes other than those administered by the Commission, reference is made to the appro-

priate Federal or State agency.

Up to June 30, 1948, indictments had been obtained against 2,517 defendants in 412 criminal cases developed by the Commission. By the end of the 1948 fiscal year, 385 of these cases had been disposed of as to one or more defendants, and convictions had been obtained in 344, or over 89 percent of such cases, against a total of 1,235 defend-During the 1948 fiscal year 14 indictments were returned against 33 defendants. Convictions were obtained against 16 defendants in 11 cases during the year.

In the criminal appeals decided during the past year judgments of conviction were affirmed as to three defendants and reversed as to one defendant, whose case was remanded for a new trial. Upon

retrial this defendant pleaded guilty.

As in prior years, the criminal cases developed by the Commission and prosecuted during the past fiscal year continued to be extremely varied in nature. Generally they include fraudulent promotions of a variety of mining ventures, new businesses, and inventions; fraud in the sale of securities relating to oil and gas properties; fraudulent schemes employed by securities brokers and dealers and their representatives; fraudulent practices by corporate "insiders"; and the unlawful manipulation of stock on a securities exchange.

Cases prosecuted during the year involving the fraudulent promotion of a variety of mining ventures included U. S. v. Magnus G. Thomle et al. (Mass.); U. S. v. Bennett S. Dennison (S. D. Cal.); U. S. v. F. E. Nemec et al. (E. D. Wash.); U. S. v. Wallace R. O'Keefe (W. D. Wash.); and U. S. v. James A. Allen et al. (E. D. Wash.).

In the Thomle case two defendants were convicted for a fraudulent promotion in connection with the sale of stock of a silver-mining company and for selling such stock in violation of the registration provisions of the Securities Act of 1933. The defendants were charged, among other things, with employing the "Ponzi" type of swindle, wherein purported dividends were paid to investors out of the capital funds of the mining corporation which was the subject of the promo-Another conviction for a fraudulent mining promotion was obtained in the Dennison case, where the defendant was charged with selling stock of a gold-mining corporation by means of false representations including, among others, that the company owned valuable mining properties on which rich gold ore deposits had been discovered and that the company had ample capital to put its mining properties into successful commercial production. Dennison was also

The status of all criminal cases pending during the past fiscal year is set forth in appendix table 26. Appendix tables 26, 35, 36, and 37 contain condensed statistical summaries of all criminal proceedings developed by the Commission.

Adjusted as of June 30, 1948.

Including pleas of guilty or noto contendere. Two of these cases are still open as to other defendants.

other defendants.

As to one defendant the appellate court affirmed his conviction on four counts and reversed as to two other counts.

These appeals involved four separate cases.

found guilty on another indictment which charged him with the fraudulent sale of securities relating to the promotion of a new business, a proposed corporation which purportedly was to engage in

the production and sale of building materials.

In the Nemec and O'Keefe cases the defendants were charged with fraudulently selling securities in connection with the promotion of various gold mining ventures. The indictments in these cases charged, inter alia, that the defendants made false representations as to the ownership of the mining properties which were the subject of the promotion. It was also charged in the Nemec case that the defendants fraudulently claimed that they had acquired the exclusive rights to a secret process for the recovery of gold and other metals, which process purportedly had been invented by one of their associates who was falsely represented to be a nuclear physicist, eminent chemical engineer, and key atomic scientist in the development of the atomic bomb at the Hanford project. After the close of the fiscal year convictions were obtained in both of these cases.6

In the Allen case the defendants are charged with fraudulently selling the stock of two silver mining corporations. The indictment charges, in addition to other things, that the defendants falsely represented that the proceeds received from the sale of stock would be used for the exploration and development of the corporations' mining properties, whereas according to the indictment the defendants appropriated and diverted a large amount of such proceeds to their own use

and benefit.7

Convictions in connection with the fraudulent promotion of new businesses were obtained during the past year in U. S. v. Thomas A. Neely (N. D. Ill.) and U. S. v. John H. Boal (N. D. Cal.). The Neely case involved the fraudulent sale of securities of various corporations which were organized or to be organized for the purported purpose of providing barge-transportation facilities to a number of prominent steel and oil companies. In the Boal case the defendant was convicted for fraudulently selling various securities in connection with the promotion of a corporation which purportedly was to engage in the

manufacture of artificial gas from hydro-carbon oils.

A promotion relating to the development of various oil and gas properties was involved in U.S. v. Cactus Oil Co. et al. (Del.), in which an indictment was returned during the year charging violations of the registration and antifraud provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 in the sale of preorganization subscriptions and stock of the defendant corporation. The defendants are charged with making various false representations as to the size and value of the oil and gas properties owned by the corporation and the quantity of oil and gas being produced from such properties. The indictment further charges the defendants with employing the "Ponzi" and "reloading" fraud techniques in that they are alleged to have caused purported "dividends" to be paid by the corporation out of capital for the purpose of inducing investors to make repeated purchases of stock.8

8 Pending.

⁰ In the Nemec case one defendant pleaded guilty at the opening of the trial, four others were found guilty after trial, and two others were acquitted, one by the jury and one by direction of the court. In the O'Keefe case, O'Keefe, who was the sole defendant, entered a plea of guilty to one of the two counts of the indictment.

¹ Pending.

§ Panding

The indictment in U.S. v. Chester S. Plasket (W. D. Texas) alleges that the defendant fraudulently sold royalty interests and other securities in connection with the promotion of two inventions, known as the "Magic Fountain Shaving Brush" and the "Magicflo Siphon Jigger,"

a plastic liquor dispensing device.

Several cases involved charges of fraud and unlawful conduct on the part of securities brokers and dealers and their representatives. These were U. S. v. Wells E. Turner (W. D. Wisc.); U. S. v. Kenneth Leo Bauer et al. (N. J.); U. S. v. Arthur L. Augustine (N. D. Iowa); and U.S. v. Clarence Everett Martin (N. D. Ill.). Convictions were obtained in the Turner, Bauer, 10 and Augustine cases, in which the indictments charged, inter alia, that the defendants converted and appropriated to their own use and benefit securities and funds belonging to their customers. The indictment in the Martin case charges the defendant with employing a scheme to defraud representatives of the estates of deceased and incompetent persons in connection with the purchase and sale of various securities owned by such estates. According to the indictment, Martin represented that he would dispose of the securities owned by the estates at the current market prices and instead concealed the true current market value of such securities and purchased them for his own account at prices less than the prevailing market prices.11

Fraudulent sales of securities of an alleged fraternal association resulted in the conviction of the defendant in U. S. v. Preston E. Douglass (N. D. Ill.). According to the indictment Douglass, by means of various false representations, induced investors to purchase stock purportedly issued or to be issued by the Frederick Douglass Afro-American Cooperative Industry Builders Association, Inc., a non-profit Illinois corporation (which was by statute prohibited from issuing stock), which association had been organized by Douglass supposedly for the purpose of improving the economic status and welfare of the Negro race and to furnish investors with employment in cooperative stores and on farms which the association would develop and

Fraudulent practices by corporate "insiders" were involved in U. S. v. Alfred Epstein et al. (E. D. Mich.), in which three defendants were found guilty of mail fraud violations in connection with the operation of two brewery corporations. The defendants were alleged to have siphoned off to themselves substantial sums of moneys from these brewery corporations through the medium of a number of other companies which they had organized for the purpose of reselling brewing materials to the brewery corporations.12

In U. S. v. Albert B. Windt et al. (N. D. Cal.), the defendants were convicted of manipulating and conspiring to manipulate the stock of a mining company listed on the San Francisco Mining Exchange. According to the indictment, the defendants conspired to and did raise the market price of such stock on the exchange by a series of manipulative transactions designed to create the appearance of active trading and to raise the price of such stock for the purpose of inducing

others to purchase the stock at the higher prices.

Pending.
 Bauer pleaded guilty; pending as to two other defendants.
 Pending.
 Appeals pending.

In a number of cases Canadian mining company stocks were sold to residents of the United States by persons residing in Canada who operated from across the border without compliance with the statutes of this country. The Commission has been cooperating with the State Department and the Department of Justice in efforts to secure a treaty with Canada which would permit the extradition of persons violating Federal and State securities laws. The treaty was ratified by the United States Senate in May 1942, but has not yet been ratified by the Canadian Parliament. Numerous cases of this type have been the subject of investigation by the Commission and indictments have been obtained in a number of these cases. One such case is U.S. v. Albert E. Broadley et al. (W. D. N. Y.), in which an indictment was returned during the 1948 fiscal year charging the defendants with employing a scheme to defraud in the sale of stock of two Canadian corporations in connection with the promotion of gold and nickel mining ventures. In part, the indictment charges that the defendants rendered a purported free investment advisory service, the sole purpose of which was to sell the stock of these corporations, and that they falsely represented that the moneys received from the sale of such stock would be used for the development of the corporations' mining properties.13

Constant vigilance is maintained by the Commission in order to cause the apprehension of the defendants in these Canadian cases if they should enter the United States. During the past year such efforts were successful in causing the apprehension of the defendants in two such cases, U. S. v. Albert Edward DePalma (N. D. Ohio) and U. S. v. Noel H. Knowles et al. (E. D. N. Y.). The indictments in these cases, which had been impounded by the Federal district courts at the time of their return, were made public after the defendants had been apprehended. DePalma, who was released on \$50,000 bail, failed to appear for arraignment and forfeited his bail. He is presently a fugitive in Canada. The defendants in the other

case are awaiting trial.

The criminal appeals decided during the 1948 fiscal year were: Kaufman v. U. S., 163 F. (2d) 404 (C. A. 6, 1947), certiorari denied, 333 U. S. 857 (1948), in which a conviction for the fraudulent sale of stock of Devon Gold Mines, Ltd., a Canadian corporation, was affirmed; U. S. v. Freeman, 167 F. (2d) 786 (C. A. 7, 1948), wherein the court sustained the conviction of the appellant for conspiracy and using the mails to defraud in connection with transactions involving the exchange of whisky warehouse receipts for bottling contracts; Reining v. U. S., 167 F. (2d) 362 (C. A. 5, 1948), in which the court affirmed the defendant's conviction on four counts ¹⁴ charging violation of the Mail Fraud Statute in the fraudulent sale of various oil and gas leases; U. S. v. Grayson, 166 F. (2d) 863 (C. A. 2, 1948), wherein the court reversed the conviction of Grayson for conspiracy and fraud violations in connection with the sale of various oil and gas interests. In the latter case, the court found that the evidence supported the jury's verdict but remanded the case for a new trial because of certain errors committed during the trial. Upon retrial Grayson pleaded guilty.

¹³ Pending. ¹⁴ The court reversed as to two other counts on which the defendant had also been convicted.

COMPLAINTS AND INVESTIGATIONS

During the 1948 fiscal year the Commission received 5,921 items of mail concerned with alleged securities violations. These communications are classified administratively as "complaint enforcement" correspondence. While they relate to complaints and alleged violations of various laws administered by the Commission, the bulk of them deals with the enforcement of the Securities Act of 1933 and the registration provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

This material constitutes an important source of information concerning possible securities violations. Investigations made by the Commission's staff and contacts maintained with other governmental or private agencies provide additional sources of such information. Where it appears on the basis of any such data that any securities violation may have occurred, the Commission conducts appropriate investigations by means of correspondence or the assignment of cases to field investigators to ascertain the facts of the particular case.

The extent of the investigatory activities of the Commission during the past year under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; sections 12 (e) and (h) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935; the Investment Company Act of 1940; and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 is reflected in the following table:

Investigations of securities violations 1

	Preliminary 2	Docketed 1	Total
Pending at June 30, 1947 Opened July 1, 1947 to June 30, 1948: New cases Transforred from preliminary	414 200	927 152 25	1, 341 361 25
Total number of cases to be accounted for	623	1, 104	1, 727
Closed during year Transferred to docketed Pending at June 30, 1948	104 25 494	118 986	222 25 1, 480

¹ These figures include the oil and gas investigations which are separately tabulated and discussed elsewhere in this report.

2 Investigations carried on through correspondence and limited field work.

2 Investigations assigned to field investigators.

Securities Violations File

To assist in the enforcement of the various statutes which it administers, and to provide a further means of preventing fraud in the purchase and sale of securities, the Commission has established a securities violations file. This file constitutes a clearing house of information concerning persons who have been charged with violations of various Federal and States securities statutes. It is kept up to date by the Commission in cooperation with the United States Post Office Department, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, parole and probation officials, State securities commissions, Federal and State prosecuting attorneys, police officials, members of the National Association of Better Business Bureaus, Inc., and members of the United States Chamber of Commerce. By the end of the 1948 fiscal year this file contained data concerning 49,100 persons against whom Federal or, in the majority of cases, State action had been taken in connection with securities violations. During the past year alone additional items of information relating to 2,771 persons were added to these files, including information concerning 1,170 persons not previ-

ously identified therein.

Extensive use is made of this clearinghouse of information by the Commission and the cooperating agencies. During the past year, in connection with the maintenance of the files, the Commission received 2,619 "securities violations" letters or reports (apart from those mentioned above which are classified as "complaint enforcement") and dispatched 2,424 communications in turn to cooperating agencies.

ACTIVITIES OF THE COMMISSION IN ACCOUNTING AND AUDITING

The importance of adequate financial statements and their certification by independent public accountants in ensuring information necessary for the protection of investors is recognized in the detailed provisions of the several acts administered by the Commission. These acts grant the Commission broad authority to prescribe uniform systems of accounts for registrants subject to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, to provide for a reasonable degree of uniformity in accounting policies and principles to be followed by registered investment companies in maintaining their accounting records and in preparing financial statements required by the Investment Company Act of 1940, and to prescribe the form and content of financial statements required to be filed by registrants subject to the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Acting under this authority the Commission has prescribed uniform systems of accounts for certain public utility holding companies and for public utility mutual and subsidiary service companies. The principal accounting requirements prescribed under the acts of 1933, 1934, and 1940 are set forth in regulation S-X, which governs the form and content of most financial statements filed under these acts. In addition, under the Securities Exchange Act, rules have been adopted governing record keeping, financial reporting, and the auditing of the books of exchange members, brokers, and dealers.

Recognizing the pervasive nature of accounting in many of the Commission's activities under all of the acts and in all of its major operating divisions, the Commission organized its accounting staff to facilitate the handling of day-to-day accounting problems and to ensure reasonable uniformity of treatment of such problems. To this end the chief accountant acts as the Commission's chief adviser and consulting officer on accounting matters and exercises general supervision over the establishment and execution of Commission policy with respect to accounting and auditing principles and practices. Assisting him directly is an assistant chief accountant and a small staff whose principal functions are to prepare studies of current problems and to draft necessary rules and opinions. In addition, there are three other assistant chief accountants, each of whom is assigned to and is directly responsible for the examination of financial data and other accounting work in one of the three operating divisions of the Commission, namely, the Divisions of Corporation

Finance, Public Utilities, and Trading and Exchanges.

Although the Commission's accounting requirements, as they exist in rules, regulations, and forms in use today, reflect nearly 15 years'

experience involving both formal and informal decisions rendered in the daily work of the divisions, and although they exhibit the influence of valuable counsel of independent accountants and accounting and financial officers of registrants, as well as the advice of committees of professional and business organizations, other Government agencies, and numerous public-spirited individuals, these requirements do not constitute a completely integrated body of accounting principles. It was recognized, however, early in the handling of the Commission's accounting work that decisions would necessarily be made which would be of general application. To make these decisions readily available, a program of publication of accounting series releases was inaugurated in 1937. In release No. 4 of this series the Commission, recognizing that differences of opinion with respect to accounting principles existed in the professional circles, announced its administrative policy that, in the absence of substantial authoritative support for accounting principles employed, financial statements were to be presumed to be misleading or inaccurate despite disclosures contained in the certificate of the accountant or in footnotes to the statements, provided that the matters involved were material. In the event of a difference of opinion between the Commission and the registrant as to the proper principles of accounting to be followed, disclosure would be accepted in lieu of correction of the financial statements only if there was substantial authoritative support for the practices followed by the registrant and the position of the Commission had not previously been expressed in rules, regulations, or other official releases of the Commission, including the published opinions of its chief accountant. The complete texts of a preseries release and the first 63 releases in the accounting series were republished in one handy volume at the close of the present fiscal year. It is contemplated that subsequent releases as they are promulgated will be printed in the same convenient form.

Examination of Financial Statements

The material referred to above comprises the necessary guides to persons contemplating filing financial statements with the Commission. In addition, all members of the Commission's accounting staff are available to advise prospective registrants and their accountants in conference or by correspondence, prior to filing. Experienced practitioners who recognize unique problems regularly follow this procedure and save valuable time for themselves and their clients. public accountant without experience with the Commission should not hesitate to do likewise. Although many problems are encountered and solved in this way, a substantial part of the Commission's accounting work lies in the examination of financial statements after filing. In the event that the examination discloses lack of compliance with the rules or regulations or a failure to apply generally accepted accounting principles the customary procedure is to advise the registrant The problems raised may then be resolved either by corby letter. respondence or conference, which may result in corrections in the financial statements. It is only in the rarest cases that formal procedures are necessary to effect a solution. It is appropriate to observe again this year that numerous inquiries on accounting subjects were received from companies and accountants not involved in proceedings before the Commission. A growing practice not mentioned heretofore in these reports is the request from teachers and students of accounting for assistance in research projects or for copies of accounting series releases and regulations for use in university classes—some devoted especially to the study of Commission procedures.

Proposed Amendment of Regulation S-X

During the 1948 fiscal year the Commission requested public comment on several proposals to amend regulation S-X. These proposals are discussed below.

Article 6B for face-amount certificate companies.—In connection with the development of rules relating to face-amount certificate companies under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commission on August 5, 1947, announced that there was under consideration a proposal for the adoption of a new article 6B in regulation S-X to prescribe the form and content of financial statements to be filed with the Commission by face-amount certificate companies. The article as proposed gives appropriate recognition to the special provisions of section 28 of the act relating to certificate reserves and "qualified investments." The proposal has been discussed with officials of the principal companies concerned and their independent accountants in a series of conferences.

Article 5A for commercial, industrial, and mining companies in the promotional, exploratory or development stage.—Since the adoption in 1936 of Form A-O-1 for securities of corporations organized within 2 years to engage in the exploitation of mineral deposits other than oil or gas, the Commission has prescribed one or more forms containing provisions for special presentation of financial statements to be used instead of the conventional balance sheets and profit and loss statements. Form A-O-1 since has been superseded. The currently effective Forms S-2, S-3, and S-11 provide for separate statements of assets and capitalized expenses, liabilities, capital shares, other securities, and cash receipts and disbursements. Except for immaterial differences in captions the general form and content of these financial statements are the same for the three forms. The purpose of the present proposal 16 is to include these alternative forms of financial statements in regulation S-X and to extend their use to applications for registration on Form 10 and to annual reports on Forms 10-K and 1-MD for companies of the type described. Prior to publishing the proposal for general comment the staff had the valuable assistance of the American Institute of Accountants' Accounting Procedure Committee Subcommittee on Mine Accounting in a series of conferences to explore the desirability of the proposal and thereafter to comment on a series of preliminary drafts.

Revised Form S-2

In connection with the proposed article 5A of regulation S-X it should be noted that Form S-2 was revised so that its requirements are substantially the same as Form S-12, subsequently rescinded. The revised form provides for commercial and industrial companies still in the development stage and prescribes financial statements of the

Accounting Series release No. 63 (1947).
 Accounting Series release No. 65 (1948). The proposal was adopted and published in Accounting Series release No. 66 (1948).

type described above. Certain established companies which heretofore used Form S-2 now use Form S-1.

Amendment of Form S-3

Of interest to accountants serving small mining companies is the amendment of Form S-3 announced in Securities Act release No. 3269 (1947). Prior to the amendment the form required certified financial statements as of a date within 90 days prior to the date of filing. The amendment provides that these statements need not be certified if there are also filed corresponding certified statements as of a date within 1 year prior to the date of filing.

Simplified Records for Brokers and Dealers

In an effort to assist brokers and dealers operating on a limited scale and with a minimum of office staff, the National Association of Securities Dealers during the past year prepared a simple set of bookkeeping forms and illustrations depicting the proper recording of transactions of a small over-the-counter business. Members of the Commission's staff were consulted during the preparation of the material and the finished project was submitted for comment. It was the opinion of the staff that if the use of the forms was confined to those members of the NASD whose business ordinarily involved the kinds of transactions comprehended by the forms submitted, the records thus maintained should comply with the requirements of rule X-17A-3, assuming, of course, that in individual cases the firm reflected the information contemplated by the rule. It was observed that the forms submitted did not provide for certain complex types of transactions for which records would have to be maintained pursuant to the rule and for which the individual broker-dealer engaging in such transactions would have to provide the necessary records.

Commission Cases of Particular Interest to Accountants

Tobey Royalties Co., Inc. 17—The broker-dealer in this case was one of a class required by section 17 (a) (1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and rule X-17A-5 thereunder to file annual reports of financial condition. After appropriate hearing the Commission revoked the company's registration for its failure to file such reports for 1943, 1944, and 1946, for filing a false and misleading report for 1945, and for refusing to permit representatives of the Commission to examine its books. Prior to taking this action the Commission had made repeated suggestions to the company regarding the proper manner of compliance with the requirements of the act and the rule.

Globe Aircraft Corp. 18—In its accounting aspects this case is particularly interesting because of the significance of conditions arising after the date of the certified financial statements included in the registration statement but before the effective date of the registration statement. These undisclosed adverse financial developments led to the bankruptcy of the corporation and the Commission suspended the effectiveness of the registration statement. As originally filed the registration statement included an audited profit-and-loss statement for the last 4 months of 1945 which showed a loss of \$540,000 as compared with net income of \$53,000 for the full year. Of the

Securities Exchange Act release No. 3982 (1947).
 Securities Act release No. 3255 (1947).

\$540,000 loss \$439,000 represented a write-down of inventories from cost to replacement value. At the request of the Commission a profit and loss statement for the following January was included in an amended prospectus. While disclosing a loss of \$17,000 for the month this unaudited statement made no reference to additional inventory write-downs necessitated by the continuation of excess costs for workin-process inventory incurred throughout January and February 1946. Despite an assertion that there was a probability that a tax refund would offset a substantial part of the indicated inventory losses, the Commission held that the failure to disclose the situation made the January profit-and-loss statement materially false and misleading. The registration statement was also found materially false and misleading in that (1) it failed to disclose material increases in outstanding note liabilities between the date subsequent to the balance sheet as of which such liabilities were shown and the effective date of the registration statement, and (2) misstated the issuer's working capital needs by stating that the issuer intended to use the proceeds from the sale of the securities being registered for the payment of an outstanding loan and the purchase of a plant, when in fact the company's financial condition was such that it could not have intended so to apply the proceeds since such proceeds were required for working capital.

Thomascolor, Inc.19—This case is fully discussed at page 13 of this report. It will be recalled that the registration statement showed "Patents and patent applications" amounting to \$2,000,000 in total assets of \$2,500,000. Although the intangible item was supported by a footnote disclosing the number of shares of capital stock of the registrant issued for assets acquired from predecessor interests and presenting a comparison of the resulting valuations with amounts carried on predecessor balance sheets, the presentation was considered misleading in two basic respects. The amounts shown as patents and patent applications included some \$700,000 representing promotion stock to be acquired by the registrant for a nominal amount, and a substantial amount which was actually promotion ex-The amended balance sheet clarified the presentation, segregated the promotional items and more adequately disclosed the effect of the agreement by which the registrant would receive the promo-This case is the latest in a long series of cases involving promotional enterprises in which the Commission has held that values assigned to intangible assets acquired in exchange for stock must be scrutinized with care to avoid a misleading result in the financial statements due to the presence of inflated or improperly classified asset accounts.

Drayer-Hanson, Inc.²⁰—In a report on an investigation it was determined that a registration statement which had become effective contained untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary to make the facts disclosed in the statement not misleading. The registration statement when it became effective on December 11, 1946, contained a certified balance sheet for the predecessor partnership as of April 30, 1946, and a certified profit-and-loss statement for the 10 months then ended. In June 1947 the

Securities Act release No. 3267 (1947).
 Securities Act release No. 3277, Accounting Series release No. 64 (1948).

company and its independent public accountants informed the Commission that the controller of the company had discovered an overstatement in the inventory of work-in-process and fabricated parts of approximately \$97,000 which resulted in a similar overstatement of partnership net worth and net income reflected in the statements referred to above. Reexamination by the auditors indicated the overstatement to be approximately \$85,000 in an inventory item of \$244,000 and in the net income of \$181,000 shown in the erroneous statements. The company had not taken a physical inventory of work in process since December 31, 1944; hence the auditors had not been able to employ the generally accepted auditing procedure of observing the inventory taking, but stated in their certificate that they had made tests of selected items subsequently to assure themselves of the existence of the inventory and of the adequacy of the related accounting data. However, the alternative procedures employed by the auditors did not disclose the failure of the registrant to give effect to all partial shipments from work in process. The Commission concluded that under the circumstances of this case there was no justification for the omission of the inventory taking. It was concluded further that in view of the manner in which the audit work was done the accountants were not justified in stating in their certificate that they had no reason to believe that the inventories as set forth in the statements were not fairly stated.

Developments in Accounting Principles and Procedures

During the year the high level of prices and of business activity stimulated an unusual amount of discussion in financial and professional circles of certain basic problems in corporate accounting and financial reporting. These problems have been reflected in many of the registration statements and annual reports filed with the Commission.

One of the problems discussed during the year was the accounting treatment of general purpose contingency reserves and reserves designated for special purposes. One aspect of the subject, that of the disposition of war reserves, was mentioned last year. It appears that with few exceptions these reserves were eliminated during the year by charges of the type anticipated when the reserves were created and by the return of unabsorbed balances to earned surplus.

Another facet of the reserve question mentioned in the Thirteenth Annual Report was the propriety of creating, from income, reserves for future inventory price declines. Publication early in the 1948 fiscal year by the American Institute of Accountants of a research bulletin on the subject of "Inventory Pricing," followed a few months later by a bulletin on "Inventory Reserves," is a contribution to the solution of the problem substantially in accord with the views set forth in our last report. The Institute's bulletin on the "Accounting Treatment of General Purpose Contingency Reserves" furnished support for the position that the creation and subsequent elimination of such reserves have no part in the determination of income.

In the examination of financial statements it has been necessary in some cases to take exception to profit-and-loss statements reflecting an optional presentation permitted by both of the reserve bulletins referred to in the preceding paragraph. Both of the bulletins express a preference for creating the reserves in question by a segrega-

tion or appropriation of surplus but permit their creation by appropriation of net income disclosed on the profit-and-loss statement, provided net income is first determined and clearly designated. Experience has shown that this last admonition has not been adequately observed in all cases. Even when applied meticulously in the financial statements, officers of the corporations and financial writers in referring to "net income for the year" frequently emphasize the final figure after deduction of the reserve appropriation rather than the designated net income. It is for this reason that our chief accountant has taken the position that appropriations of the type in question should be reflected only in the surplus statement and should not be shown on the profit-and-loss statement. The Commission is advised that the American Institute of Accountants' committee on accounting procedure, having recognized the unsatisfactory results from the optional treatment, has adopted a bulletin prescribing alternate methods of presenting information as to the disposition of income which would prohibit the form of dubious reporting discussed above.

One old problem in accounting cropped up with renewed vigor early in the fiscal year. This is the theory that depreciation of fixed assets is related directly to replacement and that reserves for depreciation are inadequate if they are not equal to replacement cost of the property at the time of its retirement from service. One of the country's largest corporations applied this theory in its reports to stockholders in 1947 by including an extra charge for depreciation in its profit-and-loss statements on the grounds that replacement cost of the assets would be greater than recorded cost and that the procedure adopted was consistent with their accounting for inventories on the last-in-first-out basis. The company's independent accountants expressed an exception in their certificate by stating that the procedure followed by the corporation was not in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. This example is cited because it is perhaps the most clearly presented and most vigorously defended of a number of efforts that have come to the Commission's attention to deal with the effects of the present high price levels. The problem is being given serious consideration and will be the subject of continuing study to determine whether there is justification for the substitution of new procedures for the presently accepted basis of recording fixed assets at cost and allocating appropriate portions of that cost to expense during the anticipated useful life of the assets.

A problem which has been under consideration and which has been the subject of repeated exchanges of views between the Commission's staff and representatives of the American Institute of Accountants and other interested parties is the concept of income and the proper form of income or profit-and-loss statement most informative to investors. At the close of the last year the discussion had progressed to a point where it was the general opinion that a representative body of accountants or this Commission should publish conclusions on the subject in the near future. The result was the publication in December 1947 by the committee on accounting procedure of the American Institute of Accountants of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 32, on "Income and Earned Surplus." The bulletin presents the opposing views of the advocates of the "all-inclusive" and "current operating performance" types of income statements, reiterates the committee's opinion

that "it is plainly desirable that over the years all profits and losses of a business be reflected in the net income," and emphatically expresses the opinion that "there should be a general presumption that all items of profit and loss recognized during the period are to be used in determining the figure reported as net income." It then proceeds to enumerate certain extraordinary items which should be excluded from such determination of income "when their inclusion would impair the significance of net income so that misleading inferences might be drawn therefrom." Believing that the purposes desired to be served by this exception to the general presumption of the bulletin can best be served by proper presentation in an "all-inclusive" type of income statement, the Commission authorized the staff to take exception to financial statements which appear to be misleading, even though they reflect the application of the bulletin. It also authorized the chief accountant to address a letter to the Institute's director of research expressing the view that the procedures recommended in the bulletin seemed to be susceptible to abuse and might result in misleading income and earned surplus statements in conflict with published rules and opinions of the Commission. Through the courtesy of the director of research of the Institute and the editor the letter was given wide publicity in accounting circles by publication in the Journal of Accountancy immediately following the pages whereon the bulletin was reproduced.²¹ Experience since publication seems to indicate little attempt to apply the exceptions to which the Commission objected. In this connection it may be noted that the American Accounting Association has just published a revision of its 1941 statement of "Accounting Principles Underlying Corporate Financial Statements" in which its endorsement of the all-inclusive form of income statement and the principle of matching costs against revenues as the basis for the determination of income is reasserted (Accounting Concepts and Standards Underlying Corporate Financial Statements—1948 Revision).

Developments in Auditing Procedures and Professional Practice

The annual reports of the last 3 years have referred to the financial questionnaires of broker-dealers prescribed by rule X-17A-5 and Form X-17A-5 and to the minimum audit requirements specified in the form as applicable to those broker-dealers whose reports must be certified under the rule. As in these past years many of these reports are filed by small companies employing public accountants who have had no other experience with Commission requirements. In recognition of this situation the Commission staff, through correspondence and direct contact by regional office representatives, has devoted considerable time to explaining the requirements as to the content of the questionnaires to registrants and their accountants where it was apparent that inexperience rather than deliberate evasion was the cause of the unsatisfactory reports filed. It is believed that in these cases a policy of education is more in the public interest than the imposition of sanctions would be.

It will be recalled that two of the accounting cases described herein involved inventories of work-in-process as the principal element. In both cases an overstatement of the work-in-process inventories re-

²¹ January 1948, p. 25.

sulted in corresponding overstatements of the capital stock equity and of the profit for the period. In this connection it is appropriate to recall that early in the war period the Commission established, regarding companies engaged in war work, a liberalized policy with respect to its requirements as to physical inventory verification by independent public accountants in order to avoid any possible interruption in the production or delivery of war materials.22 Following the disclosures in the McKesson & Robbins, Inc. case, the American Institute of Accountants adopted certain extensions of auditing procedure, including the requirement that if "inventories are a material factor, it should be generally accepted auditing procedure that, in addition to making auditing tests and checks of the inventory accounts and records [the independent certified public accountant] shall, wherever practicable and reasonable, be present, either in person or by his representatives, at the inventory taking and by suitable observation and inquiry satisfy himself as to the effectiveness of the methods of inventory taking and as to the measure of reliance which may be placed upon the client's representations as to inventories and upon the records thereof. In this connection the independent certified public accountant may require physical tests of inventories to be made under his observation." 23 In announcing its waiver of this requirement and the acceptance of substitute procedures during the war the Commission said:

It is implicit that, at the earliest opportunity, every reasonable effort will be made to take physical inventory with normal observation and test checking by the certifying accountants, and that any practicable improvements in the accounting records and controls of inventory will be undertaken. Finally, it should be understood that waiver of objections with respect to the current annual report will not necessarily constitute a basis for similar action in respect of annual reports for subsequent years or statements filed in registrations for the sale of securities.²⁴

Current representations with respect to the auditing of inventory accounts are being scrutinized with care in the light of this admonition

and the experience reflected in the cases cited.

Previous annual reports have commented upon the Commission's practice of cooperation with various accounting groups in the development of accounting and auditing standards of practice. This cooperation has taken the form of interchange of ideas and the institution. of a practice of submitting for comment proposed changes in Commission rules to the several accounting organizations and others interested long prior to the adoption of the Administrative Procedure Act. In return, the organizations have referred their proposed public announcements in the field of accounting and auditing to the Commission's accounting staff for comment. In addition to the pronouncements referred to above in the field of accounting principles and procedures, a special report by the committee on auditing procedure of the American Institute of Accountants should be mentioned. report published under the title "Tentative Statement of Auditing Standards—Their Generally Accepted Significance and Scope" is a substantial contribution to a general understanding of the responsibilities of independent public accountants. The statement sets forth standards of field work and reporting; it recognizes that examinations

Accounting Series release No. 30 (1942).
 Statements of Auditing Procedure No. 1, October 1939.
 Accounting Series release No. 30 (1942).

of financial statements must be performed with due professional care by persons having adequate technical training and proficiency and an independence in mental attitude.

DIVISION OF OPINION WRITING

The Division of Opinion Writing aids the Commission in the preparation of findings, opinions, and orders promulgated by the Commission in contested and other cases and controversies arising under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Holding Company Act of 1935, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. These statutes provide for a wide variety of administrative proceedings which require quasi-judicial determination by the Commission. Formal opinions are issued in all cases where the nature of the matter to be decided, whether substantive or procedural, is of sufficient importance to warrant a formal expression of views.

The Division of Opinion Writing is an independent staff office which is directly responsible to the Commission. It receives all assignments and instructions from and makes recommendations and submits its work to the Commission directly. It is headed by a director, who is assisted by an assistant director, supervising attorneys and

a staff of drafting attorneys and a financial analyst.

While engaged in the preparation of opinions assigned to the Division of Opinion Writing, the members of this Division are completely isolated from members of the operating division actively participating in the proceedings and it is an invariable rule that those assigned to prepare such an opinion must not have had any prior participation in any phase of the proceedings with respect to which the opinion is to

be prepared.

The director or assistant director of the Division of Opinion Writing, together with the members of the staff of the Division who are assigned to work on a particular case, attend the oral argument of the cases before the Commission and frequently keep abreast of current hearings. Prior to the oral argument, the Division makes a preliminary review of the record and prepares and submits to the Commission a summary of the facts and issues raised in the hearings before the hearing officer, as well as in any proposed findings and supporting briefs, the hearing officer's recommended decision, and exceptions thereto taken by the parties. Following oral argument or, if no oral argument has been held, then at such time as the case is ready for decision, the Division of Opinion Writing is instructed by the Commission respecting the nature and content of the opinion and order to be prepared.

In preparing the draft of the Commission's formal opinion, the entire record in the proceedings is read by a member of the staff of the Division of Opinion Writing and in some cases he also prepares a narrative abstract of the record. Upon completion of a draft opinion and abstract of the record, and after their review and revision within the Division of Opinion Writing, they are submitted to the Commission. If the study of the record in the case by the Division of Opinion Writing has revealed evidence of violations warranting a reference to the Attorney General for criminal prosecution, or has

disclosed the desirability or the need for any changes in administrative procedures or techniques, appropriate recommendations are made to the Commission at the time the draft opinion in the case is submitted.

The draft opinion as submitted may be modified, amended, or completely rewritten in accordance with the Commission's final instructions. When the opinion accurately expresses the views and conclusions of the Commission, it is adopted and promulgated as the official decision of the Commission. In some cases concurring or dissenting opinions are issued by individual Commissioners who wish to express their separate views on matters covered by the opinion adopted by the majority of the Commission. In such cases the Division of Opinion Writing is occasionally instructed to prepare drafts of such concurring or dissenting opinions and confers respecting them with the individual Commissioners involved, submits drafts directly to them, and makes such modifications and revisions as are directed.

The findings of fact, opinions, and orders adopted and promulgated by the Commission serve as an aid and guide to the bench and bar. With minor exceptions (e. g., certain opinions dealing with requests for confidential treatment) all are publicly released and distributed to representatives of the press and persons on the Commission's mailing list. In addition, the findings and opinions are printed and published by the Government Printing Office in bound volumes under the title "Securities and Exchange Commission Decisions and Reports."

The foregoing represents the primary function of the Division of Opinion Writing—to aid in the preparation of findings, opinions, and orders promulgated by the Commission in contested cases arising under the statutes it administers. This function has been assigned to an independent division so that those who assist in the preparation of the Commission's decision in a contested case are in no way connected with or participants in the preparation and presentation of the case at the hearings.

In addition to this primary function, the Division is also given many assignments of a general nature which are not inconsistent with the objective of the separation of the investigatory and quasi-judicial functions. Thus, the Division has been assigned continuing joint responsibility with the office of the general counsel in dealing with problems arising under the Administrative Procedure Act. It has also been given the responsibility of preparing a compilation of annotations of the various statutes administered by the Commission, and from time to time it is given other special assignments by the Commission.

The Division of Opinion Writing also assists the operating divisions of the Commission in the preparation of opinions in certain uncontested cases where participation by the operating division in the decisional process is proper under the Administrative Procedure Act. In some instances members of the Division of Opinion Writing are also assigned to assist the office of the general counsel in connection with court appeals taken from Commission decisions initially drafted in the Division.

Some of the more significant opinions issued by the Commission during the year are commented upon in this report under the discussions of the various statutes.

INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC MATTERS

Foreign issuers, private and governmental, who seek to make public offerings of their securities in the United States are required to register those securities under the Securities Act of 1933. Often such issues involve preliminary discussions with representatives of the issuer as well as other United States agencies prior to registration.

Among the significant issues registered during the year was one covering bonds of a par value of \$131,971,700 to be issued by the Italian Government in exchange for the outstanding dollar bonds of the Kingdom of Italy. This issue was registered after a series of conferences between the staff of the Commission and representatives of the Italian Government. The Italian Government filed also an application for registration of the new bonds under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and for listing of the bonds on the New York Stock Exchange.

On the effective date of the registration the Commission, after consultation with the Departments of State and Treasury, withdrew the request it had made at the outbreak of the war that brokers and dealers in this country refrain from making a market in Italian securities.

The Italian issue was the only foreign governmental issue registered during the year. Foreign private registrations of securities during

the year amounted to \$18,040,890.25

The Commission maintains, through its Adviser on Foreign Investment, facilities for liaison with other agencies which might have jurisdiction over or interest in problems of foreign finance. The Commission has continued its representation on the Staff Committee of the International Advisory Council on International Monetary and Financial Problems. It has continued to cooperate with other agencies concerned with the development of the Government's foreign economic program through the Executive Committee on Foreign Economic Policy and its subcommittees on Foreign Investment Policy, Private Monopolies and Cartels, and the United Nations Economic Subcommittee. The Commission is represented also on the Federal Committee on International Statistics formed to advise and assist the United States member of the United Nations Statistical Commission.

Among the projects involving the foreign economic field in which the Commission participated during the year the following may be listed. In furtherance of the European recovery program the Commission submitted a report and analysis of the volume, kind, and liquidity of United States assets held by countries participating in the European relief program and by their citizens including a review of the steps which those countries have taken to utilize these assets. In this connection, the Commission participated in the preparation of the European recovery program through membership on the Financial Policy Committee of the Steering Committee of the European recovery program, which formulated the policy and prepared the documents for presentation to the Congress of all financial problems involved in the program. The Commission contributed to and participated in a report on "Foreign Assets and Liabilities of the United

²⁵ During the 1947 fiscal year foreign governments registered \$333,587,590 of securities; and private foreign issuers registered \$62,930,646. S. E. C. Thirteenth Annual Report, p. 140. The figures for private issues for this and the preceding year do not include offerings of securities of Canadian mining companies.

States and Its Balance of International Payments" submitted to the Committee on Finance of the United States Senate at the request of that committee. This report was transmitted by Secretary of the Treasury Snyder as Chairman of the National Advisory Council as the work of a committee composed of representatives of the Departments of State, Treasury, and Commerce, the Federal Reserve Board, the Export-Import Bank, and the Securities and Exchange Commis-Similarly we participated in the formulation of a "Statement of the Foreign Loan and Investment Policy of the United States" prepared by a joint subcommittee of the National Advisory Council and of the Executive Committee on Foreign Economic Policy. statement has been approved by the President and the Secretary of State as a guide to the executive agencies of our Government in the further development of foreign lending programs, to our foreign missions and to executive agencies in their consultations with foreign governments and with private investors, and wherever appropriate to our representatives on international bodies.

The Inter-American Economic Agreement signed on May 2, 1948, at the Ninth International Conference of American States at Bogota, Colombia, includes a complete chapter on private investments. The Commission's representative took an active part in the formulation of several of the articles in the chapter, in particular with respect to that portion of the agreement which declares that the policy of the states is in favor of the development of uniform accounting and disclosure principles. The Commission is lending its technical assistance

in the implementation of the agreement.

Upon the request of the International Monetary Fund and of representatives of the Economic Secretariat of the United Nations, representatives of the Commission have held a number of conferences for the purpose of helping to develop uniform and current methods of presenting information with respect to foreign investments and capital markets. Upon invitation of the United States Governor of the International Bank and the Monetary Fund, the Chairman of the Commission attended the second annual meetings of these institutions

held in London in September 1947.

At the request of the Chairmen of the Banking and Currency Committee of the Senate and of the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee of the House of Representatives, the Commission considered the proposal of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development that it be granted exemption from the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 respecting securities issued or guaranteed by it. In a letter, and in a subsequent statement to the House committee, the Chairman of the Commission indicated the views of the Commission on these proposals. In effect the Commission stated that, insofar as these proposals affected the country's international economic relations, the Commission was not in a position to make policy recommendations.

Pursuant to an invitation extended by the Foreign Bondholders' Protective Council, Inc., the Secretary of State and the Chairman of

²⁶ This proposed legislation contemplated exemption of the above-mentioned securities from the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act. The Thirteenth Annual Report contains a full discussion of the problems involved and of the events leading to the adoption by the Commission of certain rules and forms specifically designed either to grant exemption from or to facilitate registration under the Securities Act of 1933 for securities issued by the International Bank.

the Securities and Exchange Commission constitute a board of visitors to the Council. On September 26, 1947, a member of the Commission and a representative of the Department of State visited the Council and reviewed its financial operations, its receipts and expenditures, the nature and the sources of its fees, and discussed several problems upon which the advice of the visitors was requested by the officers of the Council. During the year the Council has asked the advice of the Board of Visitors on several matters involving the interests of

United States holders of foreign dollar bonds.

The Commission, through the office of its Adviser on Foreign Investments, maintains a constant surveillance of foreign exchange regulations and capital controls of other countries, noting particularly the effect of such regulations and controls upon United States investors in foreign securities. One of the Commission's purposes in making this review is to be assured that full and accurate disclosure of these regulations and controls is made in registration statements and prospectuses used in connection with public offerings of foreign securities in the United States. The Commission has also on occasion brought to the notice of the Department of State instances in which the administration of these controls seemed to involve discriminatory treatment of United States investors. The Commission also maintains a constant surveillance of the transactions effected by foreigners in the securities markets under its jurisdiction.

ADVISORY AND INTERPRETATIVE ASSISTANCE

References are made throughout this report to the informal assistance rendered by the staff to the public in connection with the statutes administered by the Commission. Such assistance is usually given by the staff in connection with specific matters involving the filing of a registration statement, proxy statement, annual report, and so on. Mention has been made of the prefiling conference and the deficiency letter in connection with registration statements. These represent only a small part of the total of informal assistance given the public by the staff. It is not possible to determine the exact amount of assistance made available to the public by the staff by means of conference and letter. At the least, such conferences run into the thousands, and their number is more than equaled by the number of advisory letters prepared by the staff during the 1948 fiscal year.

In addition to the above assistance rendered by the staff in connection with specific matters, a great amount of assistance was provided the public by a special interpretative section in the office of the chief counsel of the Division of Corporation Finance. This section is staffed with lawyers prepared to give expert advice as to all questions of interpretation arising under the Securities Act of 1933, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Company Act of 1940, and parts of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Upon presentation of all pertinent facts involved in a particular problem, the section will furnish a detailed and informed opinion as to the application of a par-

ticular statute in a specific situation.

During the 1948 fiscal year, the section prepared 5,847 letters furnishing this highly technical assistance at the request of interested lawyers, accountants, and students. In addition, the section rendered

like assistance in many hundreds of conferences held, in person or by telephone, with other such members of the public.

CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT OF APPLICATIONS, REPORTS, OR DOCUMENTS

The Commission is empowered to grant confidential treatment, upon application by registrants, to information contained in reports, applications, or documents which they are required to file under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Under the Securities Act of 1933 the Commission has adopted rule 485,27 which provides that information as to material contracts, or portions thereof, will be held confidential by the Commission if it determines that disclosure would impair the value of the contracts and is not necessary for the protection of investors. The other four statutes referred to are, in general, without specific restriction in this respect and empower the Commission to hold confidential under certain conditions any information contained in any reports required to be filed under those Disclosure of information filed confidentially under the latter statutes is made only when the Commission determines that disclosure is in the public interest.

The following table indicates the number of applications for confidential treatment received and acted upon during the year, together with the number pending at its close:

Applications for confidential treatment--1948 fiscal year

Act and rule under which filed	Number pending July 1, 1947	Number received	Number granted	Number denied or with- drawn	Number pending June 30, 1948
Securities Act, rule 485 Securities Exchange Act, rules X-24B-2, X-13A-6B Investment Company Act, rule N-45A-1	4 17	26 55 37	20 44 37	6 17	4 11
Total	21	118	101	23	15

Although registrants may seek judicial review of adverse decisions by the Commission, no petitions for such judicial review were filed in any of these cases during the 1948 fiscal year.

STATISTICS AND SPECIAL STUDIES

Saving Study

The Commission continued its series of quarterly releases on the volume and composition of saving by individuals in the United States. These releases show the aggregate volume of individuals' saving, that is, the increase in their assets less the increase in their liabilities, exclusive of gains or losses from revaluation of assets. The figures also show the components contributing to this total, such as changes in securities, cash, insurance, consumers' indebtedness, and consumers' durable goods.

²⁷ Redesignation as of July 15, 1947, of former rule 580.

Financial Position of Corporations

The series of quarterly releases on the working capital position of all United States corporations, exclusive of banks and insurance companies, was continued. These releases show the principal components of current assets and current liabilities and an abbreviated analysis of the sources and uses of corporate funds. Semiannual supplementary tables were also released showing a detailed break-down of current assets and liabilities for various industry and size groups of corporations registered with the Commission. It is intended in subsequent reports to present more detailed data on the sources and uses of corporate funds, thus giving an up-to-date analysis of the financial condition of corporations as well as a complete picture of the volume and composition of corporate saving.

During the 1948 fiscal year the Commission, together with the Federal Trade Commission, inaugurated a series of quarterly industrial financial reports. These reports developed as an extension of the working capital series and present a complete balance sheet and abbreviated income account for all manufacturing corporations in the United States. In addition the data are shown for various single groups of corporations and for minor industry groups. It is planned that this report be extended to cover nonmanufacturing corporations

as well.

The Commission, together with the Department of Commerce, also continued the series of quarterly releases on the plant and equipment expenditures by United States businesses other than agriculture. Shortly after the close of each quarter, these releases present industry totals on the actual capital expenditures of that quarter and anticipated expenditures for the next two quarters. It is intended in future reports to present additional data showing more detailed classifications of industry groups and a size-of-company break-down. These data provide a useful index of present and future activity in the capital-goods industries and capital markets and a valuable barometer of business activity in general.

Stock Market Statistics

Certain releases to the public, supplanted during the war by press releases because of the manpower and paper shortage, were reinstated toward the end of the fiscal year. Round-lot trading and odd-lot trading releases were consolidated into one weekly public release and a special mailing list was established for persons desiring these data. The releases also cover, with a 2-week lag, daily total round-lot sales in odd lots on the New York Stock Exchange, as well as the odd-lot dealers' round-lot purchases and sales for their odd-lot accounts. The releases also cover, with a two-week lag, daily total round-lot transactions on the New York Stock Exchange and on the New York Curb Exchange and the round-lot purchases, total sales, and short sales of exchange member groups, as well as the numbers of reports received weekly from each class of member.

The Commission continued publication of indexes, by industries, of weekly closing prices of common stocks on the New York Stock Exchange; and the monthly dollar value and volume of sales of stocks, bonds, rights, and warrants on registered and exempted securities ex-

changes. A number of these series are presented in the appendix

tables of this report.

The Commission's staff continued its studies of various aspects of trading in securities, including floor trading, purchases and sales of domestic securities for foreign account, purchases and sales of security options, and general research on exchange rules and practices.

Survey of American Listed Corporations

During the 1948 fiscal year, the Commission again released for public and Government use statistical data filed with the Commission by registrants under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933. These data are summarized in a series of reports known as the "Survey of American Listed Corporations" showing individual data for each company as well as industry totals

for 1,825 registered companies in 156 industry groups.

One of the series of reports "Data on Profits and Operations Including Surplus, 1945-46" was completed in the fiscal year. This series, consisting of seven volumes (divided according to industry groups), is published annually. The data included are presented on an over-all basis, covering all registrants, and are then presented on an individual basis for each of the registrants constituting the group with all figures given on a comparative basis with the preceding year. Principal items furnished in these reports on profits and operations including surplus are annual data on sales; costs and/or operating expenses; operating profits; net profit before income taxes; net profit after income taxes; depreciation, depletion, amortization, etc.; maintenance and repairs; selling, general and administrative expenses; earned surplus at the beginning of the period; additions to earned surplus (including net profit after income taxes); deductions from earned surplus (other than dividends); dividends charged to earned surplus; and earned surplus at the end of the period. Also included are capital surplus at the beginning of the period; capital surplus at the end of the period; and net worth at the beginning of each period covered. In addition each item in the profit and loss account is shown as a percentage of net sales and a ratio of the net profit before income taxes as a percentage of net worth and a ratio of the net profit after income taxes as a percentage of net worth. The data presented for the manufacturing industry groups supplement previous reports on "Data on Profits and Operations" beginning with the year 1936. The data for the nonmanufacturing industry groups supplement previous reports beginning with the year 1942. Surplus is presented for the first time in this series. A summarization of data on profits and operations for the period 1937-46 was also publicly released for all manufacturing companies as well as a summarization of all nonmanufacturing companies for the period from 1942-46. All of these data for registered corporations, both on an individual company and industry basis, are currently being carried through 1947.

Investment Company Data

Data for closed-end and open-end management investment companies were compiled and released to the public quarterly. These reports show data for the purchases and sales in both shares and dollars of the registrant's capital stock and of their own funded debt; portfolio changes during the period showing purchases, sales and balance of change in their portfolio; and the nature of their assets

at the close of the quarter. The items included in these assets are cash and cash items; Government securities; securities of other investment companies; other securities; other assets; and total assets.

Brokers and Dealers

During the 1948 fiscal year, a study was made of the financial condition of 3,284 registered brokers and dealers reporting under rule X-17A-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 covering the years 1946-47. The study consists of tables summarizing the data for all registrants, for members of the New York Stock Exchange, and for each of the 10 regions of the Commission. The items covered in each of the tables include net capital; aggregate indebtedness; cash in banks; funds segregated pursuant to Commodity Exchange Act requirements; cash and exempt securities segregated; firms' inventory of exempt securities at market value; firms' inventory of nonexempt securities at market value; and customers' debit balances in margin Also included are customers' free credit balances; customers' credit balances in cash accounts; customers' credit balances in accounts with open contractual commitments; customers' credit balances in margin accounts; money borrowed from banks on customers' securities; and money borrowed from banks on firms' and partners' securities. The study is being carried through 1948 and the results to date are included as appendix table 6.

Quarterly Sales Data

Data showing quarterly sales of registrants under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 have been released by the Commission. These releases have been made in two forms, covering approximately 1,400 corporations in 156 industry groups. The data have been released each quarter, first in the aggregate showing the total for all companies, with a break-down of manufacturing and retail trade for the last five quarters, and secondly for each individual company and for each industry group for the current quarter, the previous quarter, and the comparable quarter of the previous year.

Distribution of Registrants by Independent Accounting Firms

During the 1948 fiscal year, a study was made of the distribution of registrants by independent public accounting firms certifying financial statements for 1946. The study included 2,265 registrants, with aggregate assets of 100 billion dollars, filing annual reports with the Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933. These firms' reports were certified by 416 independent public accounting firms. The study classified the accounting firms by aggregate assets of registrants served, showing the number of registrants, number of industry groups, and the percentage of total number of registrants covered. Also shown are a break-down of accounting firms by interval, the number of firms certified to, and the aggregate assets of these registrants.

Registrants and Subsidiaries

Another report in the series entitled "Registrants and Subsidiaries" is currently being carried through 1948. This study shows the relationship between about 2,100 registered companies and their 14,000 subsidiaries.

ANALYSIS OF THE STOCK MARKET DECLINE OF SEPTEMBER 3, 1946

On August 21, 1947, the Commission released a report of the Division of Trading and Exchanges giving the results of a study started in October 1946 of stock trading on the New York Stock Exchange on September 3, 1946. On that day, stock prices experienced the sharpest break in 9 years. The study was undertaken to determine the causes of the break and to ascertain whether there had been any planned or concerted action by any group in violation of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 or its rules.

The study analyzed the buying and selling of various types of buyers and sellers in 15-minute (and in some cases, shorter) periods throughout the day. It traced prices for each minute of the day and described the buying and selling in all stocks traded in 100-share lots and in various classifications of these stocks. It showed what was being done at given times by public traders, foreigners, banks, investment trusts, odd-lot dealers, member and nonmember firms (for firm and individual accounts and for wives and dependents), and by floor traders and specialists. These activities were in turn subdivided into transactions in all stocks, in stocks graded according to activity and price, according to their use in standard indices, in stocks with different rates of decline throughout the day, and in stocks of various industrial classifications.

For this analysis, two major types of data were collected. First, every transaction in round lots on the New York Stock Exchange on September 3 was reconstructed from the brokers' records. Comprehensive information was obtained from New York Stock Exchange member firms and other brokers and dealers and banks which effected transactions through the facilities of the Exchange on that day. For each round-lot purchase and sale, these data included the name of the stock, price, and volume, and the name and address of the customer. The sales data also included information with respect to short sales and stop-loss orders. Second, a number of public customers who either bought or sold on September 3 were interviewed to obtain further details on their trading, including their reasons for buying or selling. Six hundred and twenty-two interviews were conducted, covering practically all of the largest public purchasers and sellers on that day, as well as a random sample of all other public transactions.

The study was limited to an analysis of market activity and did not deal with the general domestic and international economic background of the day's market. Based on a voluminous collection of detailed information, the report gave the most comprehensive picture of a day's market yet presented. While the report reached no specific conclusions, no group or individual, professional or public, nor any single market factor, plainly appears as exclusively or primarily accountable for the character of the trading on the day in question.

In its release accompanying the report, the Commission stated that,

although the study should be helpful in the formulation and evaluation of standards designed to maintain fair and orderly markets, it alone did not show what further controls, if any, are necessary or desirable.

PERSONNEL

As of June 30, 1948, the personnel of the Commission consisted of the following:

Headquarters Office: Commissioners	<u>-</u>		5	
Staff	·	·	813	
Regional offices		. •	, 	818 331
Total			. — . — 1	. 149

This represents a reduction of 10 employees from the total personnel on June 30, 1947. Of the 1,144 employees of the Commission at the end of the current year, 416 or 36 percent were veterans of World War I or World War II.

In January 1948 the Headquarters Office of the Commission was removed to Washington, D. C., from Philadelphia, Pa., where it had been located for nearly 6 years. Among the administrative problems presented by the return to Washington was the necessity for the maintenance of operations during the period of the move notwithstanding a considerable turn-over in clerical and stenographic positions. The replacement of those employees unable to move to Washington with the Commission constituted one of the major functions of the Division of Personnel during the 1948 fiscal year. It is noteworthy, however, that the Commission lost very few of its professional employees during this period. As a matter of fact, approximately 41 percent of the total professional and technical staff has been with the Commission for 10 years or more.

FISCAL AFFAIRS

Appropriation title	Appropria- tion	Obligated	Unobligated balance
Salaries and Expenses. Printing and Binding	\$5, 688, 700 50, 000	\$5, 616, 250 48, 051	\$72, 450 1, 949
Total	5, 738, 700	5, 644, 301	74, 399
Receipts for the fiscal	year 1948 1	•	
Character of fee: Fees for registration of securities			Amount \$630, 322
Fore from periotoged or changes			

¹ This money must be turned in to the general fund of the Treasury of the United States and is not available for expenditure by the Commission.

Miscellaneous receipts______ 50, 437

14,023

Fees from sales of photo duplications_____

PUBLICATIONS

Public Releases

Releases of the Commission consist primarily of official announcements of Commission actions taken and filings made under the several acts which it administers. These include decisions, regulations, orders for hearings, notices of filings, and related matters issued by the Commission.

During the 1948 fiscal year releases issued under the several acts and in connection with Chapter X of the Bankruptcy Act were as follows:

Act Securities Act of 1933 Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 Trust Indenture Act of 1939 Investment Company Act of 1940 Investment Advisers Act of 1940 Chapter X, Bankruptcy Act	148 792
[Potal	
The following break-down of these releases for the month of 1948 is illustrative of their general nature:	
Decisions and orders Announcements of regulations adopted and proposed to be adopted Announcements of accounting opinions and instructions Announcements of filings, orders for hearing, and notices giving opportunt to request hearing	2 1
The balance of the Commission's releases are of an informat nature, the following having been issued during the year:	tional
Announcements of publication of reports on corporate survey and statistic studies	44 ni- 62
Total	114

In all, a total of 1,238 releases were issued during the 1948 fiscal year.

Other Publications

Daily Registration Record. Monthly Statistical Bulletin.

Bound volume 14 of the Decisions and Reports, August 15, 1943,

to December 15, 1943.

Twelve monthly issues of the Official Summary of Securities Transactions and Holdings of Officers, Directors, and Principal Stockholders.

The Thirteenth Annual Report of the Commission.

List of Securities Traded on Exchanges under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as of December 31, 1947.

Securities Issues of Electric and Gas Utilities, 1935-47.

Working Capital of 1,169 Registered Corporations, December 1939 to June 1947.

Survey of American Listed Corporations, Data on Profits and Operations, 1944-45, parts 6 and 7.

Survey of American Listed Corporations, Data on Profits and Operations, 1945-46, parts 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

Survey of American Listed Corporations, Investment Companies,

Quarterly Data, 1947-48.

Survey of American Listed Corporations, Brokers and Dealers Resources and Liabilities, 3,276 Companies for 1946.

Survey of American Listed Corporations, Quarterly Sales Data,

1948, 1

Work of Securities and Exchange Commission, as of January 1, 1947.

Accounting Series Releases, 1 to 63, August 1947 (compilation).

INFORMATION AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

The Commission maintains public reference rooms at the central office in Washington, D. C., and in its regional offices in New York

City and Chicago, Ill.

Copies of all public information on file with the Commission, contained in registration statements, applications, reports, declarations, and other public documents, are available for inspection in the public reference room at Washington. During the fiscal year 1948, 2,016 persons visited this public reference room seeking such information. In addition to providing facilities for personal inspection of registered public information, there were received in the public reference rooms thousands of letters and telephone calls from persons requesting registered information. (This does not include requests for copies of releases, forms, publications, etc.) Through the facilities provided for the sale of copies of public registered information, 2,131 orders, involving a total of 173,488 pages, were filled.

In its New York regional office, located at 120 Broadway, the Commission provides facilities for the inspection of certain public information on file with the Commission. This includes copies of (1) applications for registrations of securities on all national securities exchanges except the New York Stock Exchange and the New York Curb Exchange, together with copies of annual reports, supplemental reports, and amendments thereto; and (2) annual reports filed pursuant to the provisions of section 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by issuers having securities registered under the Securities Act of 1933. During the 1948 fiscal year 12,965 persons visited the New York public reference room and more than 6,782 telephone calls were received from persons seeking registered public information, copies of forms, releases, and other material.

In the Chicago regional office, located at 105 West Adams Street, copies of applications for registration of securities on the New York Stock Exchange and the New York Curb Exchange, together with copies of all annual reports, supplemental reports, and amendments thereto, are available for public inspection. During the 1948 fiscal year 3,215 members of the public visited this public reference room, and approximately 1,500 telephone calls were received from persons seeking registered public information, forms, releases, and other ma-

terial of a public nature.

In addition to the material which is available in the New York and Chicago public reference rooms, there are available in each of

the Commission's regional offices copies of all prospectuses used in public offerings of securities effectively registered under the Securities Act of 1933. Duplicate copies of applications for registration of brokers or dealers transacting business on over-the-counter markets filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and duplicate copies of applications for registration of investment advisers filed under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 are available for inspection in the regional office having jurisdiction over the zone in which the registrant's principal office is located. Also, copies of letters of notification filed under regulation A (which exempts small issues of securities from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933) are available for inspection at the regional office where filed and in Washington, D. C.

In the Commission's San Francisco office, where complete facilities are provided for the registration of securities and qualification of indentures, copies of registration statements and applications for qualification of indentures filed at that office are available for public

inspection.

Copies of all applications for the registration of securities on national securities exchanges are available for public inspection at the respective exchange upon which the securities are registered.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

The following number of public hearings were held by the Commission under the various acts during the 1948 fiscal year:

Securities Act of 1933	19 102 0 0	
Total	134	

A total of 49,168 pages of testimony were taken at these hearings, an

increase over the 1947 fiscal year.

In addition to the above hearings, the Commission conducted a public investigation in the matter of Kaiser-Frazer Corp., Otis & Co., First California Co., and Allen & Co., under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, taking a total of 4,902 pages of testimony during the fiscal year.

PART IX

APPENDIX STATISTICAL TABLES



Table 1.—Registrations under the Securities Act of 1933 fully effective during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

PART 1.-DISTRIBUTION BY MONTHS

[Amounts in thousands of dollars] 1

•	All eff	ectively regi	stered .	Proposed for sale for account of issuers					
Year and month	Number of statements	Number of issues	Amount	Number of statements	Number of issues	Amount			
1947 July August September October November December	36 41 50	58 15 50 56 62 51	746, 360 118, 814 1, 018, 235 1, 396, 503 308, 376 252, 155	38 9 9 30 36 43 32	42 10 38 45 51 37	656, 518 94, 597 947, 914 784, 481 275, 363 178, 064			
1948 January Feburary March April May June	39 40	40 28 57 51 48 43	437, 258 193, 374 439, 068 288, 726 567, 580 638, 183	26 20 36 36 32 32	28 23 50 42 36 33	375, 051 166, 471 407, 349 257, 104 424, 524 464, 786			
Total fiscal year 1948	2 435	559	6, 404, 633	370	435	5, 032, 19			

PART 2.—BREAK-DOWN BY METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION AND TYPE OF SECURITY OF THE VOLUME PROPOSED FOR CASH SALE FOR ACCOUNT OF THE ISSUERS

[Amounts in thousands of dollars] 1

75 de 1 5 Marchard 1			Type of s	ecurity		
Method of distribution and group to whom offered	All types	Secured bonds	Unsecured bonds	Preferred stock	Common stock	Other types 3
All methods of distribution	5, 032, 199	1,390,577	1, 383, 570	536, 942	1,571,198	149, 911
To general public	3,307,783 1,230,427 493,989	1,389,507 1,032 39	885, 827 497, 128 616	445, 534 89, 385 2, 024	451, 880 642, 855 476, 463	135, 03; 21 14, 84;
Through investment bankers	3, 776, 335	1,390,008	965, 831	514, 669	784, 331	, 121, 49
By purchase and resale	3, 016, 544	1,390,008	678, 831	456, 924	490, 752	2:
To general public To security holders To other special groups	471, 482	1, 389, 507 501	599, 172 79, 659	393, 745 63, 179	139, 922 328, 116 22, 715	2
On best efforts basis	759, 791		287, 000	57, 746	293, 579	121, 46
To general public To security holders To other special groups	739, 697 19, 994 100		285, 000 2, 000	43, 630 14, 116	289, 600 3, 878 100	121, 46
By issuers	1, 255, 865	570	417, 739	22, 273	786, 867	28, 410
To general public	45, 739 738, 951 471, 174	531 39	1, 654 415, 469 616	8, 159 12, 090 2, 024	22, 358 310, 861 453, 648	13, 56 14, 84

See footnotes at end of table.

813892----10

Table 1.—Registrations under the Securities Act of 1933 fully effective during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948—Continued Part 3.—Purpose of registration and industry of registrant

[Amounts in thousands of dollars] 1

•				Inde	ıstry		-	_
Purpose of registration and use of proceeds	All industries	Extractive	Manufac- turing	Financial and investment	Merchandis- ing	Transporta- tion and communica- tion	Electric, gas, and water	Other
Number of statements	² 4 35	17	124	94	24	30	127	19
Number of issues	559	19	169	117	33	35	162	24
For all purposes of registration (estimated value)	6, 404, 633	61, 794	1, 265, 012	4 823, 033	80,009	2, 248, 947	1, 843, 497	⁵ 82, 342
Less: Not for sale	1, 163, 332	10, 005	304, 850	40, 147	16, 791	. 572, 019	183, 181	36, 338
For account of issuers Reserved for conversion Reserved for option	1, 130, 656 867, 889 6, 218	10, 005 9, 156 131	292, 374 146, 878 3, 206	40, 147 16, 825	16, 360 9, 135 950	572, 019 571, 243	164, 306 114, 652	35, 445 1, 931
For substitution ⁸ For exchange for other securities For other purposes	8, 760 215, 982 31, 807	343 375	1, 116 141, 102 73	3, 574 19, 747	6, 275	776	37, 708 11, 945	250 33, 222 41
For account of others.	32, 675		12, 475		431		18, 875	894
For sale (estimated gross proceeds)	5, 241, 301	51, 789	960, 162	782, 886	63, 218	1, 676, 928	1, 660, 316	46, 003
Less: For account of others than issuers	200 , 102	25, 550	87, 691	2, 344	11,885	2, 400	53, 765	25, 467
For sale for account of issuers-	5, 032, 199	26, 238	872, 471	780, 542	51, 333	1, 674, 528	1, 606, 551	20, 536
Less: Cost of flotation	308, 988	1, 542	95, 373	43, 423	2, 296	116, 784	48, 997	572
Compensation (commission and discount) Expenses	278, 609 30, 379	1, 252 291	89, 400 5, 973	41, 229 2, 195	1,805 491	107, 560 9, 224	36, 968 12, 029	395 178

Expected net proceeds from sales for account of issuers	4, 723, 211	24, 696	777, 099	737, 119	49, 037	1, 557, 743	1, 557, 554	19,964
New money	3, 819, 311	15, 369	693, 192	275, 284	40, 075	1, 554, 511	1, 221, 885	18, 996
Plant and equipment	3, 160, 985 634, 151 24, 174	13, 236 565 1, 568	375, 619 314, 579 2, 993	275, 284	21, 370 18, 278 427	1, 552, 856 1, 630 25	1, 192, 311 10, 414 19, 160	5, 594 13, 403
Repayment of indebtedness and retirement of stock	404, 959	9, 325	63, 031	767	8, 110	3, 170	319, 603	953
Bonds and notes Other debt Preferred stock	264, 882 100, 285 39, 792	9, 325	14, 190 35, 796 13, 044	375 367 26	69 7, 769 272	2, 609 561	249, 295 44, 420 25, 888	953
Purchase of securities	482, 539		7, 701	459, 685	702		14, 450	
For investment For affiliation	459, 685 22, 853		7, 701	459, 685	702		14, 450	
Purchase of intangible assets								
Miscellaneous and unaccounted for	16, 403	2	13, 175	1,382	150	63	1,617	14

¹ Due to rounding, figures will not necessarily add to the totals shown.

² The number of statements represented in this table as "fully effective," 435, differs from the 440 shown on p. 8 of the text because of minor differences in classification.

¹ Consists mainly of certificates of participation and face amount certificates.

⁴ Includes \$250,000,000, the amount of bonds of the World Bank.

⁵ Included in this classification are securities of a foreign government in the amount of \$31,182,000. Industries represented by the remaining \$51,160,000 are real estate and service.

⁶ Consists entirely of voting trust certificates and certificates of deposit.

Table 2.—Classification by quality and size of new issues, exclusive of investment trust issues, registered under the Securities Act of 1933 for sale to the general public through investment bankers during the fiscal years 1946, 1947, and 1948

PART 1.-NUMBER OF ISSUES AND AGGREGATE VALUE

[Amounts in millions of dollars]!

									Воп	ids ‡								Prefi	erred	Con	mon
Fiscal year ended	Size of issue	First	grade	Secon	d grade	Third	grade	Fourt	h grade	Fifth	grade	Belov	v fifth	Unr	ated	All	bonds	sto	ck	stock	
Јипе 30	(\$000,000)	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gute value	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gate value	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gate value	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gate value	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gate value	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gate value	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gate value	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gate value	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gate value	Num- ber of issues	Aggre- gate value
1946	50 and over 20-50 5-20 1-5 Under 1	5 3 1 0	383. 0 107 6 16 0	9 5 2 1 0	890. 4 153. 1 28. 9 4. 6	3 15 12 4 0	195. 2 465. 3 122. 4 13. 1	0 7 15 7 0	218. 5 127. 8 19. 2	0 1 3 9	40.0 17.8 26.1	0 0 2 4 1	21. 5 8. 9 1. 0	0 0 0 8 6	16 3 3. 7	17 31 35 33 8	1, 468, 6 984, 5 334, 3 88, 2 5, 0	0 8 54 95 32	173. 1 381. 9 200. 2 18. 5	0 1 23 72 49	36. 5 175. 2 139. 4 25. 1
1947	All sizes	9 1 2 0 0	506. 6 77. 4 66. 9	17 7 3 9 0	1, 077. 0 875. 5 90. 3 107. 9	34 2 5 12 1 0	796. 0 127. 5 164. 5 118. 0 4 6	29 2 10 9 7 0	365. 4 129. 1 330. 7 123 0 23 9	14 0 1 2 7	20. 2 19. 7 18. 3	7 0 0 0 3 0	31. 4	14 0 0 1 5 6	7 3 9.6 2 4	124 12 21 33 23 7	2, 880. 6 1, 209. 6 672. 7 376. 8 61. 2 3. 0	189 3 3 26 45 26	773 6 221. 7 81. 4 235. 8 88. 4 13. 8	145 0 0 13 48 50	376. 2 95. 5 76. 4 23. 9
1948	All sizes	5 4	144. 4 418. 2 105. 6 27. 3	19 5 5 14 3 0	1, 073. 7 416. 5 172. 6 134. 2 10. 6	20 2 7 27 11 0	415. 5 250 0 205. 0 256 0 36. 1	28 0 4 8 6 0	109. 5 76. 5 17. 6	11 0 0 3 0 2 5	25. 1 1. 5 26. 6	3 0 0 0 0	4.9	12 0 0 1 1 5	19.2 6.8 1.8 2.8	12 20 54 21 7	2, 323. 2 1, 084. 7 592. 7 525. 9 66. 1 4. 3 2, 273. 7	103 0 8 18 31 11	641. 0 195 3 148 5 65. 8 6. 9 416. 5	111 0 2 9 25 20 56	53. 6 55. 7 49. 6 8. 2

Table 2.—Classification by quality and size of new issues, exclusive of investment trust issues, registered under the Securities Act of 1933 for sale to the general public through investment bankers during the fiscal years 1946, 1947, and 1948.—Continued

PART 2.-COMPENSATION : TO DISTRIBUTORS [Percent of gross proceeds]

	, a.				Bor	nds ²				Preferred	Common
Fiscal year ended June 30—	Size of issue (\$000,000)	First grade	Second grade	Third grade	Fourth grade	Fifth grade	Below fifth	Unrated	All bonds	stock	stock
1946	50 and over	.9 .9	0. 6 . 6 . 5 . 7	0.9 .8 1.0 1.1	1. 4 1. 6 1. 0	1.3 2.6 2.2 6.5	3. 3 3. 9 7. 1	3. 1 5. 6	0. 7 1. 0 1. 4 2. 0 6. 0	1. 9 2. 5 4. 7 8. 9	5. 4 6. 5 9. 1 14. 5
	All sizes	. 7	. 6	.8	1.5	1.9	3. 6	3.6	9	3. 1	8.
1947	50 and over	.5	. 5 . 6 . 7	1.1 .8 .7 .4	1. 2 1. 4 1. 7 1. 4	1. 4 . 7 2. 2 4 5	4.3	1.8 3.3 5.1	.6 1.0 1.1 2.1 5.0	2. 0 1. 9 2. 6 5. 0 9. 3	7. 7 10. 1 13. 0
:	All sizes	. 6	. 5	.9	1.4	1. 4	4.3	3.0	.9	2.8	9.5
1948	50 and over	.1	.6 .4 .5 .5	.4 -7 .7 .6	1. 2 1. 3 1. 5	2. 5		. 4 7. 2 7. 5	. 5 . 7 . 8 1. 0 6. 1	4. 5 3. 6 5. 7 9. 8	12.5 8.6 9.4 14.5
	All sizes	. 5	. 5	.6	1.3	2.6		3.2	. 6	4.5	10.

[!] Due to rounding, figures will not necessarily add to the totals shown.

2 The grades are according to the classification of the bonds by investment rating services: "first grade" corresponds to Moody's Aaa, Standard & Poor's A1+, "second grade"

to As. Al. etc.

¹ The compensation figures are based on the data reported in the registration statements as of their effective dates. They do not, therefore, include additional compensation that may have been realized later from the exercise of options that had no realizable value on the effective dates.

Table 3.—New securities offered for cash sale in the United States 1—Continued Part 1.—Type of offering

[Estimated gross proceeds in thousands of dollars] 2

			Puk	lic *			Private	
Year and month	All offerings		Ex	empt because o	ıf—		Exempt b	ecause of—
		Registered	Type of Issue or issuer 4	Size of issue s	Intrastate offering	Registered	Type of issue or issuer 4	Purchase by limited group 6
July 1934 to June 1935. July 1935 to June 1936. July 1936 to June 1937. July 1937 to June 1938. July 1938 to June 1939. July 1939 to June 1940. July 1940 to June 1941. July 1941 to June 1942. July 1942 to June 1942. July 1942 to June 1943. July 1944 to June 1944. July 1944 to June 1944. July 1945 to June 1945. July 1945 to June 1945. July 1946 to June 1947. July 1947 to June 1947. July 1947 to June 1948.	3, 454, 156 6, 817, 226 5, 511, 226 9, 842, 273 19, 920, 551 47, 489, 692 52, 399, 938 54, 004, 501 36, 159, 537	496, 505 3, 265, 199 3, 006, 992 891, 614 1, 651, 696 1, 295, 916 1, 682, 442 1, 280, 345 419, 942 1, 050, 882 2, 127, 668 4, 651, 402 4, 080, 237 4, 002, 194	4, 244, 812 2, 196, 440 4, 356, 446		4, 298 11, 514 17, 577 5, 092 7, 604 6, 532 10, 005 2, 125 603 1, 013 20, 554 4, 211 8, 817 8, 123	67, 161 8, 666 2, 953 61, 304 14, 712 111, 866 5, 376 12, 063 5, 000	80, 568 19, 499 20, 869 7, 219 69, 188 45, 659 57, 800 7, 886 56, 829 34, 433 6, 070 20, 944 8, 228	261, 505 325, 493 302, 505 350, 835 670, 985 731, 322 837, 525 520, 089 734, 707 540, 556 786, 483 1, 201, 144 2, 068, 171 2, 700, 892
July 1947 August September October November December 1948 January February	1, 134, 113 1, 373, 121 2, 428, 391 1, 233, 682 2, 127, 519 1, 376, 066 1, 551, 762	668, 007 52, 823 282, 025 457, 950 237, 254 582, 406	958, 927 826, 225 920, 357 1, 827, 367 729, 263 986, 533	7, 851 12, 610 9, 902 9, 815 11, 682 14, 241	175 2, 300 255 500		1,000	149, 565 235, 400 158, 863 138, 259 254, 399 541, 940 128, 047 296, 852
March April May June	1, 407, 344 1, 169, 545	284, 158 306, 723 275, 156 406, 446	1, 423, 514 823, 758 791, 125 1, 050, 066	11, 741 11, 750 13, 433 18, 183	400 200 1,624			304, 42 264, 91 88, 20 145, 10

Table 3.—New securities offered for cash sale in the United States 1—Continued Part 2.—Type of security

[Estimated gross proceeds in thousands of dollars] ³

€	All	types of securit	ties	Bonds	, debentures an	d notes	Preferred	Common
Year and month	All issuers	Noncorporate	Corporate	All issuers	Noncorporate	Corporate	stock	stock
July 1934 to June 1935. July 1935 to June 1936. July 1936 to June 1937. July 1937 to June 1938. July 1938 to June 1939. July 1939 to June 1940. July 1940 to June 1941. July 1941 to June 1942. July 1942 to June 1943. July 1943 to June 1944. July 1943 to June 1944. July 1944 to June 1944. July 1945 to June 1945. July 1945 to June 1946. July 1946 to June 1946. July 1946 to June 1947. July 1947 to June 1947. July 1946 to June 1947.	6,817,226 5,511,591 9,842,273 19,926,551 47,489,692 52,399,938 54,004,501	2, 658, 791 6, 853, 177 3, 896, 145 2, 165, 081 4, 371, 626 3, 189, 573 6, 811, 670 17, 933, 427 46, 747, 286 50, 665, 588 49, 767, 097 28, 824, 999 12, 634, 337 12, 145, 795	895, 184 4, 207, 819 3, 705, 361 1, 289, 075 2, 445, 601 2, 322, 011 3, 030, 603 1, 987, 124 4, 406 1, 734, 349 4, 237, 403 7, 334, 628 6, 362, 511 7, 090, 001	3, 534, 933 10, 765, 721 6, 772, 299 3, 207, 377 6, 636, 832 5, 280, 499 9, 604, 238 19, 620, 469 47, 427, 238 51, 990, 392 17, 17, 413, 403 17, 705, 086	2, 658, 791 6, 853, 177 3, 896, 145 2, 165, 081 4, 371, 626 3, 189, 573 6, 811, 495 17, 933, 427 46, 747, 286 50, 665, 588 49, 767, 097 28, 824, 909 12, 634, 337 12, 145, 795	876, 142 3, 912, 544 2, 876, 154 1, 042, 296 2, 265, 206 2, 090, 926 2, 792, 743 1, 687, 042 1, 324, 804 3, 652, 234 5, 378, 632 4, 779, 652 5, 559, 294	12, 161 188, 752 410, 020 186, 629 108, 650 135, 681 172, 313 184, 270 33, 311 325, 670 370, 174 1, 181, 463 885, 644 686, 759	6, 881 106, 524 419, 188 60, 749 73, 745 95, 411 65, 721 115, 813 29, 144 83, 876 214, 995 774, 532 697, 800 843, 949
July August September October November December	1, 785, 218 1, 134, 113 1, 373, 121 2, 428, 391	1, 176, 095 802, 306 915, 261 1, 792, 026 691, 807 957, 034	609, 123 331, 807 457, 859 636, 365 541, 866 1, 170, 485	1, 597, 973 1, 107, 084 1, 280, 179 2, 217, 895 1, 083, 907 1, 948, 297	1, 176, 095 802, 306 915, 261 1, 792, 026 691, 807 957, 034	421, 878 304, 778 364, 918 425, 869 392, 101 991, 263	110, 670 16, 916 65, 670 60, 579 30, 738 72, 297	76, 575 10, 113 27, 272 149, 936 119, 037 106, 925
January February March April May June	2, 029, 237 1, 407, 344	1, 029, 693 938, 856 1, 341, 105 771, 238 764, 453 965, 921	346, 373 612, 906 688, 132 636, 107 405, 092 653, 876	1, 323, 803 1, 332, 216 1, 983, 323 1, 297, 468 1, 074, 152 1, 458, 789	1, 341, 105 771, 238	294, 110 393, 361 642, 218 526, 230 309, 700 492, 868	23, 942 49, 377 25, 172 51, 480 69, 093 110, 845	28, 321 170, 169 20, 741 58, 397 26, 300 50, 163

Table 3.—New securities offered for cash sale in the United States 1—Continued

PART 3.-TYPE OF ISSUER

[Estimated gross proceeds in thousands of dollars] ²

			Corporate 7		_			Nonco	rporate		
Year and month	Total corporate	Industrial	Public utility	Rail	Real estate and finan- cial	Total non-corporate	United States Govern- ment (in- cluding agency issues guaran- teed)	Federal agency (issues not guar- anteed)	State and municipal	Foreigh govern- ment	Eleemosy- nary and other non- profit
July 1934 to June 1935. July 1935 to June 1936. July 1936 to June 1937. July 1937 to June 1938. July 1938 to June 1939. July 1939 to June 1940. July 1940 to June 1940. July 1940 to June 1941. July 1942 to June 1942. July 1942 to June 1943. July 1943 to June 1944. July 1944 to June 1944. July 1945 to June 1945. July 1946 to June 1946. July 1947 to June 1947. July 1947 to June 1947.	1, 987, 124 742, 406 1, 734, 349 4 237, 403	328, 948 1, 340, 552 1, 203, 865 659, 730 691, 039 1, 047, 929 779, 472 291, 823 854, 067, 101 3, 196, 453 2, 744, 434	377, 605 2, 008, 143 1, 637, 526 577, 281 1, 365, 540 1, 108, 325 1, 530, 509 977, 422 331, 753 657, 746 1, 724, 396 2, 612, 257 2, 559, 459 3, 409, 256	137, 404 659, 857 501, 036 41, 428 106, 351 297, 935 375, 026 174, 202 106, 265 163, 404 1, 191, 006 1, 356, 588 273, 734 448, 219	51, 228 199, 268 362, 934 10, 636 18, 759 224, 719 77, 139 56, 029 12, 565 59, 136 121, 480 208, 686 323, 864 488, 090	2, 658, 791 6, 853, 177 3, 896, 145 2, 165, 081 4, 371, 626 3, 189, 573 617, 933, 427 46, 747, 286 50, 665, 588 49, 767, 097 28, 824, 909 12, 634, 337 12, 145, 795	1, 572, 410 5, 354, 660 2, 589, 372 1, 206, 754 2, 904, 127 2, 140, 357 5, 411, 505 5, 141, 375 50, 141, 375 48, 856, 299 27, 227, 610 10, 264, 412 9, 348, 522	60, 109 94, 827 25, 446 81, 670 63, 269 47, 258 73, 742 2, 912 1, 185 114, 463 608, 424 139, 825	1, 020, 326 1, 248, 675 1, 060, 212 863, 794 1, 322, 048 952, 491 1, 205, 248 679, 850 457, 405 496, 970 778, 788 928, 211 1, 970, 844 2, 543, 603	4, 978 130, 538 163, 239 3, 250 66, 797 27, 939 4, 120 89, 700 19, 398 15, 000 30, 213 247, 106 \$249, 300	968 24, 477 57, 877 9, 613 15, 386 21, 527 27, 055 9, 334 4, 058 4, 058 4, 661 2, 648 452 4, 370
July 1947 August September October November December	457, 859 636, 365	259, 253 135, 727 85, 305 272, 548 198, 141 601, 157	305, 727 167, 854 312, 680 311, 806 284, 714 514, 755	28, 398 23, 407 5, 270 35, 041 37, 206 20, 330	15, 745 4, 819 54, 605 16, 969 21, 815 34, 243	1, 176, 095 802, 306 915, 261 1, 792, 026 691, 807 957, 034	613, 677 637, 157 1, 672, 832 588, 820		135, 893 188, 427 277, 694 118, 440 102, 634 101, 462		603 202 410 754 363 1,301

1948		l †		I	Į					
January	346, 373	98, 230	167, 159	23, 559	57, 425	1, 029, 693	913, 448			 260
February	612, 906	440, 820	121, 146	34, 506	16, 434	938, 856	718, 431		220, 424	
March	688, 132	125, 548	324, 571	80, 565	157, 448	1, 341, 105	708, 322		632, 583	 200
April	636, 107	273, 250	269, 460	51, 520	41.876	771, 238	597, 195		174, 043	
Mav	405, 092	157, 658	219, 265	24, 473	3,696	764, 453	574.053		190, 113	 287
June	653, 876	96, 797	410, 119	83, 944	63, 015	965, 921	680, 017		285, 905	
	,		-,	,	,	•,	,.			

Table 3.—New securities offered for cash sale in the United States—Continued

PART 4.—PRIVATE PLACEMENTS OF CORPORATE SECURITIES

[Estimated gross proceeds in thousands of dollars] 2

		Type of s	ecurity		Type of	issuer 7	
Year and month	All private placements	Bonds, deben- tures, and notes	Stocks	Industrial	Public utility	Railroad	Real estate and financial
y 1934 to June 1935 y 1935 to June 1936 y 1936 to June 1937 y 1938 to June 1938 y 1938 to June 1939 y 1939 to June 1940 y 1940 to June 1941 y 1941 to June 1942 y 1942 to June 1943 y 1943 to June 1944 y 1944 to June 1944 y 1945 to June 1946 y 1945 to June 1946 y 1946 to June 1946 y 1946 to June 1946 y 1946 to June 1947 y 1947 to June 1948	412, 152 325, 526 387, 759 748, 435 756, 643 991, 392 831, 478 314, 770 592, 485 1, 212, 214 2, 275, 955	259, 459 409, 264 321, 961 337, 158 748, 036 747, 715 989, 094 523, 188 312, 720 885, 270 822, 610 1, 172, 424 2, 011, 036 2, 613, 446	2, 050 2, 889 3, 564 601 399 8, 927 2, 298 8, 270 2, 050 7, 215 10, 369 39, 790 64, 918 100, 263	158, 469 165, 324 121, 638 228, 698 360, 771 138, 703 361, 090 272, 472 144, 537 347, 521 437, 456 803, 387 1, 598, 822 1, 720, 434	77,700 215,530 151,905 123,343 364,232 418,614 563,160 221,017 152,233 162,660 345,154 300,976 266,798 662,018	0 19, 490 13, 386 7, 219 23, 432 9, 592 24, 142 5, 986 18, 000 77, 979 34, 433 51, 545 3, 839 1, 000	26, 3-11, 84 38, 54 55 56, 31, 92 56, 34 216, 44 330, 24 330, 24
y 1947 y toust. stember ober ember e	241, 950 168, 863 133, 259 255, 309 541, 949 128, 047 296, 852 309, 424	140, 733 241, 700 143, 763 128, 719 246, 809 529, 735 117, 387 295, 862 308, 924 264, 914	9, 100 250 15, 100 6, 540 8, 500 12, 213 10, 660 1, 000 500	113, 001 90, 272 62, 437 107, 685 114, 252 501, 088 66, 860 262, 842 93, 280	149, 399 58, 576 25, 575 132, 057 38, 184 9, 187 26, 510	1,000	2, 2 37, 8 8, 0 2, 6 52, 0 7, 5

¹ The data in these tables cover substantially all new issues of securities offered for cash sale in the United States in amounts over \$100,000 and with terms to maturity of more than 1 year. The figures represent offerings, not actual sales. However, the proportion of the total remaining unsold is believed to be quite minor, and is composed chiefly of non-underwritten issues of small companies. Included in the coverage are issues privately placed as well as issues publicly offered, and unregistered issues as well as those registered under the Securities Act of 1933. Excluded are: Intercorporate transactions; United States Government "special series" issues, and other sales directly to Federal agencies and trust accounts; notes issued exclusively to commercial banks, and corporate issues sold through continuous offering, such as issues of open-end investment companies. The chief sources of data are the financial press and documents filed with the Commission. Data for offerings of State and municipal securities are from totals published by The Commercial and Financial Chronicle; unlike the other data in table 1, these represent principal amounts instead of gross proceeds. All figures are subject to revision as new data are received.

² Gross proceeds are derived by multiplying principal amounts or numbers of units by offering prices, except for municipal issues where principal amount is used. Slight discrepancies between the sum of figures in the tables and the totals shown are due to rounding.

*Issues sold by competitive bidding directly to ultimate investors are classified as publicly offered issues.

4 Issues exempt because of type of issue or issuer include offerings of Federal, State, and local governments, banks, issuers subject to regulation by the Interstate Commerce Commission, and eleemosynary and other nonprofit institutions.

Issues in this group include those between \$100,000 and \$300,000 in size which are exempt because of amendment to regulation A of the Securities Act of 1933, effective May 21, 1945.

6 Securities for which registration under the Securities Act of 1933 would be required if they were publicly offered.

The classification by type of issuer of the offerings of corporate securities in this table is less detailed than that of Securities Act registration in part 3 of table 1. In comparing the two distributions the following points should be noted: (1) The "public utility" classification in this table embraces both the "heat, light, power, and water" and the "transportation and communication" categories of the other with the principal exception of air lines, which have been included in the "industrial" classification of table 3; (2) the "real estate and financial" category in this table includes offerings of securities of the type of issuer represented in the "financial investment" classification of table 1 except that it does not include issues offered on a continuous basis by open-end investment companies; (3) the "industrial" classification in table 3 includes the type of issuer represented in the "extractive," "manufacturing," "merchandising," and "other" classifications of table 1 except foreign governments (see footnote 5 to table 1).

⁸ Bonds of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, excluding \$700.000 sold directly to Canadian purchasers.

Excludes issues sold by competitive bidding directly to ultimate investors.

Table 4.—Proposed uses of net proceeds from the sale of new corporate securities offered for cash sale in the United States

Part 1.—ALL CORPORATE

[Amounts in thousands of dollars] 1

	Total gross	Total net		New money	i			Retirements		
Year and month	proceeds 2	proceeds 1	Total new money	Plant and equipment	Working capital	Total re- tirements	Funded debt	Other debt	Preferred stock	All other purposes
July 1934 to June 1935 July 1935 to June 1936 July 1936 to June 1937 July 1937 to June 1938 July 1938 to June 1938 July 1939 to June 1939 July 1939 to June 1940 July 1940 to June 1941 July 1941 to June 1942 July 1942 to June 1943 July 1944 to June 1944 July 1944 to June 1945 July 1946 to June 1945 July 1948 to June 1946 July 1946 to June 1946 July 1947 to June 1947 July 1947 to June 1948	2,445,601 2,322,017 3,030,603 1,987,124 742,406 1,734,349	872, 204 4, 090, 791 3, 589, 334 1, 255, 763 2, 391, 738 2, 267, 785 2, 970, 499 1, 954, 957 728, 304 4, 160, 331 7, 180, 841 6, 224, 136 6, 960, 917	112, 067 419, 055 1, 195, 768 659, 750 292, 377 782, 268 862, 499 242, 444 458, 620 759, 837 1, 617, 185 4, 065, 938 5, 637, 768	55, 796 260, 586 561, 908 412, 191 379, 369 184, 099 616, 578 589, 342 123, 906 229, 009 295, 294 1, 038, 956 2, 600, 152 4, 269, 442	56, 272 158, 469 633, 859 208, 153 108, 278 165, 691 273, 157 118, 538 229, 611 464, 542 54, 542 1, 465, 787 1, 368, 326	728, 959 3, 637, 122 2, 332, 519 599, 720 1, 790, 275 1, 948, 865 2, 167, 457 1, 061, 176 459, 101 1, 200, 963 3, 352, 344 5, 309, 950 2, 054, 850 1, 129, 587	628, 633 3, 167, 120 1, 986, 784 45, 304 4, 459, 212 1, 695, 837 1, 923, 831 800, 818 397, 737 2, 966, 618 4, 436, 716 662, 717	99, 661 253, 312 91, 786 129, 247 174, 461 182, 685 99, 685 206, 535 26, 832 97, 368 51, 362 240, 354 454, 186 379, 210	665 216, 691 253, 949 17, 526 126, 602 70, 420 143, 961 53, 824 34, 532 187, 728 334, 364 632, 892 301, 894 87, 653	31, 178 24, 613 61, 047 5, 294 13, 961 26, 543 20, 753 31, 283 20, 753 40, 188 48, 150 253, 766 133, 348
July	609, 123 331, 807 457, 859 636, 365 541, 876 1, 170, 485	596, 207 327, 977 449, 574 627, 135 528, 235 1, 155, 346	443, 026 183, 014 257, 992 548, 942 425, 472 1, 025, 993	360, 759, 142, 994 187, 963 394, 687 354, 232 904, 790	82, 267 40, 020 70, 029 154, 255 71, 241 121, 202	132, 409 130, 679 166, 599 53, 646 95, 778 96, 806	101, 936 106, 803 147, 394 16, 724 68, 903 51, 141	20, 393 21, 076 11, 577 17, 876 19, 459 43, 437	10, 080 2, 800 7, 628 19, 046 7, 417 2, 227	20, 772 14, 284 24, 983 24, 548 6, 984 32, 548
January 1948 February March April May June	612, 906 688, 132 636, 107	340, 337 594, 020 679, 285 625, 983 395, 203 641, 525	294, 323 545, 520 560, 070 434, 430 356, 378 562, 608	193, 058 308, 694 342, 778 334, 098 296, 714 448, 675	101, 265 236, 826 217, 292 100, 332 59, 664 113, 933	31, 715 47, 325 113, 631 166, 283 20, 972 73, 744	6, 187 13, 636 82, 867 62, 438 1, 160 3, 528	25, 528 21, 545 30, 010 103, 844 19, 812 44, 659	12, 144 754 25, 557	14, 298 1, 175 5, 584 25, 270 17, 943 5, 173

PART 2.—INDUSTRIAL
[Amounts in thousands of dollars] ¹

	Total gross	Total net		New money				Retirements		
Year and month	proceeds ²	proceeds?	Total new money	Plant and equipment	Working capital	Total re- tirements	Funded debt	Other debt	Benfamad	All other purposes
July 1934 to June 1935 July 1935 to June 1936 July 1935 to June 1937 July 1936 to June 1937 July 1937 to June 1938 July 1938 to June 1939 July 1939 to June 1940 July 1940 to June 1941 July 1941 to June 1942 July 1942 to June 1943 July 1943 to June 1944 July 1943 to June 1944 July 1945 to June 1945 July 1945 to June 1945 July 1946 to June 1945 July 1946 to June 1946 July 1947 to June 1947 July 1947 to June 1948	1, 047, 929 779, 472 291, 823 854, 064 1, 200, 521 3, 067, 101 3, 195, 453 2, 744, 434	321, 656 1, 295, 398 -1, 150, 608 642, 079 933, 170 666, 063 1, 021, 150 762, 093 284, 453 333, 347 1, 107, 725 2, 970, 324 3, 126, 975 2, 680, 854	49, 900 191, 242 602, 828 461, 609 444, 029 118, 932 184, 436 401, 354 127, 442 358, 077 534, 461 1, 305, 493 2, 189, 777 2, 088, 991	19, 500 96, 764 238, 994 268, 524 50, 408 98, 553 157, 220 22, 669 157, 769 159, 737 1, 092, 851 1, 138, 391	30, 400 94, 478 362, 833 193, 136 68, 524 85, 883 244, 135 104, 737 200, 308 374, 698 445, 698 1, 096, 927 950, 602	251, 652 1, 092, 997 507, 499 177, 228 478, 368 532, 202 822, 631 337, 521 139, 758 446, 987 610, 337 1, 525, 263 839, 248 532, 770	239, 139 809, 426 334, 333 114, 241 328, 521 455, 255 676, 337 130, 170 91, 792 223, 835 432, 760 989, 848 394, 053 186, 628	11, 847 15i, 178 57, 772 45, 993 126, 882 44, 203 60, 309 164, 111 20, 067 84, 091 40, 021 174, 091 325, 497 307, 332	132, 392 115, 394 16, 993 22, 966 32, 745 85, 986 43, 240 27, 899 139, 062 137, 555 361, 323 119, 698	20, 104 11, 139 40, 282 3, 243 10, 773 14, 929 14, 982 23, 217 17, 253 28, 283 29, 027 139, 568 97, 950 59, 993
July	259, 253 135, 727 85, 305 272, 548 198, 141 601, 157	251, 512 133, 248 82, 563 269, 765 192, 848 592, 865	190, 123 102, 359 56, 462 228, 429 127, 227 497, 044	113, 209 68, 948 28, 147 90, 446 85, 733 390, 327	76, 914 33, 411 28, 315 137, 983 41, 494 106, 718	56, 792 21, 077 22, 403 40, 250 63, 838 82, 079	30, 741 13, 214 4, 227 6, 601 38, 929 41, 619	17, 978 7, 766 11, 190 17, 432 19, 171 39, 516	98 6, 987 16, 217 5, 739	4, 598 9, 811 3, 698 1, 085 1, 783 13, 742
January February March April May	273, 250 157, 658	95, 309 425, 139 123, 298 269, 262 152, 024 93, 021	70, 268 390, 098 82, 870 153, 867 120, 221 70, 023	23, 969 167, 339 21, 190 68, 610 64, 129 16, 344	46, 299 222, 759 61, 680 - 85, 258 56, 092 53, 679	23, 925 34, 531 39, 908 109, 645 19, 000 19, 322	13, 636 12, 216 24, 285 1, 160	20, 894 26, 938 85, 360 17, 840	754	1, 116 510 521 5, 750 12, 803 3, 676

Table 4.—Proposed uses of net proceeds from the sale of new corporate securities offered for cash sale in the United States—Continued

Part 3.—Public utility

[Amounts in thousands of dollars] !

Year and menth	Total mess	Total net		New money				Retirements	ı	
Year and month	Total gross proceeds *	Total net proceeds 2	Total new money	Plant and equipment	Working capital	Total re- tirements	Funded debt	Other debt	Preferred stock 68, 694 108, 543 458 96, 411 37, 407 51, 122 10, 583 6, 633 46, 505 188, 908 222, 614 172, 208 46, 944 1, 811 2, 702 2, 829 852 1, 283	All other purposes
July 1934 to June 1935 July 1935 to June 1936 July 1936 to June 1937 July 1937 to June 1937 July 1938 to June 1939 July 1938 to June 1940 July 1940 to June 1941 July 1941 to June 1942 July 1942 to June 1942 July 1942 to June 1943 July 1944 to June 1944 July 1944 to June 1944 July 1944 to June 1944 July 1946 to June 1945 July 1946 to June 1945 July 1946 to June 1947 July 1947 to June 1947 July 1947 to June 1947 July 1947 to June 1948		366, 631 1, 955, 387 1, 595, 666 553, 894 1, 337, 126 1, 986, 454 1, 504, 828 906, 212 326, 315 646, 761 1, 697, 841 2, 537, 304 2, 537, 304 3, 356, 862	10, 351 63, 863 73, 207 151, 898 86, 882 65, 275 305, 804 307, 830 67, 935 17, 898 49, 113 80, 638 1, 477, 069 2, 806, 302	4, 673 43, 300 64, 923 114, 885 77, 017 54, 556 280, 971 305, 421 61, 903 7, 160 36, 522 70, 683 1, 294, 219 2, 764, 005	5, 678 20, 563 8, 284 37, 013 9, 864 10, 719 25, 834 2, 408 6, 027 10, 738 12, 591 9, 954 182, 850 42, 297	348, 489 1, 888, 828 1, 508, 983 410, 704 1, 249, 107 1, 012, 482 1, 194, 029 655, 354 249, 438 61, 630, 274 2, 429, 140 1, 036, 636 499, 228	316, 537 1, 786, 965 1, 388, 098 327, 71, 105, 117 939, 338 1, 129, 805 236, 095 561, 768 1, 434, 820 2, 164, 629 822, 188 400, 254	31, 952 33, 169 12, 342 83, 219 47, 579 35, 738 13, 390 6, 765 10, 862 6, 546 41, 898 42, 289 52, 029	108, 543 458 96, 411 37, 407 51, 122 10, 583 6, 633 46, 505 188, 908 222, 614 172, 208	7, 79; 2, 69; 13, 47; 1, 29; 1, 13; 8, 69; 3, 99; 3, 02; 8, 88; 9, 72; 16, 45; 68, 60; 23, 25; 24, 25;
July 1947 August September October November December 1948 January 1948 February March April May	311, 806 284, 714 514, 755 167, 159 121, 146 324, 571 269, 460	301, 261 166, 531 306, 866 277, 752 509, 711 164, 479 118, 580 319, 539 264, 523 215, 589	225, 449 54, 072 159, 424 282, 409 246, 006 498, 128 148, 830 105, 965 281, 274 233, 165 208, 657	225, 449 50, 730 156, 104 273, 159 231, 148 492, 828 148, 548 104, 263 278, 542 232, 700 208, 148	3, 342 3, 320 9, 250 14, 859 5, 300 2, 702 2, 731 465 509	75, 328 108, 228 136, 174 9, 071 30, 886 11, 265 6, 244 12, 050 36, 449 31, 331 1, 851	71, 103 93, 214 136, 174 6, 119 20, 974 6, 366 6, 187 33, 600 13, 989	2, 415 12, 312 122 60 3, 616 56 140 2, 849 17, 342 1, 851	2, 702 2, 829 852 1, 283	48: 4, 40. 12, 93: 15, 38: 85: 31: 9, 40: 56: 1, 81: 2, 50:

PART 4.—RAILROAD

[Amounts in thousands of dollars] 1

			-	New money				Retirements		
Year and month	Total gross proceeds 2	Total net proceeds 2	Total new money	Plant and equipment	Working capital	Total re- tirements	Funded debt	Other debt	Preferred stock	All other purposes
July 1934 to June 1935 July 1935 to June 1936 July 1936 to June 1937 July 1937 to June 1938	501,036 41,428	133, 871 637, 588 489, 861 40, 815	31, 540 122, 603 265, 753 29, 328	31, 323 120, 522 256, 654 28, 827	217 2,080 9,099 500	101, 186 514, 986 224, 108 11, 487	63, 429 452, 073 203, 891 11, 487 55, 574	37, 758 62, 913 16, 480		
July 1938 to June 1939 July 1939 to June 1940 July 1940 to June 1941 July 1941 to June 1942 July 1942 to June 1943 July 1943 to June 1944	375, 026 174, 202 106, 265	104, 352 293, 481 368, 981 171, 726 105, 187 162, 007	. 48, 778 80, 585 236, 711 126, 699 39, 330 64, 080	48, 778 79, 136 236, 711 126, 699 39, 330 64, 080	1,450	55, 574 212, 896 131, 981 45, 027 65, 858 97, 928	35, 574 212, 683 110, 942 45, 027 65, 858 97, 928		3, 000	286
July 1944 to June 1945 July 1945 to June 1946 July 1946 to June 1947 July 1947 to June 1948	1, 191, 006 1, 356, 588 273, 734	1, 175, 776 1, 340, 579 271, 056 433, 622	98, 240 98, 541 204, 962 362, 542		1, 994 3, 171	1, 077, 536	1, 077, 536 1, 240, 248 61, 906 61, 231		619	
July	23, 407 5, 270 35, 041	28, 085 23, 316 5, 213 34, 510 36, 781 20, 123	22, 100 23, 316 3, 712 30, 507 36, 781 20, 123	22, 100 23, 316 3, 712 30, 507 36, 781 20, 123		1, 502 4, 003	1, 502 4, 003			
January February March April May June	34, 506 80, 565	23, 321 34, 204 79, 539 51, 101 24, 136 83, 293	23, 321 34, 204 42, 487 32, 486 24, 136 69, 429	20, 150 34, 204 42, 487 32, 426 24, 136 69, 429		18,675	37, 051 18, 675			

Table 4.—Proposed uses of net proceeds from the sale of new corporate securities offered for cash sale in the United States—Continued

Part 5.—Real Estate and Financial

[Amounts in thousands of dollars] 1

•	m>	m-4-1 i		New money	٠			Retirements	•	-
Year and month	Total gross proceeds ¹	Total net proceeds ³	Total new money	Plant and equipment	Working capital	Total re- tirements	Funded debt	Other debt	Preferred stock	All other purposes
uly 1934 to June 1935. uly 1936 to June 1936. uly 1936 to June 1936. uly 1936 to June 1937. uly 1937 to June 1938. uly 1938 to June 1939. uly 1939 to June 1940. uly 1939 to June 1941. uly 1941 to June 1942. uly 1942 to June 1943. uly 1942 to June 1943. uly 1944 to June 1945. uly 1944 to June 1945. uly 1945 to June 1946. uly 1946 to June 1947. uly 1946 to June 1947. uly 1946 to June 1947.	199, 268 362, 934 10, 636 18, 759 224, 719 77, 139 56, 029 12, 565 59, 136 121, 480 298, 686	50, 046: 192, 418 353, 199 8, 976 17, 090 221, 787 75, 540 54, 927 12, 349 57, 626 118, 989 291, 555 319, 094 479, 578	20, 276 41, 348 253, 981 7, 916 7, 813 27, 585 54, 317 26, 616 7, 737 18, 565 78, 122 132, 512 134, 129 379, 934	300 338 6 50 343 2 799 9,933 10,118 7,678	19, 976 41, 348 253, 643 7, 910 7, 763 27, 585 53, 974 26, 614 7, 737 18, 565 77, 324 122, 579 184, 011 372, 259	27, 632 140, 313 91, 928 301 17, 226 191, 284 18, 837 23, 274 3, 992 36, 883 34, 197 113, 511 112, 819 22, 493	9, 528 118, 655 60, 462 266 88, 511 7, 036 3, 992 32, 306 21, 502 41, 981 20, 624 14, 603	18, 104 6, 052 5, 191 35 102, 504 7, 948 7, 458 2, 415 4, 704 23, 193 82, 2088 5, 992	15, 605 26, 275 7, 226 3, 853 2, 162 7, 901 48, 336 9, 988 1, 899	2, 1; 10, 7; 7, 2; 2, 0; 2, 3; 5, 0; 6, 6; 45, 5; 12, 1; 77, 1;
uly	15,745 4,819 54,605 16,969	15, 349 4, 709 53, 266 15, 995 20, 855 32, 647	5, 354 3, 267 38, 395 7, 596 15, 458 10, 698	575 571 1,513	5, 354 3, 267 38, 395 7, 022 14, 888 9, 185	289 1, 373 6, 520 322 1, 054 3, 462	91 375 5, 492 3, 156	998 387 322 228 306	198 641 826	9, 7 8, 3 8, 0 4, 3 18, 4
anuary 1948 anuary March pril	16, 434 157, 448 41, 876	57, 227 16, 096 156, 909 41, 097 3, 544 61, 884	51, 904 15, 253 153, 439 14, 972 3, 364 60, 234	391 2,888 558 363 301 516	51, 513 12, 365 152, 881 14, 609 3, 063 59, 717	1, 547 744 223 6, 632 120 207			234	3, 7 3, 2 19, 4 1, 4

 $^{^{1}}$ Slight discrepancies between the sum of figures in the tables and the totals shown are due to rounding.

^{&#}x27;Total estimated gross proceeds represent the amount paid for the securities by investors, while total estimated net proceeds represent the amount received by the issuer after payment of compensation to distributors and other costs of flotation.

Table 5.—Brokers and dealers registered under sec. 15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1984 —effective registrations as of June 30, 1948, classified by type of organization and by location of principal office

010000000000000000000000000000000000000	Number of registrant			registrants Number of proprietors, partners officers, etc. 1					employe	es	Number of branch offices					
Location of principal office	Total .	Sole propri- etor- ships	Part- ner- ships	Corpo- rations 3	Total	Sole propri- etor- ships	Part- ner- ships	Corporations ³	Total	Sole propri- etor- ships	Part- ner- ships	Corporations	Total	Sole propri- etor- ships	Part- ner- ships	Corpo- rations 3
Alabama Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Idabo Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York State (excluding New York City) North Carolina North Dakota	23 10 16 229 63 51 10 56 6 249 57 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32	: 99 6 7 7 821 200 31 18 14 8 3 67 226 119 5 18 108 7 13 15 18 108 7 13 15 18 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 1	6 3 3 3 8 3 3 100 166 16 13 100 16 17 2 2 16 16 17 2 2 16 17 2 2 16 17 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 1 1 1 1 1	36	53 19 38 774 164 46 63 91 149 956 149 917 48 110 219 219 219 219 11 89 22 226 13	9 6 7 82 31 20 31 8 14 8 3 67 7 25 11 11 19 5 6 38 8 15 18 108 7 13 15 18 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108	17 9 7 330 26 55 55 28 56 15 17 2 347 23 16 8 8 19 19 52 2 7 6 6 80 240 105 27 6 148 22 2 4 4 148 2 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	11 114 3	71 .30 .36 .3, 2243 .642 .266 .612 .128 .329 .25 .5 .4, 016 .109 .169 .164 .105 .230 .25 .25 .25 .25 .25 .25 .25 .25 .25 .25	18 13 8 222 28 45 3 222 36 8 3 108 14 224 13 37 26 11 56 9 37 7 26 4 11 15 6 9 37 27 28 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45	27 17 10 1, 630 75 360 2255 2252 19 2, 233 19 34 24 550 2, 912 346 164 19 550 2, 912 346 157 1702 2 15 1702 2 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 1702 2 2 1702 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	26 18 1, 368 140 237 8 80 96 12 1, 676 113 106 36 29 60 43 1, 320 256 2, 556 2, 556 4 196 14 105 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 188 5 5 25 5 3 9 4 4 26 3 3 173 1 7 11 12 21 108 29 5 71 13 13 20 11	3 1 1 1 2 3 4	2 199 4 4 9 9 2 123 1 1 7 2 2 1 1 8 1 2 8 1 2 8 1 8 8 8 8	2 87 1 13 5 5 7 1 49 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Table 5.—Brokers and dealers registered under sec. 15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 '—effective registrations as of June 30, 1948, classified by type of organization and by location of principal office—Continued

	N	umber of	registra	nts	Numbe	er of prop officer		artners	N	umber of	employ	ees	Nu	Number of branch offices			
Location of principal office	Total	Sole propri- etor- ships	Part- ner- ships	Corporations ³	Total	Sole propri- etor- ships	Part- ner- ships	Corpo- rations 3	Total	Sole propri- etor- ships	Part- ner- ships	Corpo- rations ³	Total	Sole propri- etor- ships	Part- ner- ships	Corpo- rations ³	
Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina	27 228 29 27	10 78 12 12 2	7 97 11 5	10 53 6 10	69 720 62 69	10 78 12 12	16 393 29 20	43 249 21 37	134 2, 949 126 73	34 143 11 18	48 2, 100 104 20	52 706 11 35	9 105 5		6 85	3 20 4	
South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia	27 3 37 160 22 2	11 96 10	8 28 5	1 18 36 7 2	5 129 367 64 11	2 11 96 10	23 75 20	3 95 196 34 11	2 246 489 204 7	8 89 14	73 137 178	2 165 263 12 7	20 19 14	1	7 8 12	13 11 -1	
Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyorning	24 82 10 52 5	8 46 6 16 5	9 7 3 5	29 1 31	76 211 25 195 5	8 46 6 16 5	37 21 11 22	31 144 8 157	157 466 79 399 3	17 61 60 22 3	62 57 18 84	78 348 1 293	1 14 3 15	1 1 1	3 2 4	10	
Total (excluding New York City) New York City	2, 831 1, 209	1, 208 378	701 618	922 213	8, 524 4, 486	1, 208 378	2, 643 2, 965	4, 673 1, 143	27, 344 30, 267	1, 819 434	13, 085 25, 692	12, 440 4, 141	1, 029 850	26 14	578 658	425 178	
Total	4, 040	1, 586	1, 319	1, 135	13, 010	1, 586	5, 608	5, 816	57, 611	2, 253	38, 777	16, 581	1,879	40	1, 236	503	

Domestic registrants only, excludes 38 foreign.
 Includes directors, officers, trustees, and all other persons occupying similar status or performing similar functions.

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ Includes all forms of organizations other than sole proprietorships and partnerships.

Table 6.—Data relating to resources and liabilities of registered brokers and dealers, 1946 and 1947 1

[3,284 registrants]

\$934, 594, 647 2, 487, 523, 472	\$802, 942, 042 2, 077, 287, 796
2,487,523,472	2.077.287.796
	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
622, 402, 899 50, 032, 196	576, 912, 204 89, 838, 098
24, 238, 958	21,096,628
810, 342, 478 798, 325, 807 283, 069, 724 754, 745, 609	634, 942, 147 609, 999, 960 213, 396, 373 540, 803, 528
,	,
712,794,382	659, 241, 014
153, 322, 390	158, 125, 884
36, 079, 761	24, 029, 191
72, 924, 740	98,693,554
111, 727, 143 246, 657, 286 978, 738, 125	55, 806, 142 111, 414, 515 714, 806, 085
	50, 032, 196 24, 238, 958 810, 342, 478 798, 325, 807 283, 069, 724 754, 745, 609 712, 794, 382 153, 322, 390 36, 079, 761 72, 924, 740 111, 727, 143 246, 657, 286

¹ This table shows the aggregate net capital and indebtedness of all registered brokers and dealers who filed financial reports with the Commission as of dates in 1946 and 1947, compiled according to the definitions set forth in rule X-15C3-1 of the Securities Exchange Act. This includes 528 members of the New York Stock Exchange who filed reports.

1 Includes exempt securities \$5,073,700 in 1946 and \$4,241,644 in 1947.

Table 7.—Market value and volume of sales effected on securities exchanges for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

Part 1,—ON ALL REGISTERED EXCHANGES [In thousands]

	Total	Stoc	ks t	Во	nds 3	Rightsan	d warrants
Exchange	market value (dollars)	Market value (dollars)	Number of shares	Market value (dollars)	Principal amount (dollars)	Market value (dollars)	Number of units
All registered exchanges	13, 932, 441	12, 899, 694	536, 749	996, 747	1, 356, 372	36,000	35, 323
Baltimore Boston Chicago Board of Trade		2, 450 175, 335 165	104 4,320 28	827 17	1, 235 16	1,468	1,019
Chicago Stock	202,807 13,080 17,612	202, 282 13, 054 17, 567	7, 532 369 648		27	499 26 45	. 387 32 60
Los Angeles	1 452	45, 064 126, 589 1, 431	3, 597 11, 176 42	18 . 21	21		-,
New York Curb New York Stock Philadelphia	107, 386	1, 039, 924 10, 953, 972 105, 600	80, 393 387, 568 4, 017	69, 831 924, 027 1, 221	1, 265, 232 1, 056	24, 115 565	432
Pittsburgh St. Louis Salt Lake	6, 889 2, 389	17, 232 6, 886 2, 389	1, 011 280 15, 722	3		38	25
San Francisco Mining San Francisco Stock Spokane Washington	186,096 1,813	772 184, 904 1, 813	5, 845 11, 466 2, 543	476	440		672
washington	2, 541	2, 265	-		otals by mor		1,
40.00							
1947 July September October November December	792, 903 782, 213	1, 059, 466 727, 356 718, 325 1, 224, 903 804, 962 1, 173, 884	44, 032 29, 191 29, 037 50, 769 32, 004 48, 739	85, 253 64, 886 60, 326 85, 862 63, 949 145, 181	109, 385 81, 063 80, 312 121, 655 87, 497 186, 213	2, 618 661 3, 562 5, 441 6, 629 4, 587	1, 813 471 2, 612 4, 967 5, 273 4, 422
JanuaryFebruary AprilAprilMay June	837, 601 964, 279 1, 519, 655 1, 803, 929	922, 917 774, 839 895, 906 1, 430, 602 1, 713, 482 1, 453, 052	39, 194 32, 278 38, 712 61, 013 73, 527 58, 253	98, 892 60, 126 67, 054 87, 151 87, 363 90, 704	134, 381 84, 508 95, 180 132, 534 119, 745 123, 899	663 2,636 1,319 1,902 3,084 2,898	929 2, 058 2, 735 2, 046 3, 592 4, 405

Table 7.—Market value and volume of sales effected on securities exchanges for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948—Continued

PART 2.-ON ALL EXEMPTED EXCHANGES

[In thousands]

	Total	Stoc	ks 1	Во	nds ³	Rights an	d warrants
Exchange	market value (dollars)	Market value (dollars)	Number of shares	Market value (dollars)	Principal amount (dollars)	Market value (dollars)	Number of units
All exempted exchanges	9, 899	9,724	786	175	178		
Colorado Springs Honolulu Minneapolis-St. Paul	515 6,004 2,524	515 5, 829 2, 524	217 415 139	175	178		
Richmond	491 365	491 365	8				
		Break	-down of fi	scal year to	otals by mo	nths	
1847 July	726 779 725 1,001 768 992	711 779 718 999 768 991	53 51 50 68 95 109	15 0 7 2 0 1	15 0 7 2 0 1		
January February March April May June	915 898 836 764 766 729	817 895 787 764 766 729	58 63 72 61 54 52	98 3 49 0 0	100 3 50 0 0		

^{1 &}quot;Stocks" includes voting trust certificates, American depositary receipts, and certificates of deposit for stocks.

1 "Bonds" includes mortgage certificates and certificates of deposit for bonds.

Note.—Value and volume of sales effected on registered securities exchanges are reported in connection with fees paid under sec. 31 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For most exchanges the figures represent transactions cleared during the calendar month. Figures may differ from comparable figures in the Statistical Bulletin due to revision of data by exchanges.

Table 8.—Round-lot stock transactions effected on the New York Stock Exchange for the accounts of members and nonmembers, weekly, June 30, 1947–June 26, 1948 1

[thousands of shares]

					R	ound-lot	transact	ions for t	the accou	ınts of m	embers ?						
Week ended Saturday		und-lot les		ts in st h they o		odd-l	ctions f ot accor ot deale alists	ints of		transacti ed on the			transacti d off the		for	-lot tran the acco nembers	nsactions ounts of
			Pur-	· 8a	les	Pur-	Sa	les	Pur-	88	les	Pur-	Sa	les	Pur-	Sa	les
	Total	Short 1	chases	Total	Short 1	chases	Total	Short 8	chases	Total	Short *	chases	Total	Short *	chases	Total	Short 3
1947 July 5 July 12 July 12 July 18 July 19 July 19 July 26 Aug. 2 Aug. 9 Aug. 16 Aug. 23 Aug. 30 Sept. 6 Sept. 13 Sept. 20 Sept. 27 Oct. 4 Oct. 18 Oct. 25 Nov. 1 Nov. 8 Nov. 1 Nov. 8 Nov. 15 Nov. 22 Nov. 29 Dec. 6 Dec. 13 Dec. 20 Dec. 27 Oct. 20 Dec. 27 Oct. 1 Oct. 18 Oct. 25 Oct. 15 Oct. 25 Oct. 16 Oct. 26 Oct. 27 Oct. 4 Oct. 27 Oct. 4 Oct. 28 Oct. 27 Oct. 4 Oct. 28 Oct. 27 Oct. 4 Oct. 29 Oct. 20 Oct. 30 Oct. 30 Oct. 20 Oct. 27 Oct. 27 Oct. 27 Oct. 4 Oct. 28 Oct.	4, 409 6, 490 5, 733 6, 618 3, 787 3, 856 3, 213 3, 213 3, 881 4, 437 5, 590 5, 590 5, 590 5, 590 5, 590 5, 590 5, 729 6, 193 6, 193 6, 193 6, 193 7, 296 8, 193 8,	158 271 263 195 228 180 179 127 118 112 146 159 169 169 1237 320 224 171 146 179 132 241 171 146 179 189 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 19	473 689 710 581 663 367 468 307 360 345 443 477 406 607 546 507 548 359 359 359 379 774 538	465 7110 578 6325 394 376 335 326 326 350 434 472 488 540 573 757 854 628 378 328 328 328 328 328 328 328 328 328 32	76 1560 106 106 107 808 56 56 57 76 77 61 128 128 1104 64 77 62 82 90 102 81	172 231 241 224 223 171 184 210 217 245 245 226 220 186 188 188 221 180 286 281 281 286	166 240 214 211 230 149 121 127 136 123 138 143 197 186 232 275 204 147 162 206 161 120 123 206 161 201 201 201 206 209		95 170 159 139 164 76 63 59 95 109 77 122 142 200 233 131 59 73 131 59 73 156	104 183 167 121 158 106 77 81 83 74 74 74 101 109 148 202 268 177 106 65 65 66 140 140 156 178 158	6 27 28 11 12 12 11 9 9 7 7 6 8 8 8 8 12 16 33 32 7 16 9 18 17 15 23	213 264 228 211 185 109 109 191 135 203 113 248 224 316 303 192 143 108 218 128 128 228 228 228 228 228 228 22	189 282 251 261 261 166 150 156 151 131 146 212 206 344 297 811 373 287 188 178 262 223 229 237 270	17 26 20 20 21 24 22 23 12 11 18 23 24 45 63 39 25 25 31 46 38 25 25 26	3, 456 5, 095 4, 952 4, 578 4, 307 2, 891 2, 562 2, 520 2, 373 3, 114 3, 192 4, 870 4, 870 4, 438 3, 192 4, 870 4, 438 3, 193 4, 438 4, 438 3, 193 4, 438 4, 448 4, 438 4,	3, 485 5, 033 4, 958 4, 562 4, 388 4, 562 4, 388 3, 132 2, 517 2, 308 2, 308 2, 308 2, 308 4, 785 4, 785 4, 548 3, 101 3, 104 3, 104 4, 3, 104 4, 3, 104 4, 3, 104 4, 3, 104 4, 3, 104 4, 3, 104 4, 10	59 64 555 58 99 97 68 29 43 36 42 51 25 45 45 80 94 46 35 42 46 35 22 32 46 32 46 32 46 32 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46

<i>1948</i> 1	!	1		ı				!	1 1	ł	1	1	1	1	I	1	
Jan. 3	5,085	124	482	481	68	185	202		79 1	82	5	154	188	25	4, 185	4, 132	26
Jan. 10	5,407	226	566	667	102	301	169		146	189	24	212	300	29	4, 182	4,082	71
Jan. 17	4.808	196	486	528	103	236	161		121	159	20	176	250	22	3,789	3,710	51
Jan. 24	5, 385	203	567	578	88	280	157		127	159	22	174	257	24	4.237	4, 234	69
Jan. 31	4,880	201	490	493	94	329	127		112	185	28	173	253	25	3,776	3, 822	54
Feb. 7	5, 509	228	507	542	108	317	169		108	180	16	172	256	23	4,405	4, 362	81
Feb. 14	5.109	206,	506	523	92	229	183		105	138	ĺ íš	141	188	ĪĞ.	4.128	4, 077	83
Feb. 21	3,969	233	369	432	110	241	98		80	103	24	117	155	25	3, 162	3, 181	74
Feb. 28		164	331	310	81	191	85		79	74	9	112	141	18	2, 533	2, 636	56
Mar. 6	4,019	190	428	380	91	228	107		99	l ģō	13	150	178	22	3, 114	3, 264	64
Mar. 13	4.247	167	411	398	72	219	136		93	102	13	135	170	19	3, 389	3.441	63
Mar. 20	6.255	304	675	681	151	286	175		161	166	27	233	264	32	4.900	4, 969	94
Mar. 27	6.909	304	646	691	174	228	175		147	178	30	223	264	29	4.846	4.782	71
Apr. 3	7,060	283	754	744	164	258	209		180	187	29	261	275	23	5,607	5,645	67
Apr. 10		261	666	639	119	251	219		156	158	21	244	301	42	5.592	5, 592	79
Apr. 17	7, 914	317	698	713	170	254	244		168	163	23	301	322	31	6, 493	6.472	93
Apr. 24	11,560	426	1,083	1,044	234	330	281		312	324	35	372	492	45	9,463	9, 419	112
May 1	8, 182	274	808	744	142	234	259		224	209	16	277	378	38	6,639	6 592	78
May 8	7,906	234	767	720	115	223	282		231	224	– š	332	298	26	6, 353	6.382	84
May 15		363	1, 271	1, 325	207	308	369		356	393	31	540	748	45	12,048	11,688	80
May 22	14,621	404	1, 453	1,470	229	402	425		456	445	34	565	639	5ì	11.745	11 642	ğŏ
May 29	9, 113	272	907	866	146	249	297		222	296	Ĭ9	301	459	46	7, 434	7.195	61
June 5	5, 493	159	511	497	84	160	245		īīī	155	īī	169	244	27	4,542	4, 352	37
June 12		264	840	806	137	24 L	282		245	335	19	345	423	53	6, 451	6, 276	55
June 19	8,308	228	825	813	128	234	255		253	325	25	351	429	29	6,645	6, 486	46
June 26	8, 202	297	770	812	141	269	234		308	358	54	314	433	42	6,541	6, 365	ÃĎ
	'									!		*		1 ~-	-,	1,550	"

 $^{^1}$ Round-lot stock transactions are transactions in the unit of trading or multiple thereof; the unit of trading on the New York Stock Exchange is 100 shares in most stocks, and 10 shares for certain inactive issues.

² The term "members" includes all members, their firms and their partners.

Round-lot short sales which are exempted from restriction by the Commission's rules are not included in this column, but are included in the total sales column.

Table 9.—Odd-lot stock transactions effected on the New York Stock Exchange for the odd-lot accounts of odd-lot dealers, specialists, and customers, weekly, June 30, 1947–June 26, 1948

	Purchases	by customers	from odd-lot	Sold	by customers	to odd-lot deal	ers and speci	alists
Week ended Saturday	de	alers and speci	alists		Total		Customers'	short sales 1
	Number of orders	Number of shares	Market value (dollars)	Number of orders	Number of shares	Market value (dollars)	Number of orders	Number of shares
July 5	23, 735 25, 493	492, 993 700, 888 748, 757 655, 359	20, 490, 738 28, 189, 726 29, 634, 119 26, 914, 539	18, 137 24, 964 24, 994 23, 348	504, 830 707, 739 708, 738 656, 101	18, 992, 030 25, 853, 498 25, 680, 311 23, 776, 607	230 310 274 186	8, 241 11, 224 10, 109 6, 507
Aug. 2. Aug. 9. Aug. 16. Aug. 23. Aug. 30.	18,034 16,577 16,754	659, 778 505, 997 476, 946 466, 931 477, 400	25, 949, 288 20, 693, 436 19, 352, 644 19, 676, 488 19, 802, 678	22, 377 16, 684 16, 231 15, 262 15, 149	649, 330 454, 023 445, 957 423, 164 421, 560	23, 664, 124 16, 832, 901 16, 245, 389 15, 795, 406 15, 648, 807	390 270 277 150 197	14, 181 10, 131 9, 984 5, 692 7, 302
Sept. 6	18, 412 18, 674	428, 277 522, 656 555, 854 496, 922	17, 258, 816 20, 955, 896 21, 248, 766 19, 987, 149	13, 753 16, 951 18, 483 16, 157	379, 742 471, 741 520, 650 445, 986	14, 043, 272 17, 292, 151 18, 791, 408 16, 831, 224	134 186 188 92	4, 826 8, 658 7, 344 3, 673
Oct. 4	22, 477 24, 708 28, 692	652, 979 672, 511 756, 051 861, 473	26, 306, 395 26, 474, 847 30, 285, 378 33, 593, 125	22, 277 21, 343 25, 756 29, 287	635, 585 615, 507 756, 336 852, 805	22, 715, 072 21, 161, 621 26, 911, 192 30, 353, 278	145 190 193 230	5, 290 7, 655 7, 222 8, 870
Nov. 1. Nov. 8. Nov. 15. Nov. 22. Nov. 29.	25, 193 18, 767 17, 915	745, 690 537, 586 498, 536 638, 308 547, 847	31, 058, 041 22, 816, 311 21, 488, 629 28, 379, 455 22, 611, 028	22, 820 17, 607 23, 078 27, 279 18, 983	663, 343 491, 181 494, 385 629, 873 471, 951	24, 582, 989 18, 169, 692 17, 863, 323 23, 158, 570 17, 424, 116	275 269 164 138 121	11, 015 9, 953 6, 952 5, 017 4, 994
Dec. 6	28 665	715, 714 746, 735 800, 728 621, 781	28, 732, 671 30, 492, 551 32, 604, 524 24, 969, 261	24, 451 23, 845 27, 968 21, 961	661, 905 671, 540 795, 759 654, 280	22, 943, 477 23, 236, 326 27, 743, 344 22, 785, 037	122 115 140 106	4, 917 4, 112 5, 321 3, 922

Jan. 3. 20, 994 612, 954 23, 722, 285 20, 414 592, 232 18, 376, 142 97 4, 115 Jan. 10. 25, 913 723, 377 29, 602, 122 21, 777 588, 445 20, 611, 919 282 10, 214 Jan. 17. 22, 378 681, 559 25, 902, 893 20, 283 545, 914 19, 676, 087 249 9, 861 Jan. 24 25, 282 706, 103 28, 099, 757 20, 380 576, 951 20, 662, 194 287 12, 151 Jan. 31. 26, 282 715, 631 29, 399, 537 17, 955 500, 586 18, 174, 350 202 9, 649 Feb. 7 28, 667 790, 042 31, 015, 267 21, 704 618, 189 22, 365, 689 314 13, 181 Feb. 14 28, 667 780, 042 31, 015, 267 21, 373 613, 188 22, 365, 689 314 13, 181 Feb. 12 21, 560 587, 829 22, 947, 909 15, 542 419, 873 15, 141, 076 280 11, 285 Feb. 28 15, 784 441, 088 17, 324, 029 13, 482 365, 495
Jan. 17. 22, 378 631, 559 25, 902, 893 20, 283 545, 914 19, 676, 087 249 9, 861 Jan. 24. 25, 892 706, 103 28, 099, 757 20, 380 576, 951 20, 662, 194 287 12, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18
Jan. 17. 22, 378 631, 559 25, 902, 893 20, 283 545, 914 19, 676, 087 249 9, 861 Jan. 24. 25, 482 706, 103 28, 099, 757 20, 380 556, 951 20, 682, 194 287 12, 151 Jan. 31. 25, 282 715, 631 29, 398, 537 17, 90.5 500, 586 18, 174, 350 202 9, 049 Feb. 7. 28, 167 790, 042 31, 015, 267 21, 704 618, 189 22, 368, 689 314 13, 181 Feb. 14 25, 095 690, 099 26, 338, 707 21, 337 631, 478 21, 387, 685 302 11, 479 Feb. 21 21, 560 587, 829 22, 947, 909 15, 542 419, 873 15, 141, 076 280 11, 285 Feb. 28 15, 784 441, 098 17, 324, 029 13, 482 305, 495 12, 745, 718 147 5, 995 Mar. 6. 18, 568 523, 985 20, 431, 049 16, 369 444, 592 15, 405, 680 151 5, 889 Mar. 13 20, 161 570, 289 22, 114, 210 18, 270 494, 812 16, 962, 868 144 5, 180 Mar. 20 23, 438 692, 546 26, 429, 214 20, 979 605, 822
Jan. 24 25, 482 706, 103 28, 099, 757 20, 380 576, 951 20, 662, 194 287 12, 151 Jan. 31 25, 282 715, 631 29, 398, 537 17, 955 500, 586 18, 174, 350 202 9, 049 Feb. 7. 28, 167 790, 042 31, 015, 267 21, 704 618, 189 22, 365, 169 314 13, 181 Feb. 14 20, 095 690, 089 26, 338, 707 21, 337 631, 478 21, 387, 685 302 11, 479 Feb. 21 21, 560 587, 829 22, 947, 909 15, 542 419, 873 15, 141, 076 280 11, 285 Feb. 28 15, 784 441, 098 17, 324, 029 13, 482 365, 495 12, 745, 718 147 5, 695 Mar. 6 18, 568 523, 985 20, 431, 049 16, 369 444, 592 15, 405, 680 151 5, 889 Mar. 13 20, 161 570, 289 22, 114, 210 18, 270 494, 812 10, 962, 868 144 5, 150 Mar. 27 23, 438 692, 546 26, 435, 218 21, 333 603, 352 21, 133, 403 171 6, 681
Jan. 31 25, 282 715, 631 29, 398, 537 17, 955 500, 586 18, 174, 350 202 9, 049 Feb. 7 28, 167 790, 042 31, 015, 267 21, 704 618, 189 22, 363, 769 314 13, 181 Feb. 14 25, 095 690, 089 26, 338, 707 21, 337 631, 478 21, 357, 585 302 11, 479 Feb. 21 21, 560 587, 829 22, 947, 909 15, 542 419, 873 15, 141, 076 280 11, 285 Feb. 28 15, 784 441, 098 17, 324, 029 13, 482 365, 495 12, 745, 718 147 5, 695 Mar. 6 18, 568 523, 985 20, 431, 049 16, 369 444, 592 15, 460, 680 151 5, 889 Mar. 13 20, 181 570, 289 22, 114, 210 18, 270 494, 812 16, 962, 868 144 5, 180 Mar. 20 23, 874 693, 592 26, 035, 218 21, 333 603, 325 20, 406, 054 210 7, 889 Mar. 27 23, 438 682, 546 26, 429, 214 20, 979 605, 822 21, 133, 463 171 6, 681
Feb. 14
Feb. 14 25,095 690,089 26,338,707 21,337 631,478 21,387,685 302 11,479 Feb. 21 21,560 587,829 22,947,909 15,542 419,873 15,141,076 280 11,479 Feb. 28 15,784 441,098 17,324,029 13,482 365,495 12,745,718 147 5,695 Mar. 6 18,568 523,985 20,431,049 16,369 444,592 15,405,680 151 5,889 Mar. 13 20,161 570,289 22,114,210 18,270 494,812 16,962,868 144 5,180 Mar. 20 23,874 693,592 26,035,218 21,333 603,325 20,406,054 210 7,889 Mar. 27 23,438 632,546 26,429,214 20,979 605,823 22,113,3463 171 6,681
Feb. 28
Feb. 28 15, 784 441, 098 17, 324, 029 13, 482 365, 495 12, 745, 718 147 5, 695 Mar. 6 18, 568 523, 985 20, 431, 049 16, 369 444, 592 15, 405, 680 151 5, 889 Mar. 13 20, 161 570, 289 22, 114, 210 18, 270 494, 812 16, 962, 868 144 5, 150 Mar. 20 23, 374 603, 592 28, 035, 218 21, 333 603, 352 20, 406, 054 210 7, 889 Mar. 27 23, 438 692, 546 26, 429, 214 20, 979 605, 822 221, 33, 463 171 6, 681
Mar. 6 18, 568 523, 985 20, 431, 049 16, 369 444, 592 15, 405, 680 151 5, 889 Mar. 13 20, 161 570, 289 22, 114, 210 18, 270 494, 812 16, 962, 868 144 5, 150 Mar. 20 23, 874 693, 592 26, 035, 218 21, 333 603, 325 20, 406, 054 210 7, 889 Mar. 27 23, 488 632, 546 26, 429, 214 20, 979 605, 823 21, 133, 463 171 6, 681
Mar. 13. 20, 161 570, 289 22, 114, 210 18, 270 494, 812 16, 962, 868 144 5, 150 Mar. 20. 23, 874 693, 592 26, 035, 218 21, 333 603, 325 20, 406, 054 210 7, 889 Mar. 27. 23, 438 692, 546 26, 429, 214 20, 979 605, 822 22, 1133, 463 171 6, 681
Mar. 27. 23, 438 632, 546 26, 429, 214 20, 979 605, 822 21, 133, 463 171 6, 681
23, 438 082, 549 20, 429, 214 20, 978 000, 525 21, 150, 405 171 0, 061
Apr. 3. 26,002 772,867 31,098,745 25,968 788,377 25,927,736 198 7,479
Apr. 10 25, 921 790, 605 31, 535, 413 28, 316 786, 629 27, 777, 250 283 11, 084
Apr. 17. 27, 406 833, 029 32, 541, 237 29, 148 816, 655 28, 822, 560 240 9, 582
Apr. 24 35. 626 1.110. 880 44. 441. 372 37. 208 1.090. 912 37. 574. 868 250 9. 652
May 1 27,898 851,116 33,265,008 30,460 868,392 31,333,277 196 7,577
May 8 25, 895 707, 148 31, 217, 960 30, 044 864, 795 31, 199, 121 226 8, 523
1236, 213 + 46, 017, 062 + 42, 672 + 1, 289, 710 + 44, 838, 467 + 196 + 7, 909
$9189\ 22$ 1, 428, 311 51, 043, 207 50, 468 1, 406, 003 50, 690, 425 176 6, 035
May 29 26 905 418 35 422 073 32 653 952 259 34 879 415 143 5,036
June 5
June 12 26,853
June 19
June 26

¹ Short sales which are exempted from restriction by the Commission's and exchange's rules are excluded from this column, but are included with total sales by customers.

Table 10.—Round-lot and odd-lot stock transactions ¹ effected on the New York Curb Exchange for accounts of members and nonmembers, weekly, June 30, 1947–June 26, 1948

[Thousands of shares]

						•											
				R	ound-lot	transacti	ons for a	ccount of	f membe	rs 2		Dannel	lat tran				
Week ended Saturday		und-lot les	l ists in	ctions of stocks i are regis	n which		transact d on the			transact ed off the		for a				Odd-lot transactions for accounts of customers 3	
	Total	Short 4	Pur-	Sa	les	Pur-			Pur-	Sales		Sales		les	Pur-	Sales	
	1 Otal	SHOPL	chases	Total	Short 4	chases	Total	Short 4	chases	Total	Short 4	chases	Total	Short 4	chases	Total	Short
1947 (uly 5 (uly 12 (uly 19 (uly 28	1,002 1,381 1,259 1,161 1,042 633 606 634 835 9910 1,248 1,262 1,631 2,022 1,309 991 1,273 1,449 1,273	19 19 22 18 11 11 19 8 8 7 6 6 10 10 10 17 17 14 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	900 1222 1330 1112 1003 632 668 659 774 1119 1263 1263 1273 187 82 988 117 86 97 127 88 117 87	97 134 190 109 131 85 68 68 70 59 83 1104 1104 1178 213 148 213 155 90 94 131 131 131	10 123 8 8 8 3 3 3 5 4 4 3 10 35 19 7 4 11 11	24 338 222 22 22 5 5 5 11 18 18 35 56 56 55 23 24 24 13	23 296 16 16 10 6 6 4 4 15 10 10 32 43 43 43 51 23 15 23 27 27	1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 5 3 3 2 2 1 2 2 1 5 5 3 3 2 1 2 2 1 5 1 5 1 2 1 2 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1	60 52 47 37 42 24 22 23 28 29 40 36 43 43 43 48 61 81 88 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48	622 811 443 300 299 200 219 13 24 24 23 33 37 37 47 47 47 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46	4 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	828 1, 172 1, 049 980 880 653 610 536 721 845 782 1, 051 1, 353 1, 711 1, 320 784 836 1, 104 811 1, 105	820 1, 137 998 993 867 653 608 5319 5455 704 853 1, 043 1, 363 1, 363 1, 373 796 823 1, 073 1, 251 1, 373	4 1 3 5 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 6 4 4 4 4 5 5 6	32 63 43 43 43 36 29 30 30 31 32 49 53 49 54 47 42 46 46 56	49 59 61 60 51 44 41 40 40 37 44 51 52 83 107 85 52 52 52 71 53 67 72 87	

1948	ì	ı	1	1	i	1	1	ı		1			l 1	1	- 1	
Jan.3	1,249	12	97	113	8	14	11	0	51	42	2	1,087	1,083	2	43	60
Jan, 10	1, 264	13	107	138	6	33	31	2	73	40	1	1,051	1,055		50	61
Jan. 17	1.094	17	87	118	10	20	23	2	55	56	2	932	897	<u>ā</u>	39	50
Јап. 24	1.012	16	92	118	9	20	21	2	46	43	2	854	830	3	43	49
Jan. 31	993	33	96	101	10	28	21	2	52	57	5	817	814	16	43	61
Feb. 7	1,230	20	104	127	5	16	21	1	64	66	3	1,046	1,016	11	47	64
Feb. 14	1,123	29	103	105	10	21	19	2	61	57	3	938	942	14	42	57
Feb. 21	864	22	67	85	6 j	22	20	2	65	58	3	710	701	11	41	45
Feb. 28	728	23	66	66	7	19	16	1	32	33	1	611	613	14	31	38
Mar, 6	916	21	114	88	4	25	23	1	39	64	5	738	741	11	34	44
Mar, 13	1,368	28	78	90	8	26	30	2	36	51	1	1, 228	1, 197	17	32	44
Mar. 20	1,629	26	142	151	13	33	41	1	82	114	3	1,372	1,323	9	44	56
Mar. 27	1,331	34	121	147	17	39	40	2	61	73	3	1.110	1,071	12	43	50
Apr. 3	1,522	27	115	129	10	40	37	1	74	106	7	1, 293	1, 250	9	49	62
Apr. 10	1,957-	37	138	144	8	48	46	1	65	130	23	1,706	1,637	5	58	73
Apr. 17	1,730	21	125	150	9	30	23	1	79	88	5	1,496	1,469	6	53	74
Apr. 24	2, 447	60	188	228	21	65	60	3	95	112	11	2,099	2,047	25	74	106
May 1	1,806	29	139	164	15	54	63	2	77	87	8	1,536	1,492	4	59	81
May 8	1,600	34	132	137	7	31	25	2	51	154	20	1,386	1, 284	5	53	68
May 15	3, 266	48	251	272	17	80	72	1	129	256	24	2,806	2,666	6	87	115
May 22	2, 981	31	240	299	20	67	77	3	130	162	5	2,544	2,443	3	88	120
May 29	1,758	25	157	183	11	34	24	(4)	41	78	4	1,526	1,473	10	65	88
June 5	1,463	27	122	132	13	44	41	2	- 63	70	9	1, 234	1,220	3	52	66
June 12	1,816	23	174	163	14	57	48	1	79	82	3	1,506	1,523	5	61	86
June 19	2, 210	34	175	211	21	64	67	4	92	117	2	1,879	1,815	7	72	90
June 26	2,030	37	173	204	23	64	56	2	71	86	2	1,722	1,684	10	71	88
				J	J					1			<u> </u>	1		

¹ Round-lot transactions are transactions in the unit of trading or multiple thereof, while odd-lot transactions are transactions involving less than the unit of trading. The unit of trading on the New York Curb Exchange is not the same in all stocks, but ranges from 10 to 100 shares. Transactions in rights and warrants are not included in these data, although ticker volumes for this exchange includes such transactions.

³ The term "members" includes all members, their firms, and their partners.

³ On the New York Curb Exchange odd-lot transactions are handled solely by specialists in stocks in which they are registered, and the round-lot transactions resulting from such odd-lot transactions are not segregated from specialists, other round-lot transactions.

⁴ Short sales which are exempted (rom restriction by the Commission's rules are excluded from this column, but are included in the total sales column.

Five hundred shares or less.

Table 11.—Special offerings effected on national securities exchanges for fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

		Nur	nber of s	hares	Value of shares	Ag- gregate special	Number of offerings by duration			
Exchange	Num- ber made	In orig- inal offer	Sub- scribed	Sold	sold (thous- ands of dol- lars)	com- mission (thou- sands of dol- lars)	Termi- nated in 15 min- utes	Others termi- nated same day	Not termi- nated same day	
All exchanges; Total Completed	25 20	367, 899 265, 459	336, 409 271, 815	332, 999 268, 205	8, 503 6, 173	222 173	12 12	10 7	3	
Not completed	5	102, 440	64, 794	64, 794	2, 330	49		3.	2	
Chicago Stock Exchange: Total Completed	3 3	20, 412 20, 412	20, 412 20, 412	20, 412 20, 412	254 254	9	2 2	1 1		
Not completed										
New York Curb Exchange: Total Completed	3 3	26, 618 26, 618	26, 618 26, 618	26, 618 26, 618	202 202	9	2 2	I 1		
Not completed										
New York Stock Exchange: Total Completed	19 14	320, 869 218, 429	289, 379 224, 585	285, 969 221, 175	8, 047 5, 717	204 155	 8 8	8 5	3 1	
Not Completed	5	102, 440	64, 794	64, 794	2, 330	49		3		

Table 12.—Secondary distributions of listed stocks approved by national securities exchanges for fiscal year ended June 30, 1948 ¹

		Nu	mber of sh	ares	Value of shares		er of seco y duratio	
Exchange	Num- ber made	In original offer	Available for dis- tribution	Sold	sold (thou- sands of dol- lars)	Termi- nated same day	Others termi- nated next day	Not termi- nated next day
All exchanges:	83	6, 337, 947	6, 422, 459	6, 347, 361	152, 803	49	21	13
Completed Not completed	78 5	6, 169, 578 168, 369	6, 255, 520 166, 939	6, 273, 290 74, 071	150, 019 2, 784	49	. 18	11 2
Chicago Stock Exchange: Total	16	271, 372	269, 572	269, 572	16, 785	10	5	1
Completed Not completed	15 1	267, 872 3, 500	268, 372 1, 200	268, 372 1, 200	16, 763 22	10	5	1
Detroit Stock Exchange: Total	3	17, 700	17, 700	17, 700	318	2	1	
Completed Not completed	3	17, 700	17, 700	17, 700	318	2	1	
New York Curb Exchange: Total	15	512, 226	508, 483	507, 191	10, 022	9	3	3
Completed Not completed	15	512, 226	508, 483	507, 191	10, 022	9	3	3
New York Stock Exchange: Total	43	5, 517, 303	5, 607, 358	5, 533, 552	124, 987	22	12	9
Completed Not completed	39 4	5, 352, 434 164, 869	5, 441, 619 165, 739	5, 460, 681 72, 871	122, 225 2, 762	22	9	8
St, Louis Stock Exchange:	6	19, 346	19, 346	19, 346	691	6		
CompletedNot completed	6	19, 346	19, 346	19, 346	691	6		

^{&#}x27; Secondary distributions which exchanges have approved for member participation and have reported to the Commission.

Table 13.—Classification by industry of issuers having securities registered on national securities exchanges as of June 30, 1947, and as of June 30, 1948

, Industry	As of June 30, 1947	As of June 30, 1948
Agriculture Boverages (distilleries, breweries, soft drinks) Building and related companies (including lumber, building materials, and construction)	8 53 90	8 53
Chemicals, drugs, and allied products Financial and investment companies Food and related products	94 127 109 73	89 130 109 70
Foreign governments and political subdivisions thereof. Foreign private issuers other than Canadian, Cuban, and Philippine	56 78 202	56 76 206 168
Mining, coal. Mining, other than coal. Miscellaneous manufacturing. Oil and gas wells	19 225	190 19 221 39 52
Oil refining and distributing Paper and paper products Printing, publishing, and allied industries	37 38 21	39 39 21 15
Real estate Rubber and leather products Services (advertising, amusements, hotels, restaurants) Textiles and related products. Tobacco products.	36 49 66 18	36 48 67 18
Transportation and communication (railroads, telephone, radio) Transportation equipment Utility holding companies (electric, gas, water) Utility operating-bolding companies	245 173	238 173 31
Utility operating-bolding companies. Utility operating Totals.	83	2, 209

Table 14.—Totals of security issues admitted to trading on exchanges as of June 30, 1948

As of June 30, 1948, 2,575 stock issues aggregating 2,837,496,642 shares and 964 bond issues aggregating \$19,224,375,537 in principal amount were traded on national securities exchanges as fully listed and registered. An additional 487 stock issues aggregating 393,831,-339 shares and 101 bond issues aggregating \$928,518,196 in principal amount were traded on exchanges in other capacities, making a total of 3,062 stock issues aggregating 3,231,327,981 shares and 1,065 bond issues aggregating \$20,152,893,733 in principal amount. The bases for admission to trading and the number of issues admitted on each basis are given in the table below. Where an issue was admitted to trading on more than one basis it has been counted once for each basis of admission to trading.2

The state of Australia Australia		Stocks	Bonds			
Basis of admission to trading	Issues	Shares	Issues	Principal amount		
Registered . Temporarily exempted from registration	2, 575 21	2, 837, 496, 642 4, 186, 725	964 7	1 \$19, 224, 375, 537 70, 098, 000		
tered exchanges. Listed on exempted exchanges. Admitted to unlisted trading privileges on ex-	895 124	1,879,267,852 113,206,614	97 8	1, 598, 058, 596 26, 447, 000		
empted exchanges	42	11, 192, 108	1	140,000		

¹ This principal amount does not include the aggregate amount of £29,122,620 of 8 foreign bond issues. The issues themselves, however, are counted under bond issues.

¹ Almost all of such issues consist of issues registered on an exchange which are also admitted to unlisted trading on other exchanges, amounting to 542 stock issues aggregating 1,524,790,273 shares and 12 bond issues aggregating \$766,225,400 in principal amount.

Table 15.—Stock and bond issues admitted to trading on each exchange, including the number of issuers, the basis for admission to trading, and the percentage traded on other exchanges, as of June 30, 1948

	Ì					Stoc	ks			Bonds						
Name of exchange	Total issuers	Total issues	Bs	Basis of admission to trading t				Total	Percent traded on	Basis of admission to trading 1					Total	Percent traded on one or more other ex-
			R	x	υ	ХГ	ХU	stocks	one or more other ex- changes	R	x	U	ХL	хu	bonds	changes
Baltimore Boston Chicago Board of Trade	57 332 26	79 387 28	38 120 23	3 2	22 243 5			63 365 28	57. 1 85. 2 57. 1	12 22		4			16 22	50. (63. (
Chicago Stock	270 80 85 14	324 97 99 14	275 62 70	2 1	40 30 29	14		317 93 99 14	70. 3 50. 5 70. 7 21. 4	7 3	1				7 4	71. 4 100. 6
Detroit Honolulu ² Los Angeles Minneapolis-St. Paul ²	181 85 217 12	191 99 246 15	108 136	ī	103	54	37	191 91 240 15	85. 8 26. 3 88. 3 73. 3		1		7	1	8 6	100. (
New Orleans New York Curb New York Stock Philadelphia	13 780 1,243 415	19 931 2,329 500	2 442 1, 420 73	1 5 4	14 376 376			16 819 1, 425 453	25. 0 28. 2 49. 9 96. 2	1 20 900	3 4	2 89			3 112 904	33. (7.) 8. :
Pittsburgh Richmond ³ Rt. Louis	109 21 45	122 27 53	54 46		66	26		120 26 50	81.6 19.2 44.0	47 2 3			1		47 2 1 3	100.
Salt Lake San Francisco Mining San Francisco Stock	98 44 289 27	99 45 352 29	93 45 180 21	1 4	150 8			99 45 334 29	9.1 13.3 76.3 27.5	16		2			18	100. (
Washington, D. C Wheeling ²	32 18	49 20	31	9		17	3	40 20	20. 0 50. 0	8					9	55.

¹ R—Registered; X—temporarily exempted from registration; U—admitted to unlisted trading privileges on a registered national securities exchange; XL—listed on an exempted exchange; XU—admitted to unlisted trading privileges on an exempted exchange.
² These 5 exchanges are exempted from registration as a national securities exchange.

Issues exempted under sec. 3 (a) (12) of the act, such as obligations of the United States, States, counties, cities, and United States-owned corporations, are not shown in this table.

Table 16.—Number of issues admitted to unlisted trading pursuant to clauses 2 and 3 of sec. 12 (f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and volume of transactions therein 1

[Stock volumes in shares; bond volumes in dollars of principal amount]

	Number	of issues	37.3	Percent of total 1947	Aggregate volume
Name of stock exchange	Admit- ted total	Remain- ing June 30, 1948	Volume reported for the calendar year 1947	total 1947 volume on each exchange in stocks and bonds respectively 3 12.9 11 22.2 20 32.9 16.4 21 5.0 0 1.7 3 12.7 9 13.2 9 13.6 10.0 0 .1 14 6.3 8 5.8	reported for the calendar years 1937 to 1947; inclusive
Stocks pursuant to clause 2:]	,
Boston	82	2 76	542,673	12.9	3, 619, 800
Chicago.	40	39	1, 528, 461	22.2	9, 269, 346
Cincinnati	30	30	113,460	32.9	681,039
Cleveland	29	29	106,692	16.4	733, 865
Detroit	71	68	489, 461	14.4	3, 104, 918
Los Angeles	63	61	496, 282	5.0	3, 544, 605
New York Curb	6	4	1, 466, 110	1.7	5, 688, 990
Philadelphia.	l 97	8 91	502, 983	12.7	2, 343, 401
Pittsburgh	56	4 49	126, 309	13 2	1, 309, 740
Pittsburgh St. Louis	5	5.4	27, 513	10.0	49, 414
Salt Lake	1	1 1	1 6 100) 1	35, 633
San Francisco Stock	38	6.36	638, 904	6.3	2, 696, 895
Wheeling	6	73	408	5.8	15, 564
Total	524	491	6, 045, 356		33, 093, 210
Stocks pursuant to clause 3:					
Chicago	I	1 1		. 	13, 986
New York Curb	7	6	650, 065	. 7	1, 931, 851
Total stocks	* 532	¥ 498	6, 695, 421		35, 039, 047
Panda pursuant to electro 9:					
Bonds pursuant to clause 2:	1	1 1			
Los Angeles New York Curb	3	1	\$818,000		\$12, 955, 000
San Francisco Stock	3	2	739,900		2, 276, 200
Bonds pursuant to clause 3:	,		730, 900	100.0	2,510,200
New York Curb	42	16	\$9, 201, 000	ه ۱	\$123, 929, 000
NOW TOLK ORLD	42	10	- φυ, 20 ι, 000		p. 23, 828, 000
Total bonds	10 49	20	10, 758, 900	<u></u>	139, 160, 200

For enactment of clauses 2 and 3 and procedure thereunder, see tenth annual report under "Unlisted trading privileges on securities exchanges." For volume reported in each of the years 1937 through 1944, see eleventh annual report appendix table 18. For subsequent volumes, see tables in subsequent reports.
 2 Only odd-lot trading is permitted in 6 of these issues.
 3 Only odd-lot trading is permitted in 1 of these issues.
 4 Only odd-lot trading is permitted in 3 of these issues.
 5 Only odd-lot trading is permitted in these 4 issues.
 5 San Francisco Stock Exchange figures include San Francisco Curb Exchange figures prior to the 1938 merger.

merger.

Wheeling Stock Exchange is an exempted exchange. All other exchanges shown are registered exchanges.

34 of these issues had been removed as of June 30, 1948.

This figure includes duplications arising from admission of various issues to unlisted trading on more than 1 exchange. The net number of issues admitted as of June 30, 1948, was 260 pursuant to clauses 2 and 6 pursuant to clause 3. 10 29 of these issues had been removed to June 30, 1948, principally on account of redemptions.

Table 17

Part 1.--ELECTRIC UTILITY PROPERTIES AND SECURITIES DIVESTED BY REGISTERED HOLDING COMPANY SYSTEMS,
JULY 1, 1947, TO JUNE 30, 1948

PART 1.—ELEUTR	IC OTILITY	JULY 1, 1947, TO JUN		REGISTERED I	OLDING COMPANY SYSTEM	45,
System Company	Total assets of divested subsidiary	Details of divestment	Consider- ation received	Approximate date of divest- ment	Comments	Source of information
American Power & Light Co.: Pacific Power & Light Co.	(2)	Sale of electric utility properties in Clark County, Washington, by Pacific Power & Light Co. to Clark County Public	\$4, 837, 500	February 1948	Properties sold no longer subject to the act.	Rule U-44.
American State Utilities Corp.: Edison Sault Electric Co.	\$3, 484, 488	Utility District No. 1. Distribution of 121,998 shares of common stock of Edison Sault Electric Co. (65 percent of total outstanding) to preferred stockholders of parent and distribution of 66,326 shares (35 percent) to the common stockholders of parent, American States Utilities Corp. in liquidation.	(3)	March 1948	No longer subject to the act	Release 7721.
American Water Works & Elec- tric Co., Inc.: West Penn Elec- tric Co.	364, 345, 600	Distribution of 2,343,105 shares of the new common stock of West Penn Power Co. (all of such stock outstanding) to the com- mon stockholders of parent, American Water Works & Electric Co. in liquida- tion.	(3)	January 1948	Continues subject to the act as a registered holding company.	Release 7986.
Cities Service Co.: Public Service Co. of New Mexico.	17, 893, 784	Sale of 339,639 shares of common stock of Public Service Co. of New Mexico (65 percent of the total outstanding) to under- writers, for public offering.	4, 180, 956	April 1948	No longer subject to the act	Release 8067, 8113.
Commonwealth & Southern Corp.: South Carolina Power Co.	4 33, 590, 370		10, 200, 000	March 1948	do	Release 8080.
East Coast Public Service Co.: East Coast Electric Co.	3, 251, 510	Distribution of 90,000 shares of common stock of East Coast Electric Co. (85.71 percent of total outstanding) to the com- mon stockholders of East Coast Public Service Co. parent company in liquida- tion.	(3)	Dec. 30, 1947	do	Release 7748.
Eastern Minnesota Power Corp	(1)	Sale of all physical assets to 9 cooperative associations of the State of Minnesota.	1, 530, 000	January 1948	Properties sold no longer subject to the act.	Release 7441.

Table 17—Continued Part 1.—ELECTRIC UTILITY PROPERTIES AND SECURITIES DIVESTED BY REGISTERED HOLDING COMPANY SYSTEMS, JULY 1, 1947, TO JUNE 30, 1948—Continued

System Company	Total assets of divested subsidiary	Details of divestment	Consider- ation received	Approximate date of divest- ment	Comments	Source of infor- mation
Engineers Public Service Co.: El Paso Electric Co	\$116, 119, 802	Distribution of 381,994 shares of common stock of El Paso Electric Co. (all of such stock outstanding) to common stock-	(3)	July 21, 1947	No longer subjectto the act	Release 7119.
Virginia Electric & Power Co.	163, 554, 569	holders of Engineers Public Service Co. parent company in liquidation. Distribution of 2,769,464 shares of common stock of Virginia Electric & Power Co. (94,25 percent of the total outstanding) to common stockholders of Engineers Pub- lic Service Co. parent company in liquida-	(4)	do	do	Do.
International Hydro-Electric System: New England Power Association.	463, 463, 558	tion. Exchange of preferred and common stocks of New England Power Association and of 4 of its former subsidiaries for new common under a plan of corporate simplification whereby International Hydro-Electric System, parent received 534,157 shares (7.97 percent of the total outstanding).	(2)	June 3, 1947	Continues subject to the act as a registered holding company.	Release 6470.
Middle West Corp.: Central Illinois Public Serv- ice Co.	110, 093, 355	Distribution of 1,655,913 shares of common stock of Central Illinois Public Service Co. (74 percent of the total outstanding) to the common stockholders of parent. Middle	(3)	February 1948	No longer subject to the act	Release 7986.
Oklahoma Power & Water Co.	8, 754, 409	West Corp. in liquidation. Sale of 23,141 shares of common stock of Oklahoma Power & Water Co. (all of such stock outstanding) to Public Service Co. of Oklahoma, a subsidiary of Central & Southwest Corp., a registered holding company.	\$1,750,000	January 1948	Continues subject to the act as subsidiary of a registered hold- ing company.	Release 7942.
North American Co.: Potomac Electric Power Co	126, 469, 009	_ ,	(3)	Dec. 22, 1947	No longer subject to the act	Release 7848.

Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	251, 092, 974	Distribution of approximately 1,624,787 shares of common stock of Wisconsin Electric Power Co. (61.06 percent of the total out- standing) to the common stockholders of	(3)	do	Company filed statement pur- suant to rule U-2 claiming exemption as a holding com- pany.	Do.
Ogden Corp.; Interstate Power Co.	49, 802, 409	North American Co., parent company in liquidation. Deposit in escrow of 944,96! shares of the new common stock of Interstate Power Co. (63 percent of the total outstanding) pending distribution to Interstate Power Co.'s tunior security holders other than common	3, 635, 505	March 1948	Continues subject to the act as a registered holding company.	Release 7855.
Portland Electric Power Co.: Portland General Electric Co.	87, 392, 001	stockholders and sale of 555,039 shares (37 percent) to underwriters for public offering. Distribution of 1,000,000 shares of the new common stock of Portland General Electric Co. (all such stock outstanding) to the bondholders and preferred-stock	(3)	February 1948	Continues subject to the act as a registered holding company.	Release 7057.
Public Service Corp. of New Jersey: Public Service Electric & Gas Co.	571, 661, 155	holders of Portland Electric Power Co. in liquidation. Exchange of 5,503,193 sbares of the new common stock of Public Service Electric & Gas Co. (all of such stock outstanding) with the common-stock holders of parent, Public Service Corp. of New Jersey, a subsidiary of United Corp., a registered	(3)	June 30, 1948	Continues subject to the act as a subsidiary of a registered holding company.	Release 7964, 8002.
Republic Service Corp.: Mauch Chunk Heat, Power & Electric Light Co. Renovo Edison Light, Heat & Power Co.	368, 088 397, 984	Sale of all the capital stock of Mauch Chunk and Renovo to Pennsylvania Power & Light Co., nonaffiliate.	⁸ 674, 581	Oct.[1, 1947	No longer subject to the act	Release 7749.
Susquehanna Utilities Co.: Conowingo Power Co Southern Pennsylvania Power Co.	2, 596, 010 1, 557, 438	(Distribution of all the capital stock of the 2 companies to parent, Philadelphia Electric Co., in liquidation of Susquehanna	(3)	February 1948	Philadelphia Electric Co. has filed statement claiming ex- emption as a holding company pursuant to rule U-2.	Release 7986.
United Light & Railways Co.: Detroit Edison Co.	434, 215, 801	Utilities Co. Sale of 450,000 shares of common stock of Detroit Edison Co. (6.4 percent of the total outstanding) to underwriters for public offering.	8, 878, 500	April 1948	No longer subject to the act	Release 8125.

Table 17—Continued Part 1.—ELECTRIC UTILITY PROPERTIES AND SECURITIES DIVESTED BY REGISTERED HOLDING COMPANY SYSTEMS, JULY 1, 1947, TO JUNE 30, 1948—Continued

System Company	Total assets of divested subsidiary	Details of divestment	Consider- ation received	Approximate date of divest- ment	Comments	Source of infor- mation
United Public Utilities Corp.: Bradford & Gettysburg Electric Light & Power Co., The. Brookville & Lewisburg Lighting Co., The. Buckeye Light & Power Co., Thc. Eaton Lighting Co., The. Greenville Electric Light & Power Co., The. New Madison Lighting Co., The.	\$367, 668 142, 563 1, 132, 790 246, 320 1, 963, 412 32, 667	Sale of all outstanding stocks, bonds, and notes of the 8 companies to Dayton Power & Light Co., a nonaffiliate.	*\$8, 100, 000	February 1948	No longer subject to the act	Release 7984.
PART 2.—GAS UTILITY PROPERTIES AND SECURITIES DIVESTED BY REGISTERED HOLDING COMPANY SYSTEMS, JULY 1, 1947, TO JUNE 30, 1948						
Central Public Utility Co.: Atlanta Gas Light Co.	\$25, 308, 675	Exchange of the publicly held preferred stock of Consolidated Electric & Gas Co. for 802,553 shares of new common stock (all of such stock outstanding) of Atlanta Gas Light Co.	(3)	November 1947.	No longer subject to the act	Release 7691.
Commonwealth & Southern	(1)	Sale of gas utility properties to city of Pensa-	\$1, 900. 000	April 1948	Properties sold no longer subject	Release 8163.
Corp.: Gulf Power Co. Community Gas & Power Co.: Minneapolls Gas Light Co.	28, 608, 826	cola, Fla., by Gulf Power Co. Distribution of 872,306 shares of new common stock of Minneapolis Gas Light Co. (80 percent of total outstanding) to bond-holders of parent, American Gas & Power Co. and 218,076 shares (20 percent) to common stockholders of parent of which latter amount 39,384 shares (3.8 percent) were received by Community Gas & Power Co., parent of American Gas & Power Co., parent of American Gas & Power Co.,	(3)	June 1948	to the act. Continues subject to the act as a registered holding company.	Release 7131.
General Public Utilities Corp.: Pennsylvania Electric Co.	(1)	barent of American Cas & Power Co. Sale of manufactured gas properties in boroughs of Lewiston, Huntingdon, and Shippensburg, Pa., to John H. Ware 3d, by Pennsylvania Electric Co.	185, 000	January 1948	Properties sold no longer subject to the act.	Release 7961.

Middle West Corp.: Oklahoma Power & Water Co.	(3)	Sale of gas utility properties by Oklahoma Power & Water Co. to Oklahoma Natural Gas Co.	850,000	August 1947	do	Release 7625.
North American Co.: Northern Natural Gas Co.	85, 523, 476	Sale of 710,500 shares of common stock of Northern Natural Gas Co. (35 percent of the total outstanding) to underwriters for public offering.	18, 330, 900	Dec. 16, 1947	Continues subject to the act as a registered holding company.	Release 7889, 7930.
Public Service Corp. of New Jersey:		, -				
County Gas Co		County Gas Co. (86.5 percent of the total outstanding) to James J. Abrams, Jr., New York.		-	No longer subject to the act	
South Jersey Gas Co	15, 468, 287	Distribution of all the common stock of South Jersey Gas Co. to the common stockholders of Public Service Corp. of New Jersey, a subsidiary of United Corp., a registered holding company.		June 1948	Continues subject to the act as a subsidiary of a registered holding company.	Release 8002.
United Gas Improvement Co.: Hartford Gas Co	11, 464, 593	Exchange of 31,840 shares (21.3 percent of the total outstanding) of the common stocks of Hartford Gas Co., and 273,821	(3)	February 1948	No longer subject to the act	Release 7913.
New Haven Gas Light Co	11, 338, 467	stocks of Hartford Gas Co., and 273,821 shares (99,5 percent of total outstanding) of the common stock of Hartford Gas Co. for the preferred stocks of Connecticut Gas & Coke Securities Co., parent of these two companies, and subsidiary of United Gas Improvement Co., a registered hold-				
United Public Utilities Corp.: Western Ohio Public Service Co.	1,075,448	ing company. Sale of all the common stock and outstanding indebtedness of Western Ohio Public Service Co., to Dayton Power & Light Co., a nonaffiliate.	Į.	do	do	Release 7984.
PART 3.—NONUTILITY PROF	ERTIES A	ND SECURITIES DIVESTED BY REG	STERED I	HOLDING COM	PANY SYSTEMS, JULY 1, 194	7, to JUNE 30, 1948
American Gas & Electric Co.: Indiana Service Corp.	(2)	Sale of transit properties in Fort Wayne, Ind., to Fort Wayne Transit, Inc., by Indiana Service Corp.		March 1948	Properties sold no longer subject to the act.	Rule U-44 (c), File No. 70-1777.
American States Utilities Corp.: Southern California Water Co.	\$13, 756, 526	Exchange of 40,666 shares of the common stock of Southern California Water Co. (65 percent of the total outstanding) with preferred stockholders of parent, American States Utilities Corp. and distribution of 22,108 shares (35 percent) to the common stockholders of parent.		do	No longer subject to the act	Release 7721.

Table 17—Continued PART 3.—NONUTILITY PROPERTIES AND SECURITIES DIVESTED BY REGISTERED HOLDING COMPANY SYSTEMS. JULY 1, 1947, TO JUNE 30, 1948-Continued

System Company	any Total assets of divested subsidiary 1		Consider- ation received	Approximate date of divest- ment	date of divest- Comments	
American Water Works & Electric Co., Inc., American Water Works Co., Inc.	\$186, 065, 195	Sale of 620,462 shares of common stock of American Water Works Co., Inc., (22.94 percent of total outstanding) through sub- scription warrants issued to the common stockholders of parent and 1,902,476 shares (70.34 percent to underwriters for public offering.	\$18, 922, 035	Oct, 1947	No longer subject to the act	Release 7208.
Commonwealth & Southern Corp.; Alabama Power Co.	(4)	Sale by Alabama Power Co., of bus trans- portation system and properties serving the city of Tuscaloosa to Mare Clay Clement.	206, 100	do	Properties sold no longer subject to the act.	Release 7730.
Republic Service Corp.: Renovo Heating Co.	109, 205	Sale of all the capital stock of Renovo Heat- ing Co. to Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	(1)	Oct. 1, 1947	No longer subject to the act	Release 7749.
United Corp.: Niagara Junction Ry. Co.	1,998,805	Sale of all the common stock outstanding of Nisgara Junction Ry. Co. to New York Central R. R. Co., Lehigh Valley R. R. Co., and Eric R. R. Co.	1, 000, 000	January 1948	Properties sold no longer subject to the act.	U-44 (c),
Portland Electric Power Co: Cazadero Real Estate Co.	310, 366	Distribution of assets to Portland General Electric Co.	(4)	February 1948	Continues subject to the act	Release 7057.

¹ Total assets of each divested subsidiary are the assets as of Dec. 31 of the year preceding such divestment. Where divestment was effected by a piecemeal sale of properties, the assets of the year end prior to the first major sale were used.

² Partial sale of property. Outgoing book value not available where property sold to

public bodies.

* Liquidation of claim.

Assets as of Aug. 31, 1947.
Included in consideration paid for Mauch Chunk Heat, Power & Electric Light Co. and Renovo Edison Light, Heat and Power Co.
6 Consideration included in the \$8,109,000 consideration received from Dayton Power & Light Co. for the sale of 6 electric companies.

FOURTEENTH ANNUAL REPORT

Table 18.—Utility and other properties subject to divestment under sec. 11 (b) (1) orders outstanding as of June 30, 1948

System and company	Total assets as of Dec. 31, 1947, of companies ordered divested		Nature of business	State of operation	Holding Company Act	Date of order
bystem and sompany	Individual companies	System totals		-,,	release number	
American Gas & Electric Co		\$60, 212, 528			6333	Dec. 28, 1945
Atlantic City Electric Co.	\$59, 378, 671		Electric, heat	New Jerseydodo		,
Deepwater Operating Co	800, 750		Electric generating	do		
South Pennsgrove Realty Co	33, 107		Real estate	do		
Cities Service Co.1		132, 886, 921		l	4489	Aug. 17, 1943
Arkansas-Louisiana Gas Co	48, 323, 709		Gas	Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas	5028	May 5, 1944
Dominion Natural Gas Co., Ltd	2 25, 033, 050		do,	Canada	5350	Oct. 12,1944
Doninhan County Light & Power Co., The	27, 153		Electric	Kansas	į.	
Electric Advisers, Inc	1 158 346		Mutual service		İ	
Gas Advisers, Inc	58,830		do			
Gas Service Co., The	46, 964, 348		Gas	Kansas, Oklahoma, Ne-		
D. 111 7114 T (D) (C) To-	10 500 004		do	braska, Missouri New York		
Republic Light, Heat & Power Co., Inc	10, 739, 224		do	Washington	į	
Spokane tras & Fuel Co	1, 490, 001		do	Kansas		
Tri-City Gas Co., The Columbia Gas System, Inc	80, 580		ao	Kuusas	5455	Nov. 30, 1944
Bridge Gas Co	2 86 881	55, 601	Oas transmission	Ohio, Kentucky	0400	1404. 50, 1544
Commonwealth & Southern Corp., The	* 50, 551	666, 867, 425	Gas Gallsinission	Onio, Renducky	7615	Aug. 1,1947
Alabama Damas Co. (transportation properties only)	NY A	000, 501, 120	Electric, gas, transportation_	Alabama	1010	11ug. 1,101,
Alabama Power Co. (transportation properties only) Central Illinois Light Co	3 60 380 300		Electric, gas, and heating	Illinois		
Cherokee Land Co.	101 524		Land	Delaware		,
Consumers Power Co.	345 464 274		Electric, gas, heating, water	Michigan		
Georgia Power Co. (gas and transportation properties	3 19 645 895		Electric, gas, transportation.	Georgia		
only).	20, 520, 505					
Gulf Power Co. (gas and transportation properties only)	8 1, 094, 454		do	Florida		
Gulf Power Co. (gas and transportation properties only) Michigan Gas Storage Co	12, 851, 114		Natural gas production and	Michigan		
			transmission.			
Ohio Edison Co	171, 567, 340			Obio	!	
••		1	pany. Electric		1	
Pennsylvania Power Co. Southern Indiana Gas & Electric Co.	26, 705, 528		Electric	Pennsylvania		
Southern Indiana Gas & Electric Co	29, 047, 897		Electric, gas, transportation,	Indiana	1	
			heat, water.		4,	TO-1- 10 1040
Federal Water & Gas Corp		61, 143, 486				Feb. 10, 1943
Scranton-Spring Brook Water Service Co	61, 143, 486	l	Water, gas, holding com-	Pennsylvania	1	l .

Table 18.—Utility and other properties subject to divestment under sec. 11 (b) (1) orders outstanding as of June 30, 1948—Continued

System and company	Total assets as of Dec. 31, 1947, of companies ordered divested		Nature of business	State of operation	Holding Company Act	Date of order
byatem and company	Individual companies	System totals		State of operation	release number	Date of ot del
General Public Utilities Corp. (formerly Associated Gas & Electric Co.).		\$110, 810, 578		1	3729	Aug. 13, 1942
Jersey Central Power & Light Co	\$86, 793, 427		Electric, gas	New Jersey	5601	Feb. 9, 1945
Staten Island Edison Corp	24, 017, 151	254, 446, 346	Electric	New York	5888	 June 28, 1945
Koppers Co., Inc. Eastern Gas & Fuel Associates and subsidiaries	4 254, 446, 346	204, 440, 340	Coal, coke, gas	Virginia, Kentucky, Penn- sylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts.	6741	
Middle West Corp., The	<u> </u>	100, 094, 846	\ _	Massachusetta.	4846	Jan. 25, 1944
Middle West Corp., The Beloit Water Power Co	N. A.		Inactive	l		
Bureau of Safety	35, 774	1	Service company		.[
Great Lakes Power Co., Ltd Illinois Stock Transfer Co.	14, 430, 311		Electric	Ontario, Canada	.[-
Illinois Stock Transfer Co	17, 431		Service company			
Insurance trust fund	1 863, 871		do		.	İ
International Transit Co., The	389, 376		Ferry, railway	Ontario, Canada, Michigan.	·	
Middle West Service Co.	112,040		Molding company		1	\
Middle West Utilities Co. of Canada, Ltd Northern Public Service Corp., Ltd	1 2 072 872		Heat	Manitoba, Canada	1	i
Old Dominion Ice Corp.	165 173		Ico	Virginia	1	
South Beloit Water, Gas & Electric Co	983 003		Electric, gas, water	Illinois	1	
United Public Service Corp	235, 742		Holding company	1	1	1
Winnipeg Heating Co., Ltd	1, 049, 333	1	Heat	Manitoba, Canada	i	
Winnipeg Heating Co., Ltd. Wisconsin Power & Light Co.			Electric, gas, water, bus,	Wisconsin		
North American Co., The		338, 219, 064	Y81_4 J.		3405	Apr. 14, 1942
Capital Transit Co.	250, 214		Electric		·	}
Central Terminal Co.	1			land.		,
Champuign and Heberg Gos Light & Coke Co	0,000,487		Inactive		1	
Champuign and Urbana Gas Light & Coke Co	197 160		Amusement park	Maryland	1	}
Hevi-Duty Electric Co.	839, 091		Electric furnace construc-	Wisconsin		
Illinois Power Co.			Electric, gas, heat, water, ice, transportation, hold-	Illinois		
Kansas Electric Power Co., The			i my company	Kansas		
Kansas Power & Light Co., The	71,090,783		Electric, gas, heat, water, i.e., transportation,	do		

Kewance Public Service Co	2, 761, 169 20, 908, 544		Electric, gas. Electric, gas, heat, water, ice.	Illinois. Missouri		
Montgomery Bus Line, Inc	35, 811			Maryland		
North American Light & Power Co.	54, 728, 201		Holding company			
North American Utility Securities Corp	5, 036, 389		Investment.			
St. Bernard Coal Co	10,000		Coal sales		· I	
West Kentucky Coal Co. (Delaware)	33, 465		do			
West Kentucky Coal Co. (New Jersey)	22, 033, 088		Coal	Kentucky	1	
Ogden Corp		2, 368, 306			4307	May 20, 1943
Central States Power & Light Corp.	2, 341, 506		Inactive	Iowa, Minnesota		
Central States Utilities Corp. Standard Gas & Electric Co.	* 26, 800		Holding company	 	l l	
Standard Gas & Electric Co.		442, 148, 727			8242	June 1, 1948
Equitable Gas Co	11, 112, 190		Qas	Pennsylvania	2929	Aug. 8, 1941
Finleyville Oil & Gas Co	955, 578		Gas production	do		
Horseshoe Lake Oil & Gas Co	7, 631		Oil and gas	Oklahoma		
Kentucky, West Virginia Gas Co	28, 068, 703		Gas production	West Virginia and Ken-		
	.			tucky.		
Louisville Gas & Electric Co. (Delaware)	1 24, 691, 916		Holding company			
Louisville Gas & Electric Co. (Kentucky) and subsidi- aries.				Ohio.		•
Market Street Ry. Co	3, 614, 835			California		
Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co.	97, 833, 995		Electric	i Oklahoma, Arkansas		
Philadelphia Oil Co	2, 537, 616		Petroleum products	West Virginia		
Pittsburgh & West Virginia Gas Co	6 25, 365, 943		Gas, holding company	do		
Pittsburgh Railways Co. and subsidiaries	93, 158, 949		Railways	Pennsylvania		
Wisconsin Public Service Corp. and subsidiaries	77, 852, 711		∫Gas, bus	Wisconsin, Michigan		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Electric	[{		
United Light & Railways Co., The		195, 076, 720			2923-3189	Aug. 5, 1941;
				l		Dec. 15, 1941
Madison Gas & Electric Co	15, 377, 063		Electric, gas	Wisconsin		
Mason City and Clear Lake R. R. Co.	916,812		Railway, bus	l lowa	1	
Michigan Consolidated Gas Co.	122, 925, 314		Gas	Michigan	1	
Michigan-Wisconsin Pipe Line Co.	N.A.		Natural gas pipe line	Delaware		
Milwaukee Gas Light Co	41, 958, 379		Gas	Wisconsin		
Milwaukee Solvay Coke Co.						
Washington Gas & Electric Co.	z-: z=- <u>z=</u>	2, 498, 703			6955	Oct. 22, 1946
Southern Utah Power Co	2, 498, 703		Electric	Utah		
Total properties subject to divestment orders 8	2, 366, 829, 201	1		ļ		
	' ' ' '					

¹ Cities Service Co. has elected to divest itself of all its utility holdings under an alternative granted it.

Note.-Inactive companies with little or no assets are excluded.

Assets as of Dec. 31, 1945.

Assets of only properties subject to divestment.

Assets as of Dec. 31, 1946.

Dollar figure computed on basis of 93 cents per Canadian dollar.
 Corporate assets less investment in subsidiary companies whose assets are listed separately.

[†] Corporate assets only. Since these assets are largely investments in subsidiary companies, they are excluded from the aggregate amounts ordered divested.

[§] In tables 18 and 19 there is a duplication of subsidiary companies in two holding company systems which are affected by both sec. 11 (b) (1) and sec. 11 (b) (2) orders. The aggregate amount of the duplication of assets is approximately \$406,000,000.

Table 19.—Public utility holding companies subject to dissolution or liquidation and subsidiaries subject to divestment under sec. 11 (b) (2) orders outstanding as of June 30, 1948*

-	Total assets as	of Dec. 31, 1947			Holding Com-	
System and company	Individual System companies totals		Nature of business	State of operation	pany Act release num- ber**	Date of order
American Power & Light Co	* \$13, 186, 897 * 144, 019, 945	l '' '	Holding company Electric, gas	Florida	3750	Aug. 22, 1942
Utilities Land Co	906, 926		Real estate Electric	do Kansas		
Minnesote Power & Light Co	167 070 099		Electric, heat	Minnesota, Wisconsin	.1	ĺ
Superior Water, Light & Power Co	7, 216, 098		Electric, gas, water Electric, gas, heat, water	Wisconsin Montana	-	
Superior Water, Light & Power Co. Montana Power Co., The Pacific Power & Light Co.	74, 916, 750		Electric, gas, neat, water Electric, water, heat, holding company.	Washington, Oregon		
R. S. & C. Irrigation Co., Inc.	6, 295		Irrigation Inactive	Washington		
Pike Rapids Power Co., The Portland Gas & Coke Co.	34, 327, 488		Gas	Oregon, Washington		
Texas Utilities Co	45, 823, 289		Electric	Teyns	1	
Dallas Power & Light Co Texas Electric Service Co	64, 316, 892		đo	do	.1	
Tevas Power & Light Co	92, 939, 287		Inactive	do	4	
Topeka Land Co	322, 797 354, 947		Inactivedo		1	
Columbia Highlands Lo	202,496		do		.1	
Limestone, Co., The	123, 050		do	TT	.[.	
Limestone, Co., The Washington Water Power Co., The Electric Power & Light Corp	19, 952, 508	711, 416, 997	Electric, water, heat	Washington, Idaho Arkansas	3750	Aug. 22.1942
Arkansas Power & Light Co	7 90, 508, 328	l	Electric, gas, heat	Arkansas	3100	Mug. 22,1072
Capital Transportation Co. Gentilly Development Co., Inc. Louisiana Power & Light Co.	3, 337, 467	l	Transportation	do	.1	
Gentilly Development Co., Inc.	1, 279, 923		Real estate	Louisianado		
Louisiana Power & Light Co	52 121 022		Electric, gas, transportation. Electric, gas, water	Mississippi		
Mississippi Power & Light Co New Orleans Public Service, Inc.	97, 725, 280		Electric, gas, transportation.	Louisiana		
United Gas Corp	1 63, 379, 821		Gas, holding company	Louisiana, Mississippi, Texas.		
Atlantic Gulf Co.			Gas pipe line	Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, South Carolina.		
Duval Texas Sulphur Co Mississippi River Fuel Corp	4, 981, 203		Salphur	Texas	.)	
Mississippi River Fuel Corp	27, 663, 816		Gas (mbalacala) all	Mississippi	·	
Union Producing Co	1 ' '		Gas (wholesale), oil	Texas, Louisiana, Missis-		
United Gas Pipe Line Co	178, 743, 113		Gas (wholesale), gasoline	Texas, Louisiana, Missis- sippi, Alabama, Florida.		Ì

International Hydro-Electric System	1 78, 829, 019	183, 357, 919	Holding company		3679	July 21, 1942
Corinth Electric Light & Power Co	172, 421	,,	Electric	New York		
Eastern New York Power Corp	30, 585, 798		Electric, real estate	New York, New Hamp-		
- 1, - 1, - 6, - 11		l .		shire, Maine.		
Indian River Co., The			Water storage	New York		
Winnipiseogee Lake Cotton & Woolen Manufacturing	211, 567		do	New Hampshire		
Co Co.	*** *** ***		777	la , '		
Gatineau Power Co			Electric, holding company	Canada		
Gatineau Bus Co., Ltd.	* ° 320, 010		Transportation	do		
Gatineau Electric Light Co., Ltd. Gatineau Transmission Co.	8 1, 137, 040		Electric	do		
Gatineau Transmission Co	1, 256, 402			do		
Ottawa River Development Co	0 39, 566		Inactive			
Saint John Realty Co	1 6 441, 160		do			
Saint John River Power Co	8 8 1, 400		do			ļ
Saint John River Storage Co	8 8 918, 875		Water storage	Canada		l <u>-</u>
National Power & Light Co	¹ 11, 355, 006	40, 182, 699	Holding company		2962	Aug. 23, 1941
Lehigh Valley Transit Co	2 18, 860, 092		Electric, transportation	Pennsylvania		* /
Allentown Bridge Co.			1 '1'011 Dridge	l		
Easton Transit Co	637, 544		Leases transportation prop-	do		
	,		erty.			
Easton and South Bethlehem Transportation Co	92, 270		Transportation	do		[
Lehigh Valley Transportation Co.	1, 585, 706		do	do		
Norristown Transit Co	208, 139		Leases transportation prop-	do		!
	,		ertv.			
Memphis Generating Co	5, 615, 961		Electric (wholesale)	Tennessee		
Memphis Street R. R. Co., The	12,628,095		Transportation.	do		
New England Public Service Co.	14, 784, 848	221, 909, 908	Holding company		2737	May 2, 1941
Central Maine Power Co.	9 125, 357, 004		Electric gas	Maine		
Cumberland Securities Corp	51, 171	l	Real estate, securities	do		(
Kennebec Water Power Co	N.A.		Water storage	do		
Nepsco Appliance Finance Corp.	6, 996		Mutual service company			i
Nepsco Services, Inc.	104 261		Subsidiary corving company	i .		
New England Pole & Treating Co.	183, 455		Pole treating, sales	Maine.		
Sebago Improvement Co	63, 465		Stream flow control	do		
Sebago Improvement Co. Skowhegan Water Power Co.	7 271 520		Water rights real estate	do		1
Central Vermont Public Service Corp	23 593 277		Electric, gas	Vermont		
Public Service Co. of New Hampshire	186 272 052		Electric, gas, transportation_			
Amoskeag Industries, Inc.	N A		Real estate)
Androscoggin Reservoir Co	679 247		Water storage	Maine		ì
Merrimack Power Co.	7 158 528		Undeveloped water power	do		1
Monthibaca Londt Correction	. 100, 000		sites.			
Penacook Electric Light Co.	7 67 440		do	New Hampshire		i
Profile Falls Power Co				Maine		
Properties, Inc.			Paglactata	New Hampshire		
Keen_Dovelopment Co	N A	[do	dodo		
Sunapee Dam Corp	N A		Water sterners	do.		ł
Swans Falls Co	011 DOD		Place surage	Maine		1
Weare Improvement & Reservoir Association	211,090		Mater et energii (1)	Nam Tananakin]
" care trabinacments of presentant vessions respectively	1 IX. A.	I	mater Storage	New Hampshire		i

Table 19.—Public utility holding companies subject to dissolution or liquidation and subsidiaries subject to divestment under sec. 11 (b) (2) orders outstanding as of June 30, 1948*—Continued

	Total assets as	of Dec. 31, 1947	,		Holding Com-	
System and company	Individual companies	System totals	Nature of business	State of operation	pany Act release num- ber**	Date of order
North American Light & Power Co. (The North American Co. System).	\$22,030,470	266, 864, 373	Holding company		3233	Dec. 30, 1941
Central Terminal Co.	6 506 437		Warehouse	Missouri	1	
Illinois Power Co	127, 315, 717		Electric, gas, heat, water,	Illinois		
Annoted to the contract of the	127,010,111		ice, transportation, hold-		i	
	İ	}	ing company.	l		
Kansas Power & Light Co., The	271,090,783		Electric, gas, heat, water,	Kansas	.Į	
Blue River Power Co., The	950 914		ice, transportation.	Delaware	į	
Kansas Electric Power Co., The	16 001 039			Kansas		
manage income to the total control and income	10,001,002		tation.	1		
Kewance Public Service Co	2, 761, 169			Illinois		Ì
Missouri Power & Light Co	20, 908, 544		Electric, gas, heat water, ice.	Missouri		
North Continent Utilities Corp	1 4, 208, 333	14, 235, 503	Holding company			Nov. 18, 1943
Denver Ice & Cold Storage Co., The	71, 635, 851		Ice, coal	Colorado	. 5928	July 11, 1945
Missouri Power & Light Co. North Continent Utilities Corp. Denver Ice & Cold Storage Co., The Fort Morgan Ice & Cold Storage Co. Western Rallways Ice Co.	460, 269		ice	dodo	·I .	
Great Falls Gas Co	1, 455, 010			Montana	· '	ł
Great Falls Gas Co Great Northern Gas Co., Ltd	794, 949		Gas.	J Ontario (Canada)		
Mosth Phase Clas Co. (Illinois)	1 0 505 955		do	Illinois	.1	
B. W. Shattuck Chemical Co., The. William A. Baehr Organization, Inc. Northern States Power Co. (Delaware)	290, 625		Refining ores	Colorado		
William A. Baehr Organization, Inc.	22, 850		Service company	}	.	
Northern States Power Co. (Delaware)	2 4, 617, 605 2 203, 892, 137	275, 045, 401	Holding company			Nov. 9,1946
Northern States Power Co. (Minnesota)	203, 892, 137		Electric, gas, heat, water, telephone holding com-		-	
		1	pany.		1 .	
Chippewa River Power & Fibre Co.	414.651	 -*	Real estate	Wisconsin	.1	
Chippewa Valley Construction Co	480, 651		do	do	.	
Interstate Light & Power Co. (Wisconsin) Interstate Light & Power Co. (Delaware)	1, 602, 155	(Electric, gas	do	.{	
Interstate Light & Power Co. (Delaware).	2, 591, 417		Electric, holding company	Illinois, Wisconsin	-	
Elizabeth Light & Power Co. (The) Interstate Light & Power Corp. (Illinois)	109,001		Electric Electric, gas	11llnoisdo	1	
Minneapolis Mill Co	1.948.863		Water power and dam site	uu		
Mississippi and Rum River Boom Co	141.774		Water rights and lands) '	ì
Northern States Power Co. (Wisconsin)	² 48, 069, 272		Electric, gas, heat, holding company.	Wisconsin, Minnesota	·] i	
Chippewa and Flambeau Improvement Co	1, 177, 977		Water storage	Wisconsin	.]	
Eau Claire Dells Improvement Co	799, 187		Electric power production	Wisconsin, Minnesota		
Saint Anthony Falls Water Power Co	3,940,532		Water power and dam site		.	

St. Croix Falls-Wisconsin Improvement Co. St. Croix Power Co. United Power & Land Co. Philadelphia Company and subsidiaries. Pittsburgh Railways Co. and subsidiaries.	801, 912 861, 418 9 398, 873, 293	529, 911, 363	West Virginia, Pennsyl-	8242	June 1	1, 1948
Other majority owned street railway subsidiaries.	37, 879, 121		 		}	
Total assets of subsidiary companies 3		3, 042, 000, 445	 	,		·

*The following additional holding companies have been ordered to liquidate:

Holding company	Corporate assets Dec. 31, 1947	Holding Com- pany Act release No.	Date of order
Standard Power & Light Corp	N. A.	3607	June 19, 1942
	\$102, 409, 874	4478	Aug. 14, 1943

The United Corp. was ordered to recapitalize on a 1-stock basis and cease to be a holding company. The status of its present subholding companies is subject to separate determination.

**Holding Company Act release number is given for each holding company subject to dissolution or liquidation under outstanding sec. 11 (b) (2) orders.

- Corporate assets only. Since these assets are largely investments in subsidiary companies, they are excluded from the system totals.
 Corporate assets less investments in subsidiary and affiliated companies whose assets
- are listed scoarately.
- 8 Assets as of Dec. 31, 1944.
- The Commission order required New England Public Service Co. to recapitalize on a 1-stock basis or, at its election, to liquidate. The company has stated its intention to file a plan to liquidate. Assets as of Dec. 31, 1945.

- Since these assets are included in the consolidated assets of Gatineau Power Co., they are excluded from the system total,
- Assets as of Dec. 31, 1946.

 In tables 18 and 19 there is a duplication of subsidiary companies in 2 holding company systems which are affected by both sec. 11 (b) (1) and sec. 11 (b) (2) orders. The aggre-

gate amount of the duplication of assets is approximately \$406,000,000.

Onsolidated assets less investments in Pittsburgh Rys. Co. and other majority owned street railway subsidiaries.

Table 20.—Number of applications and declarations received and disposed of during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948, under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935

Section and description	Number pending at beginning of fiscal year	Number filed	Number disposed of	Number pending at close of fiscal year
Secs, 2 and 3—Exemptions from provisions of the act	34	17	20	31
Secs. 6 and 7—Issuance and sale of securities, alterations of rights, assumptions of liability	96	360	394	62
Sec. 10—Acquisition of securities or other assets	48	176	194	30
Sec. 11 (b)—Proceedings instituted	62	l "i	- 1	62
Sec. 11 (e)—Plans for the simplification of registered		_	_	*-
holding companies or subsidiaries thereof	69	12	7	74
Sec. 11 (f)—Reorganization under sec. 77B of the Bank-		i		
ruptey Act	3			3
Secs. 11 (g) and 12 (e)—Solicitations of consents to trans-				
actions				
Sec. 12 (b) and rule U-45—Loans, extensions of credit,	21	22	29	14
donations, and capital contributions to associate		ام.	40	
companies Sec. 12 (c) and rule U-46—Payment of dividends out of	11	48	40	19
capital or unearned surplus.	11	21	22	10
Sec. 12 (c) and rule U-42—Acquisition, retirement, and	11	21	22	10
redemption of securities by issuer	40	48	53	35
Secs. 12 (d), 12 (f), and rules U-43, U-44—Sale of securi-	20	40	0-0	99
tles and utility assets	74	201	211	64
Sec. 13—Service company regulation	iô			9
Total	479	906	972	413

Table 21.—Reorganization cases instituted under ch. X and sec. 77-B in which the Commission filed a notice of appearance and in which the Commission actively participated during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

PART 1.-DISTRIBUTION OF DEBTORS BY TYPE OF INDUSTRY

	Number of debtors		Total	assets	Total indebtedness		
Industry	Princi- pal	Subsid- iary	Amount (thousands of dollars)	Percent of grand total	Amount (thousands of dollars)	Percent of grand total	
Agricultural Mining and other extractive Manufacturing Financial and investment Merchandising Real estate Construction and allied Transportation and communication Service Utilities: Light, power, and gas Other: Religious, charitable, etc	3 9 5 1 40 10 7 9	1 4 1 1 3 5	6, 111 27, 278 91, 529 1, 135 235, 765 320, 354 25, 470 1, 037, 032	0. 36 1. 56 5. 25 . 06 13. 51 18. 36 1. 46 59. 44	4, 212 17, 301 54, 125 981 232, 775 294, 296 16, 756 510, 102	0. 36 1. 55 4. 76 . 08 20. 58 26. 03 1. 54 45. 11	
Grand total	84	21	1, 744, 674	100.00	1, 130, 548	100.0	

PART 2.—DISTRIBUTION OF DEBTORS BY AMOUNT OF INDEBTEDNESS

	Number	of debtors	Total indebtedness		
Range of indebtedness (thousands of dollars)	Principal	Subsidiary	Amount (thousands of dollars)	Percent of grand total	
Less than 100. 100-249. 250-499. 500-999. 1,000-2,999. 2,000-2,999. 5,000-9,999. 10,000-24,999. 25,000-9,999. Over 50,000. Grand total	12	7 3 3 4 1 1 2 21	404 1, 424 3, 508 11, 739 17, 391 25, 586 43, 452 63, 820 190, 438 61, 654 711, 132	0. 04 . 13 . 31 1. 04 1. 54 2. 26 3. 84 5. 65 16. 84 5. 29 100. 00	

Table 22.—Reorganization proceedings in which the Commission participated during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

	•	Proceedings	Peti	ition		Securities and Ex-
Debtor	District court	instituted under	Filed Approved		Participa- tion 1	change Com- mission notice of ap- pearance filed
Adam Block Corp Aireon Manufacturing Corp. Aireon Manufacturing Corp. Allied Control Co., Inc. Allied Control Valve Co., Inc. Progress Control Co., Inc. American Fuel & Power Co. Buckeye Fuel Co. Buckeye Gas Service Co. Carbreath Gas Co. Inland Gas Distributing Co. American Silver Corp. Associated Gas & Electric Co. Associated Gas & Electric Corp. Bankers Building, Inc. Barlum Realty Co. Bellevue-Stratford Co. Bellevue-Stratford Co. Broadway Exchange Corp. Broadway Exchange Corp. Broadway Garage, Inc. Calumet & South Chicago Railway Co. Central States Electric Corp. Chicago City Railway Co. Chicago Railways Co. Chicago & West Towns Railways, Inc. Colidis Co. Congress and Senate Co.	Northern District of Illinois District of Kansas Northern District of Illinois do do do District of New Jersey Eastern District of Kentucky do do do do Southern District of California Southern District of California Southern District of Illinois Eastern District of Illinois Eastern District of Pennsylvania Southern District of New York do Northern District of New York do Southern District of Ohio Northern District of Illinois Eastern District of Illinois Eastern District of Illinois Southern District of Illinois Eastern District of Illinois Eastern District of Illinois Couthern District of New York Northern District of New York Southern District of New York Eastern District of New York Eastern District of New York	Ch. X	Oct. 3, 1946 do Mar. 21, 1947 Dec. 6, 1935 Nov. 28, 1939 do do May 6, 1948 Jan. 10, 1940 Sept. 21, 1943 Oct. 30, 1936 Aug. 21, 1943 Apr. 26, 1942 Apr. 26, 1944 Feb. 26, 1942 Nov. 27, 1939 Oct. 15, 1939 Oct. 15, 1939 June 30, 1947 Aug. 26, 1942 Nov. 27, 1939 Oct. 15, 1939 Nov. 20, 1944	May 7, 1948 Jan. 10, 1940 do. Oct. 5, 1943 Dec. 14, 1943 Oct. 31, 1936 Aug. 10, 1939 Apr. 9, 1942 Apr. 26, 1946 Sept. 18, 1944 Feb. 27, 1942 Apr. 3, 1942 Sept. 18, 1944 Aug. 27, 1943 Nov. 20, 1944	Request Motion do do do do do Request do Motion do Motion do Motion do Request do Motion do Request Motion do Request Motion do Go do do do do do do do do do do do do do	Mar. 4, 1947 Do. Do. Apr. 21, 1947 May 1, 1940 Do. Do. Do. May 11, 1948 Jan. 15, 1940 Do. Oct. 19, 1943 Do. Feb. 24, 1939 Aug. 30, 1939 Apr. 11, 1942 June 24, 1946 Oct. 20, 1944 Mar. 11, 1942 June 24, 1946 Oct. 20, 1944 Mar. 21, 1942 June 24, 1947 Aug. 26, 1943 Jun. 31, 1945
Cosmo Records, Inc. Cosmopolitan Records, Inc. Automatic Industrics, Inc. Dorbank Corp. Diversey Hotel Corp. Diversified Royaltics of America Diversified Royaltics, Ltd. Drake Stadium & Field House Corp. Eastern Building Corp. E0 John Street Corp. Embassy Co Equitable Office Building Corp. Federal Facilities Realty Trust.	dodododododododo	do	do do do do do do do do do do do do do d	Oct. 7, 1940 do Dec. 27, 1947 May 20, 1943 Sept. 14, 1945 Nov. 20, 1944 Apr. 10: 1941	dodododododododo.	Do. Do. Do. June 13, 1947 Nov. 12, 1940 Do. Feb. 16, 1948 May 21, 1945 Oct. 8, 1945 Feb. 7, 1945 Apr. 14, 1941

Franklin Building Co.	Eastern District of Wisconsin	Ch. X.	May 5, 1947	May 5, 1947	ldo	Aug. 18, 1947
Adolf Gobel, Inc	Southern District of New York	do	Sept. 29, 1941	Sept. 30, 1941	do	Oct. 1, 1941
Gramott Corp.	do	do	Mar. 1, 1946	Mar. 4, 1946	do	
Hotel Martin Co. of Uitica	Northern District of New York	Sec. 77-B	June 6, 1935	June 19 1935	do	June 24, 1939
Hotels Malestic, Inc. Industrial Office Building Corp.	Eastern District of Pennsylvania	do	Oct. 30, 1936	Oct. 31 1936	do	Feb. 26, 1942
Hotels Malestic, Inc. Industrial Office Building Corp.	District of New Torson	Oh X	Oat 3 1047	Oct. 3, 1947	do	Oct. 10, 1947
Inland Gas Corp	Eastern District of Kentucky	Sec. 77-B	Oct. 14, 1935	Nov. 1, 1935		
International Mining & Milling Co.	District of Nevada	Ch. X	June 29, 1939	June 29, 1939		Arter 7 1930
Mount Gaines Mining Co	do	do	do	do	do	Aug. 7, 1939 Do.
International Power Securities Corp	District of New Jersey	do	Feb. 24, 1941	Feb. 24, 1941	do	Mar. 3, 1941
International Railway Co	Western District of New York	do	July 28 1047	July 28, 1947	do	Aug. 4,1947
Isham Garden Apartments	Southern District of New York	do	Anr 7 1043	Apr. 8, 1943	do	Apr. 13, 1943
Kellett Aircraft Corp	Eastern District of Pennsylvania	do	Oct. 18, 1946	Oct. 18, 1946		Dec. 4, 1946
Kentucky Fuel Gas Corp	Eastern District of Kentucky	Sec. 77-B	Oct. 25, 1935	Nov. 1, 1935	Request	Mar. 28, 1939
Keystone Realty Holding Co.	Eastern District of Kentucky Western District of Pennsylvania.	Ch X	Feb. 10, 1939	Feo. 11, 1939	dodo	Mar. 8, 1939
Kimbark Manor Realty Trust	Northern District of Illinois	do	Nov. 14, 1945	Nov. 20, 1945	Motion	May 27, 1946
Lorraine Castle Apartments Building Corp	do	do	Apr. 7, 1942	May 5, 1942	Request	July 22, 1943
Lower Broadway Properties, Inc.	Southern District of New York	dn	Nov. 24, 1942	Nov. 24, 1942	Motion	Dec. 2, 1943
Midland United Co	District of Delaware	Sec. 77-R	June 9, 1934	June 9, 1934	Motion	Jan. 10, 1940
Midland Utilities Co.	do	do. 11-D	omic 2, 1204	June 9, 1934	do	Do.
Midwest Athletic Club	Northern District of Illinois	Ch V	Aug. 16, 1946	Dec. 20, 1946		Jan. 21, 1947
Moorhead Knitting Co.	Middle District of Pennsylvania	do.	June 19, 1941	June 24, 1941	do	Aug. 6, 1941
National Realty Trust	Northern District of Illinois	Sec. 77-10	Dec. 26, 1934	A 05 1005	do	Oct. 29, 1940
National Realty Trust Neville Island Glass Co., Inc.	Western District of Pennsylvania	Ch Y	Mar. 1,1948	Mar. 1, 1948		Маг. 17. 1948
1934 Realty Com	Southern District of New York	do	Dec. 23, 1938		do	Jan. 8, 1941
1934 Realty Corp	Western District of North Carolina	do	July 8, 1942	July 8, 1942		Mar. 3, 1943
P. R. Holding Corp	Southern District of New York	do	Apr. 24, 1942	May 91 1009	do	May 21, 1942
Philadelphia & Western Railway Co.	Eastern District of Pennsylvania	Soc 77-13	July 2, 1934	July 3, 1934	do	Dec. 17, 1942
Pittsburgh Railways Co	Western District of Pennsylvania	40	May 10, 1938	Mon 10 1022	Request	Jan. 4, 1939
Pitteburgh Motor Cooch Co	do	uo	1viay 10, 1935	do		Do. 1039
Pittsburgh Motor Coach Co Pittsburgh Terminal Coal Corp	do	Ch V	Dan 4 1020		do	Jan. 6, 1940
Pittsburgh Terminal Warehouse & Transportation Co	Western District of Pennsylvania	da da	Dec. 10, 1943	Dec. 10, 1943	do	Jan. 6, 1940
Plankinton Building Co	Eastern District of Wisconsin	do	June 25, 1940	Trunc 07 1040	do	July 16. 1940
Polar Frosted Foods, Inc.	Western District of Washington.	uv	May 91 1017		do	June 19, 1947
Portland Electric Power Co.	District of Organ	do	May 21, 1947	Mary 20, 1947	do	Apr. 16, 1939
Pratts Fresh Frozen Foods, Inc.	District of Oregon District of New Jersey	do	Αμε, 3, 1939	Apr. 13, 1938	Motion	May 29, 1948
Pratts Distributors, Inc.	dodo	40	Mar. 10, 1940	Мон 15 1040	Mondon	Do. 1948
Quaker City Cold Storage Co.	Fostorn District of Ponneylyana	d0	Dec. 17, 1948		dodo	
R. A. Security Holding, Inc.	Eastern District of Pennsylvania Eastern District of New York	uo	Mee 7 1040	Feb. 13, 1942	do	Jan. 28, 1942
Realty Associates Securities Corp	Eastern District of New 10ff		May 7, 1942	July 31, 1942		May 22, 1942
Espade Realty Corp	dd0			Sept. 28, 1943		Oct. 4, 1943
Espade Realty Corp	No. 10 Diet Cat of 111 and	do	Mar. 17, 1944	Mar. 20, 1944	do	Apr. 19, 1944
Savannah-Sabula Bridge Co Silesian American Corp	Northern District of Hillings	OD	May 24, 1946	May 25, 1946	do	July 5, 1946
Spence Bealty Co	Southern District of New York		July 29, 1941		do	Aug. 1, 1941
Sponsor Realty Co.	Nouthern District of Illinois	00	July 17, 1942		do	Sept. 25, 1942
32-36 North State Street Building Corp	Northern District of Himois	go	IVIST, 14, 1944	Apr. 24, 1944	Request	
32 West Randolph Corp. 322 Eighth Avenue Corp.	00	00	Apr. 15, 1946	T	do	May 20, 1946
Table Dulle Corp	PORTBELL INSTILCT OF MEM LOLK	do	⊥ec. 3,1945	Dec. 4, 1945	Motion	Dec. 18, 1945
rituty buildings Corp. of New York	. 00.		aan, 18,1945	Jan. 18,1945	do	Feb. 19, 1945

Table 22.—Reorganization proceedings in which the Commission participated during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948—Continued

		Proceedings	Peti	ition		Securities and Ex-
. Debtor	District court	instituted under	Filed	Approved	Participa- tion	change Com- mission notice of ap- pearance filed
283 West 38th Street Corp. United States Realty & Improvement Co. Van Rensselaer Estates, Inc. Van Sweringen Corp. Cleveland Terminal Buildings Co. Wade Park Manor Corp. Warner Sugar Corp. Washington Gas & Electric Co. Westover, Inc. Wilkes Barre Railways Corp. Wilkes-Barre Railways Corp. Wilkes-Barre Trackless Trolley Co. Wyoming Valley Autobus Co. Wyoming Valley Public Service Co. Windsor Wilson Liquidation Trust. York Railways Co.	Southern District of New York	Ch. X	Dec. 26, 1940 Feb. 1, 1944 July 12, 1935 Oct. 13, 1936do June 28, 1947 June 7, 1940 Sept. 29, 1941 Mar. 18, 1943 July 1, 1943dododo Mar. 18, 1941 Nov. 30, 1937	Mar. 5, 1943 Feb. 1, 1944 July 12, 1935 Oct. 15, 1936 —do June 30, 1947 July 9, 1940 Sept. 29, 1941 Mar. 21, 1943 —do —do —do —do May 28, 1941 Nov. 30, 1937	Motion Request Motion do do do Request Motion do Request Motion do do do do do do do Request Motion Motion Motion Motion Request Motion	Jan. 29, 1941 Feb. 7, 1944 July 22, 1941 Jun. 23, 1940 Do. July 28, 1947 July 9, 1940 Oct. 14, 1941 Mar. 24, 1943 July 15, 1943 Do. Do. Do. Do. June 12, 1941 Jan. 5, 1943

^{1 &}quot;Request" denotes participation at the request of the court; "motion" refers to participation upon approval by the court of the Commission's motion to participate in the proceedings.

Table 23.—Statistical summary of all cases instituted by the Commission under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940

Types of cases	Total cases in- stituted up to end of 1948 fiscal year	Total cases closed up to end of 1948 fiscal year	Cases pending at end of 1948 fiscal year	Cases pending at end of 1947 fiscal year	Cases in- stituted during 1948 fiscal year	Total cases pending during 1948 fiscal year	Cases closed during 1948 fiscal year
Actions to enjoin violations of the above acts Actions to enforce subpensa	520	503	17	22	20	42	25
under the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act. Actions to carry out voluntary plans to comply with section il (b) of the Holding Com-	49	45	4	1	5	6	2
pany Act	65 12	52 10	13 2	15 3	12 0	. 27 3	14 1
Totals	646	610	36	41	37	78	42

Table 24.—Statistical summary of all cases instituted against the Commission, cases in which the Commission participated as intervenor or amicus curiae, and reorganization cases on appeal under ch. X in which the Commission participated—pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

Types of cases	Total cases in- stituted up to end of 1948 fiscal year	Total cases closed up to end of 1948 fiscal year	Cases pending at end of 1948 fiscal year	Cases pending at end of 1947 fiscal year	Cases in- stituted during 1948 fiscal year	Total cases pending during 1948 fiscal year	Cases closed during 1948 fiscal year
Actions to enjoin enforcement of Securities Act, Securities Exchange Act, and Public Utility Holding Company Act, with the exception of subpenas issued by the Commission Actions to enjoin enforcement of or compliance with sub-	64	64	0	0	C	0	, 0
penas issued by the Commis- sion	8	8	0	0	0	0	. 0
Petitions for review of Com- mission's orders by circuit courts of appeals under the various acts administered by the Commission. Miscellaneous actions against the Commission or officers of the Commission and cases in which the Commission par-	142	134	8	13	' 10	23	15
ticipated as intervenor or amicus curiae Appeal cases under ch. X in	112	94	18	- 18	10	28	10
which the Commission par- ticipated	92	89	3	10	13	23	20
Total	418	389	29	41	33	74	45

Table 25.—Injunctive proceedings brought by Commission, under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, which were pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

Name of principal defendant	Number of defend- ants	United States District Court	Initiating papers filed	Alleged violations	Status of case
Aldred Investment Trust	8	Massachusetts	May 19, 1944	Sec. 36, Investment Company Act of 1940.	Judgment June 19, 1946, directing receivers to liquidate and distribute assets of Aldred Investment Trust. Affirmed sub nom Bailey v. Proctor (160 F. (2d) 78); certiorari denied (331 U. S. 834). District court ordered debentures paid with premium (76 F. Supp. 614). Reversed sub nom Bailey v. Minasch (168 F. (2d) 635). Pending.
American Silver Corp	3	Southern District of California	Apr. 23, 1948	Secs. 5 (a) (1) and (2), 1933 act	Pending.
American Soil Products Co., Inc.	2	Southern District of New York.	Sept. 8, 1947	Sec. 17 (a) (3), 1933 act	Injunction by consent Sept. 8, 1947. Closed.
Atlas Investment Co., Inc	3	Western District of Missouri.	Apr. 7, 1948	Secs. 10 (b), 15 (a), 15 (c) (1), and 17 (a), 1934 act.	Injunction by consent June 24, 1948. Pending.
Banner, Ben Clinton	1	Northern District of Texas.	Oct. 3, 1947	Secs. 17 (a) (1), (2), and (3), 1933 act.	Action on motion for preliminary injunction held in abey- ance. Pending.
J. E. Burmeister & Co., Inc.	3	Middle District of Tennessee.	June 27, 1947	Sec. 5 (a), 1933 act; secs. 15 (a), 20 (c), 1934 act.	Injunction by consent June 27, 1947. Closed.
W. W. Connell, Inc	2	Northern District of Texas.	Aug. 27, 1947	Secs. 5 (a), 17 (a) (1), (2), and (3), 1933 act.	Temporary restraining order entered Aug. 27, 1947. Injunction by consent Nov. 10, 1947. Closed.
Corliss, Elmer J	5	Southern District of Florida.	Feb. 21, 1948	Secs. 5 (a) and 17 (a), 1933 act	Injunction by consent Mar. 4, 1948. Closed.
Derryberry, John	1		May 4, 1948	Sec. 5 (a), 1933 act; sec. 15 (a), 1934 act.	Injunction by consent May 4, 1948. Pending.
Dirieland Petroleum Corp	3	Southern District of New York.	Mar. 11, 1948		Injunction by consent against Dixieland Petroleum Corp. and Frances F. Stratton, Mar. 26, 1948. Pending as to James F. Stratton, Pending.
Downs, Amos J Engineered Production, Inc	1 2	Colorado	Feb. 13, 1948 June 9, 1948		Injunction by consent Mar. 9, 1948. Closed. Injunction by consent June 9, 1948. Pending.
Fidelity Agency, Inc	5		Nov. 2, 1943	Sees. 17 (a) (1), (2), and (3), 1933 act.	Injunction by consent Jan. 4, 1944, as to 4 defendants. Pending as to Ervin.
Fyre-Myst, Inc	4	Northern District of Ohio.	Sept. 15, 1947		Injunction by consent Oct. 7, 1947. Closed.
Haynes, W. Geoffrey	1		Jan. 6, 1948	Secs. 5 (a) and 17 (a), 1933 act	Injunction by consent Jan. 12, 1948. Closed.
Hirsch, Chas. S	[Northern District of Ohlo.	Oct. 16, 1945		Companion actions to enjoin violations of margin and special cash account provisions of regulation T. (Final injunction against S. T. Jackson & Co., Nov. 19, 1945.) Final injunction against Richard C. Brown and First
Butler, Joseph G., III. Young, Ledlie W	8 10	}do	do	do	Final injunction against Richard C. Brown and First Mahoning Co., Oct. 21, 1946. Action dismissed against remaining defendants, Aug. 18, 1947. Closed.

W. J. Howey Co	2	Southern District of Florida.	May 16, 1944	Sec. 5 (a), 1933 act	Supreme Court on May 27, 1946, reversed ruling of CCA-5 which had affirmed district court ruling denying an injunction. Petition for rehearing denied Oct. 14, 1946. Pending.
LeDone, Joseph J	1	Southern District of New York.	Јап. 13, 1947	do	Injunction by consent Apr. 11, 1947. Closed.
Light, Wofsey & Benesch, Inc	2	Maryland	July 3, 1947	Secs. 15 (c) (1), and (3), 20 (b), 1934 act.	Preliminary injunction entered July 15, 1947. Complaint and preliminary injunction dismissed Apr. 7, 1948. Closed.
Lucky Friday Extension Mining Co.	6	Eastern District of Washington.	Mar. 18, 1948	Sec. 5 (a) (1) and (2), 1933 act	Preliminary injunction against all defendants Mar. 30, 1948. Pending.
McQuistion, Victor	1	Southern District of New York.	Apr. 4, 1947	Sec. 14 (a), reg. X-14, 1934 act	Preliminary injunction May 16, 1947. Final judgment by consent Aug. 12, 1947. Closed.
Metropolitan Mines Corp., Ltd.	2	Eastern District of Washington.	June 27, 1947	Sec. 5 (a), 1933 act; secs. 13 (a), 14 (a), 16 (a), and 20 (c), 1934 act.	Injunction by consent July 18, 1947. Closed.
Michel, Edmand	2	Northern District of Illinois.	June 11, 1948	Secs. 5 (a) and 17 (a), 1933 act	Injunction by consent June 30, 1948. Pending.
Montague, Louis AOkin, Samuel.	1	Colorado Southern District of New York.	Mar. 5, 1947 Oct. 4, 1944	Sec. 5 (a), 1933 act. Sec. 14 (a), 1934 act; sec. 12 (e), 1935 act.	Complaint dismissed Aug. 25, 1947. Closed. Action to restrain defendant from exercising proxies solicited by bim. Proliminary injunction granted Oct. 11, 1944, restraining defendant from use of proxies obtained in violation of law and regulations of the Commission. Defendant's time to answer extended to Dec. 27, 1945.
Petroleum Royalty Corp	2	Northern District of Texas.	July 18, 1947	Sec. 5 (a), 1933 act	Pending. Injunction by consent July 18, 1947. Closed.
Petroleum Southwest Corp Pilot Silver-Lead Mines, Inc	2 6	do Eastern District of Washington.	July 7, 1947 June 3, 1948	Sec. 5 (a) (1) and (2), 1933 act	Injunction by consent July 7, 1947. Closed. Preliminary injunction entered June 11, 1948, against 4 defendants. Pending.
Raymond, Bliss, Inc	1 4	Massachusetts	Sept. 24, 1948 June 6, 1947	Secs. 10 (b) and 15 (c) (1), 1934 act Sec. 5 (a), 1933 act	Injunction by consent Sept. 12, 1947. Closed.
Sound Cities Gas and Oil Co., Inc.	1	do		do	the registration provisions of the 1933 act. Case con-
Sterling, Inc	3	Southern District of New York.	Jan. 13, 1947	do	Injunction by consent Apr. 11, 1947. Closed.
Todd, Frank Payson	1	Massachusetts	Nov. 14, 1946	Sec. 206 (2), Investment Advis- ers Act of 1940.	Final judgment by consent Nov. 14, 1946. Defendant moved to vacate consent judgment. Pending.
Transamerica Corp	1	Delaware	Apr. 16, 1946	Sec. 14 (a) and reg. X-14, 1934 act.	Action to enjoin violation of regulation X-14 by failing to present a stockholder's proposals to the security holders of Transamerica Corp. Final judgment granting plaintiff's motion for summary judgment in part and denying defendant's motion for dismissal Sept. 9, 1946. Appeals
					were taken by the defendant and the Commission. Judgment of district court affirmed in part and modified in part. Petition for writ of certiorari denied Jan. 5, 1948. Case remanded to district court. Proceedings dismissed
·		i	l	<u>-</u>	Apr. 20, 1948. Closed.

Table 25.—Injunctive proceedings brought by Commission, under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, which were pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948—Continued

Name of principal defendant	Number of defend- ants	United States District Court	Initiating papers filed	Alleged violations	Status of case
Vindicator Silver Lead Mining Co. Weber, John, d/b/a Weber Grain	3	Western District of Washington, Northern District of	Mar. 13, 1947 Feb. 4, 1948	. ,,,,,,	Injunction by consent Apr. 19, 1947. Closed. Injunction by consent Mar. 26, 1948. Closed.
Co. and John Weber & Co. Wimer, Nye A.	1	Texas. Western District of Pennsylvania.	Oct. 29, 1947		Temporary restraining order entered Oct. 29, 1947. Pre- liminary injunction entered Nov. 18, 1947. Defendant's motion to dismiss complaint denied Mar. 3, 1948. Pending.
Wix, Ernest T	4	Northern District of Illinois.	Oct. 18, 1944	Secs. 5 (a) and 17 (a), 1933 act	Action to enjoin defendants from selling undivided interests in oil and gas leases. Final injunction by consent as to Martin, Shekleton, and Von Martinitz, Dec. 1, 1944. Hearing on motion for preliminary injunction as to Wix
Wood, Owen A., d/b/a Florida Winter Garden Farms, York, Willard Harris	1 ,1	Northern District of Florida. Western District of Texas.	Sept. 4, 1046 Mar. 10, 1947		pending. Pending. Order granting defendant's motion for summary judgment and dismissing case May 12, 1947. Closed. Action dismissed as moot July 31, 1947. Closed.

Table 26.—Indictments returned for violation of the acts administered by the Commission, the Mail-Fraud Statute (sec. 338, tille 18, U. S. C.), and other related Federal statutes (where the Commission took part in the investigation and development of the case) which were pending during the 1948 fiscal year

Name of principal defendant 1	Number of defend- ants	United States District Court	Indictment returned	Charges	Status of case
Allen, James A. (Lucky Friday Extension Mining Co.) American Cone and Pretzel Co.	3 2	Eastern District of Washington. Eastern District of	May 6, 1948 Apr. 30, 1947	338. title 18. U. S. C.	Pending. Defendant Schumaker deceased. Indictment nolle prossed
Augustine, Arthur L	_	Pennsylvania. Northern District of Iowa.		act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	as to both defendants, Jan. 13, 1948. Defendant pleaded guilty and was sentenced on June 8, 1948, to 3 years' imprisonment.
Baker, Henry L	1	Southern District of California.	Mar. 25, 1939	Secs. 17 (a) (1) and (3), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	Defendant not apprehended. Pending.
Bauer, Kenneth Leo	3	District of New Jersey	Mar. 24, 1948	Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act	Bauer pleaded guilty on Apr. 12, 1948, and was sentenced to 1 year and 1 day imprisonment. Dawes and Del Tufe pleaded not guilty. Pending.
Boal, John H	1	Northern District of California.	Mar, 19, 1947	Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	Defendant convicted on all counts of indictment on June 4, 1948, and received a concurrent sentence of 5 years im- prisonment. Motion for new trial denied.
Boyer, James F	2	Southern District of Florida.	Feb. 23, 1945	and 338, title 18, U. S. C.	Defendant Boyer reported deceased. Reining found guilty on all counts on May 1, 1947, and sentenced to 6 years imprisonment. On Apr. 20, 1948, CCA-5 affirmed judgment on 4 counts and reversed on 2 counts. Defendant sentence reduced from 6 to 4 years. Petition by defendant for rehearing and stay of mandate filed May 10, 1948. Pending.
Broadley, Albert E. (Hudson Securities).	5	Western District of New York.	July 17, 1947	Secs. 5 (a) (1), (2) and 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C.	Pending.
Bronson, Edmond B. (Bagdad Copper Corp.).	8	Southern District of New York,	Mar. 8, 1939	Secs. 5 (a) (1), and (2) and 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C.	5 defendants previously convicted and I acquitted. Case dismissed as to I and pending as to Thomas, who was granted severance.
Cactus Oil Co., Inc	3	Delaware	Jan. 21 1948	Secs. 5 (a) and 17 (a) (1), 1933	All defendants pleaded not guilty. Defendants Andersor and Husson each posted bonds of \$1,000.
Danziger, Jacob Morris (Trinidad International Petroleum, Ltd.).	6	Southern District of California.	Dec. 30, 1941	act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C. Secs. 5 (a) (2) and 17 (a) (1), 1233 act; sucs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C.	and Husson each posted bounds of \$1,000. 4 defendants previously convicted and sentenced. Conviction of Danziger was affirmed on Apr. 23, 1947, by CCA— and reversed as to Wake Development Co. and Trinidac International Petroleum, Ltd., on jurisdictional grounds Danziger's petition for certiforari denied Oct. 13, 1947, and petition for writ of habeas corpus denied March 1948 Indictment previously dismissed as to Callaban and

Table 26.—Indictments returned for violation of the acts administered by the Commission, the Mail-Fraud Statute (sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.), and other related Federal statutes (where the Commission took part in the investigation and development of the case) which were pending during the 1948 fiscal year—Continued

Name of principal defendant	Number of defend- ants	United States District Court	Indictment returned	Charges	. Status of case
Dennison, Bennett S. (Boulder Trust Co.), Do	1	Southern District of California.	Jan. 7, 1948	18, U, S, C,	Dennison found guilty on both indictments and sentenced to 5 years probation on first indictment and 8 months im-
DePalma, Albert Edward (A. E. DePalma & Co.).	i	Northern District of Ohio.	Јипе 11, 1947	do Secs. 5 (a) (1) and (2) and 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	prisonment and 5 years probation on second indictment. De Palma apprehended Dec. 17, 1947 and released on \$50,000 bond pending his arraignment on Jan. 26, 1948. Failed to appear in Court on that date and he is presently a fugi- tive. Pending.
Diaz, Gabriel (Plaquemines Land Co.). Do	13	Eastern District of Louisiana.	Sept. 4, 1942 Nov. 6, 1942	Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; and sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C. Sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	9 defendants convicted and sentenced to terms ranging from 5 years and 1 day to 8 years. CCA-5 affirmed convictions July 10, 1946. Certiorari denied Oct. 28, 1946. Manzella reported deceased. Pending as to Bryce, Keifer, and Addler on the first indictment. Second indictment polle
Douglass, Preston E	1	Northern District of Illinois,	May 23, 1947	Secs. 17 (a) (1) and (2), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	prossed as to both defendants on May 28, 1948. Defendant convicted on 10 counts of the indictment on Mar. 18, 1948, and sentenced to 3 years imprisonment. 1 mail fraud count dismissed.
Epstein, Alfred (Pleiffer Brewing Co.).	3	Eastern District of Michigan.	June 7, 1946	Sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C	All defendants found guilty on May 15, 1948. Motion for new trial denied. On July 8, 1948, all defendants were sentenced. Epstein received a concurrent sentence of 3 years on 2 counts and fined \$2,000. Epps was sentenced to 3 years on 2 counts and 2 years on 2 other counts, to be served concurrently, and was fined \$4,000. Smith received a concurrent sentence of 18 months on 2 counts and was fined \$2,000. All defendants have filed notice of appeal.
Freeman, Mark A. (Consolidated Associates, Inc.).	13	Northern District of Illinois,	Feb. 26, 1943	Secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U.S.C	appear. defendants previously convicted and sentenced, 2 acquitted, 2 dismissed and 1 deceased. On Apr. 15, 1948, CCA-7 affirmed conviction of Freeman. Petition for writ of certifrar filled by Freeman on June 16, 1948.
Glunt, William J	3	Northern District of Ohio.	Feb. 17, 1948	Secs. 241 and 242, title 18, U.S. C.	All defendants pleaded not guilty. Glunt reported decased on Apr. 10, 1948. On July 23, 1948, an order of abatement was entered as to Clunt and a noile prosse entered as to the remaining defendants.
Grayson, Stanley (Stanley Grayson Co.).	4	Southern District of New York.	July 20, 1945	Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C.	entered as to the remaining detendants. 3 defendants previously pleaded guilty and were sentenced. Conviction and sentence of remaining defendant, Grayson, was reversed for certain trial errors and a new trial ordered by CCA-2 on Mar. 4, 1948. On June 4, 1948, upon retrial, Grayson pleaded guilty and was sentenced to a year and a day imprisonment and 3 years' probation.

Haynes, Melvan D. (Benners Owens & Co.). Heider, Theodore P. (American Trusteed Funds, Inc.).	7 4	Eastern District of Michigan. Southern District of New York.	,	Secs. 17 (a) (1) and (2), 1933 act; secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C. Sec. 24, 1933 act; sec. 88, title 18, U. S. C.
Do Herck, John Do	6 5	do Eastern District of Michigan. dodo	do	Sec. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C. Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.; and conspiracy to violate these statutes. Sec. 15 (a), 1934 act Secs. 5 (a) (1) and (2), 1933 act, and conspiracy to violate this statute.
Hildebrand, Glen Jerome (Hildebrand-Osborne & Co.).	3	Southern District of Illinois.	June 9, 1945	Secs. 15 (c) (1), 8 (c), and 17 (a), 1934 act; secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C.
Hill, Edward M	12	Northern District of Ohio.	May 21,1940	Secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C.
Kells, Robert H. (National Reference Library Corp.).	4	District of Columbia	Feb. 16, 1943	Sec. 17 (a), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.
Knowles, Noel H. (LaSalle Yellowknife Mines, Ltd.).	3	Eastern District of New York.	Oct. 1, 1946	Secs. 5 (a) (1) and (2) and 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.
Low, Harry (Trenton Valley Distillers Corp.).	2	Eastern District of Michigan.	Feb. 3, 1939	Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C. Sec. 10 (b) and rule X-10B-5,
Martin, Clarence Everett	1	Northern District of Illinois.	Feh. 27,1948	Sec. 10 (b) and rule X-10B-5, 1934 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.
E. M. McLean & Co. (Devon Gold Mines, Ltd.).	2	Eastern District of Michigan.	′ ′	Sec. 15 (a), 1934 act
Do		do	1	sec. 88, title 18, U. S. C.
D ₀	12	do	do	Sees. 17 (a) (1) and (2), 1933 act; sees. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C.

5 defendants have been previously convicted. Indictment nolle prossed as to Brooks on Nov. 29, 1946. Pending as to Fraino, the remaining defendant.

2 defendants were previously convicted under both indictments and 1 defendant was convicted under the first indictment and nolle prossed as to the second indictment. Nollc prosse entered Oct. 17, 1944, as to Auslander and on Feb. 13, 1947, as to Mourad, the remaining defendants.

Herck pleaded not guilty on Feb. 13, 1942, and posted \$7,000 bond. Remaining defendants are fugitives. Pending as to all defendants.

Hildebrand pleaded guilty and on Mar. 19, 1946, was placed on 5-year probation, on the condition that restitution be made in the amount of \$3,000. Frank was found guilty on June 21, 1948, and placed on probation for 5 years and ordered to make restitution in the amount of \$1,600. Case pending as to the remaining defendant Hildebrand-Osborne & Co.

11 defendants have been convicted and sentenced. Pending as to Gould, who has not been apprehended.
1 defendant previously found guilty and fined. Indictment noile prossed as to 3 remaining defendants Oct.

15, 1947.
2 defendants pleaded not guilty on June 21, 1948. Knowles' ball set at \$25,000. Newson released upon his own recognizance. Pending.

Case pending as to Low and Hardie, who are fugitives.

Defendant pleaded not guilty on Apr. 15, 1948. Pending.

Case pending as to first indictment. Kaufman and Nichte were convicted after trial on second and third indictment. Kaufman's conviction affirmed on appeal by CCA-6 on July 14, 1947. Certiorari denied Mar. 15, 1948. Kaufman's sentence reduced from 7 years and \$1,000 fine to 2 years on May 10, 1948. Lowis pleaded guilty to 1 count in the second and third indictments and was fined. Pending as to 9 persons and firms, remaining defendants, on the second and third indictments.

Table 26.—Indictments returned for violation of the acts administered by the Commission, the Mail-Fraud Statute (sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.), and other related Federal statutes (where the Commission took part in the investigation and development of the case) which were pending during the 1948 fiscal year—Continued

Name of principal defendant 1	Number of defend- ants	United States District Court	Indictment returned	Charges	Status of case
Monjar, Hugh B. (The Mantle Club). Do		District of Delawaredo	Sept. 22, 1942	Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C. Conspiracy to violate sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C. Secs. δ (a) (1) and (2) and 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C.	11 defendents previously convicted and sentenced, 3 a quitted and case dismissed as to 1. Indictment noile prossed as to Martin on July 6, 1943, and dismissed as to Jones on Nov. 6, 1947, the remaining defendants. Indictment dismissed as to Collier and Treicher on Mar. 23, 1946. Pending as to Moore, who has not been appre-
Mulvaney, Thomas P. (M. & L. Oil Syndicate). Do	5	Southern District of Iowa.	'	title 18, U. S. C. Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act. Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	hended. 4 defendants previously convicted and sentenced, on second indictment. First indictment dismissed as to these defendants. Sentence of Lennon reduced from 5 years to 4½ years. Both indictments dismissed as to Doberty, the remaining defendant.
Neely, Thomas A	i	Northern District of Illinois.	Aug. 10, 1946	Secs. 5 (a) (1) and (2) and 17 (a), 1933 act; sec. 88, title 18, U. S. C.	Doberty, the remaining defendant. Neely found guilty and sentenced to 3 years on Feb. 10, 1948. Notice of appeal filed. Pending.
Do	7	Eastern District of Washington.	Nov. 21, 1946 Jan. 19, 1948	do	All defendants pleaded not gulity. Rector withdrew his not guilty plea and pleaded guilty to conspiracy count at opening of trial. On July 2, 1948, Nemec and Dawson were found guilty of Securities Act, Mail Fraud, and conspiracy violations. Richardson and Clarke convicted on the conspiracy count. Carpenter and Schwartz, the remaining defendants in the conspiracy count, were acquitted. On July 3, 1948, the following sentences were imposed: Nemec, total of 4 years imprisonment; Dawson, 18 months concurrent sentence; Rector 3 year sentence
					suspended and placed on probation; Clarke, 3 months imprisonment; Richardson, 3 years probation and fined \$1,000.
O'Keefe, Wallace Rice	1	Western District of Washington.	· '	Secs. 5 (a) and 17 (a), 1933 act	Defendant pleaded not guilty on June 28, 1948. Pending.
Plasket, Chester 8	1	Western District of Texas	Apr. 9,1948	Sec. 17 (a) (1), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	Defendant apprehended and posted \$1,500 bond. Pending.
Poynter, Aubrey M		District of Louisiana.	Apr. 23, 1947	Sec. 17, 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	Court fixed bond of \$6,000 for Aubrey M. Poynter on both indictments and \$3,000 bond for each of the remaining defendants. Goland entered a plea of nole contendere. Other defendants pleaded not guilty. Pending.
Price, Eldridge S	1	District of Kansas	Mar. 9, 1945	Secs. 5 (a) (2) and 17 (a), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	Price apprehended and posted \$10,000 bond. Motion to quash indictment denied. Pending.

Rubrecht, Charles J. (McLaugh- lin, MacAfee & Co.).	4	Western District of Pennsylvania.	Sept. 18, 1946	Sec. 10 (b) and rule X-10B-5, 1934 act; sec. 338, title 18, U.S.C.	
Schuh, Herman L	2	Eastern District of Virginia.	July 5, 1945	Sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C	All counts nollo prossed as to both defendants on Dec. 2,
Taylor, Ellis R. (Taylor Washing Machine Co.).	1	Northern District of Illinois.	Aug. 28, 1946	Sec. 10 (b) and rule X10-B-5, 1934 act; sec. 338, title 18, U.S.C.	Defendant pleaded not guilty. Pending.
Thomle, Magnus G. (Nevada- Silver Dyke Tungston Co.).	2	Massachusetts	Jan. 10, 1947	Sees. 17 (a) (1), 5 (a) (1) and (2), 1933 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	Both defendants found guilty. Thomle sentenced to 1 year and 1 day imprisonment. Lynch sentenced to 1 year and 1 day and fined \$1,000, sentence suspended and defendant placed on 2-year Probation.
Thurman, Arthur G	3	District of Massa- chusetts.	Jan. 19, 1939	Sec. 17 (a) (2), 1933 act; secs. 88 and 338, title 18, U. S. C.	2 defendants previously convicted and sentenced. Case pending as to Thurman, whose real name is George M. Saunders, a fugitive.
Turner, Wells E	1	Western District of Wisconsin.	July 22, 1947	Sec. 10 (b) and rule X-10B-5, 1934 act; sec. 338, title 18, U. S. C.	Defendant pleaded guilty and was sentenced to 2 years imprisonment on Oct. 10, 1947. Sentence suspended and defendant placed on 3-year probation.
Windt, Albert B. (Tonopah Gipsy Mining Co.).	2	Northern District of California.	July 30, 1947	Secs. 9 (a) (1) and (2), 1934 act; sec. 88, title 18, U. S. C.	Defendants found guilty on Mar. 22, 1948, and sentenced on Mar. 25, 1948. Windt sentenced to 6 months imprisonment and fined \$1,000. Klarman sentenced to 1 year imprisonment, sentence suspended, and placed on probation for 1 year.
		'	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>

¹ Parenthetical reference is to name under which investigation was carried prior to indictment.

Table 27.—Petitions for review of orders of Commission under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, and the Investment Company Act of 1940, pending in circuit courts of appeals during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

Petitioner	United States Circuit Court of Appeals	Initiating papers filed	Commission action appealed from and status of case
Auerbach, Eli (Electric Bond & Share Co.)	Second	Mar. 26, 1948	Order of Feb. 27, 1948, awarding \$2,000 to Israel Beckhardt, petitioner, for services. Motion by
Chenery Corp., Federal Wuter & Gas Corp.	Court of Appeals for the District of Co- lumbia.	Mar. 22, 1945	Commission to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Pending. Decision of Feb. 7, 1945, under sec. 11 (e) of 1935 act, limiting management participation in reorganization plan. Court of Appeals reversed Commission's order Feb. 4, 1946. Supreme Court reversed judgement of C. C. A. June 23, 1947. Petition of Federal Water & Gas Corp. for rehearing denied Oct. 13, 1947. Order entered Dec. 12, 1947, by court of appeals on mandate of Supreme Court directing that order of the Commission issued Feb. 7, 1945, be affirmed. Classel
Crooker, Conrad W	First	Apr. 30, 1947	Petition for review of Commission's order of Apr. 28, 1947, accelerating effective date of registration statement of Burrillville Racing Association dismissed May 23, 1947. Petition for rehearing filed June 6, 1947, and denied June 9, 1947. Closed.
Eastern Utilities Associates	, , .	May 26, 1947	Order of May 15, 1947, denying petitioner's motion to amend the notice of and order for hearing issued Mar. 25, 1947. Petition made to provide that the hearings be held in Boston, Mass., the principal place of petitioner's business. On June 13, 1947, the petition for review was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Closed.
Engineers Public Service Co	Court of Appeals for the District of Co- lumbia.	Nov. 14, 1942	Orders of Sept. 18, 1942, and Oct. 6, 1942, under sec. 11 (b) (1) of the 1935 act requiring divestment of certain Engineer's properties and interests. Constitutionality and construction of "other businesses" clauses of sec. 11 (b) (1) challenged. Decision of Court of Appeals rendered Nov. 22, 1943, (138 F. (2) 936). Commission and Engineers both petitioned for certiorari. Both petitions granted (322 U. S. 723). Judgment vacated and petition for review dismissed as moot on Dec. 10, 1947. Closed.
Hughes, Arleen W., d/b/a E. W. Hughes & Co.	1		Order of Apr. 1, 1948, revoking the registration of E. W. Hughes & Co. as a broker and dealer
Lann, Joseph J	do	Dec. 30, 1946	orders of Sept. 16, 1946 and Nov. 6, 1946, revoking the registration of M. S. Wien & Co. as a broker and dealer under sec. 15 (b) of the 1934 act. Petition for review dismissed pursuant to stipulation filed Nov. 16, 1947. Closed.
Lewis, Francis J	Seventh	Feb. 28, 1948	Order of Dec. 30, 1947, entered in connection with sec. 11 (e) proceedings under the 1935 act in the matter of United Light & Railways Co. and American Light & Traction Co., et al. Case transferred to Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. United Light & Railways Co. and American Light & Traction Co. granted leave to intervene. Pending.
McCarthy, W. Robert		·	Order of Nov. 19, 1947, authorizing the interim financing necessary for commencement of a pipe line by subsidiaries of American Light & Traction Co. provided for in a sec. 11 (e) plan filed by United Light & Railway Co. and American Light & Traction Co. under the 1935 act. Orders entered Dec. 5, 1947, granted motions of United Light & Railway Co. and American Light & Traction Co. for leave to intervene in opposition to petition for review and Francis J. Lewis for leave to intervene in support of petition for review. Petition for stay denied Dec. 5, 1947.
Norris & Hirshberg, Inc	Court of Appeals for the District of Co- lumbia.	Apr. 29,1946	Petition for review dismissed pursuant to stipulation, Feb. 20, 1948. Closed. Order revoking broker-dealer registration for violation of the antifraud provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Pending.
Northern States Power Co. (Delaware)	Third	Jan. 6, 1947 Mar. 29, 1947	Order of Nov. 8, 1946, directing the termination of the existence of Northern States Power Co. (Delaware), and order denying a rehearing. The 2 petitions for review were consolidated. Orders affirmed Dec. 1, 1947. Closed.

Samuel Okin (Electric Bond & Share Co., Electric Power & Light Corp., and United Gas Corp.).	Second	Sept. 20, 1944
Samuel Okin (Electric Bond & Share Co.)	do	Oct. 8, 1946
Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co	Eighth	Feb. 28, 1948
Philadelphia Co	Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.	Mar. 22, 1947
Phillips, Randolph	Second	Feb. 25, 1947
South Carolina Public Service Authority	Fourth	May 22, 1948
Standard Gas & Electric Co	Third	July 29, 1947
Standard Gas & Electric Co., Standard E Power & Light Corp.	Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.	Nov. 6, 1947
Turner, Henry A	do	Nov. 21, 1947
Esther Vogel (Northern New England Co., New England Public Service Co.)	Second	'

Order of Sept. 7, 1944, approving reorganization plan under sec. 11 (e) of 1935 act of United Gas Corp. Commission sought dismissal on ground that order approving sec. 11 (e) plan may not be reviewed by court of appeals where plan is subject to district court enforcement. Petition for review dismissed (145 F. (2d) 206). On June 18, 1946, writ of certiorari granted, limited to question whether that part of Commission's order which licensed Bond & Share's use of proceeds can be reviewed only under sec. 24 (e) of 1935 act. Judgment vacated and cause remanded for that purpose (65 Sup. Ct. 1569). Subsequently, upon Commission's motion, CCA entered an order vacating the earlier order of dismissal and reinstating the petition for review to the limited extent that it involved an appeal from that portion of Commission's order relating to Bond & Share's use of proceeds from reorganization plan (Jan. 15, 1947). Petition to review dismissed May 10, 1948, pursuant to stipulation. Closed.

Orders, both dated Sept. 6, 1946, approving Bond & Share's plan II-A under sees. 11 (b) (2) and (e) of the 1935 act, with regard to the retirement of Bond & Share's preferred stocks. Order entered Mar. 31, 1947, summarily affirming Commission's order pertaining to the elimination of the Bond & Share preferred but not affecting the status of the appeal from the other order. Motion for an order to dismiss petition for review filed May 12, 1947. Petition dismissed June 16, 1947. Closed.

Orders of Nov. 19, 1947, Dec. 30, 1947, and Jan. 6, 1948, in connection with sec. 11 (e) proceedings under the 1935 act in the matter of United Light & Railways Co. and American Light & Traction Co., et al. United Light & Railways Co. and American Light & Traction Co. granted leave to intervene. Pending.

Amendment to rule U-49 (c) under the 1935 act adopted by the Commission effective Feb. 28, 1947. Motion of the Commission to dismiss petition for review for lack of jurisdiction denied and petitioner's motion for stay granted Oct. 8, 1947. Commission's motion to modify stay denied Nov. 4, 1947. Petition to the Supreme Court for review of circuit court orders of Oct. 8, 1947, and Nov. 4, 1947, denied Feb. 2, 1948. Pending.

8, 1947, and Nov. 4, 1947, defined rep. 2, 1948. Fending.
Petition for review of alleged Commission orders, dated Feb. 7, 1947, and Feb. 25, 1947, re a proposal by United Corp. that it be permitted to submit to its common stockholders for their approval a proposal to change the business of United Corp. to that of an investment company. Application for stay denied from bench. Mar. 3, 1947. Pending.

Order of Mar. 25, 1948, in connection with proceedings under sec. 11 (e) of the 1935 act in the matter of Commonwealth & Southern Corp. Pending.

Order of July 23, 1947, which denied the motion of Standard to vacate Commission's order of May 20, 1947, entered pursuant to secs. 11 (a), 18 (a) and 18 (b) of 1935 act, and for stay of proceedings. Order of Aug. 6, 1947, denied motion of petitioner to stay proceedings before the Commission. Petition for review dismissed on Jan. 26, 1948, pursuant to stiguilation. Closed. Orders of the Commission dated Oct. 30, 1947, and Nov. 12, 1947, pursuant to the 1935 act.

Orders of the Commission dated Oct. 30, 1947, and Nov. 12, 1947, pursuant to the 1335 act.

Request for a stay denied. 1 petition for review dismissed as most Nov. 17, 1947. Stipulation filed dismissing other petition and supplemental petition for review June 16, 1948.

Closed.

Order of Nov. 19, 1947, approving amended clan of reorganization of American & Foreign Power Co. under the 1935 act. Motion of the Commission to dismiss petition for review granted Mar. 16, 1948. Closed.

Petition of Esther Vogel, et al., common stockholders of Northern New England Co., to review that part of the Commission's order of June 27, 1947, which allowed for cancellation of the Prior Lieu stock, as part of New England Public Service Co.'s simplification under the 1935 act. Petition withdrawn Oct. 3, 1947, pursuant to stiguilation. Closed.

Table 27.—Petitions for review of orders of Commission under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, and the Investment Company Act of 1940, pending in circuit courts of appeals during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948—Continued

Petitioner	United States Circuit Court of Appeals	Initiating papers filed	Commission action appealed from and status of case
Washington Railway & Electric Co	Court of Appeals for the District of Co- lumbia.	June 12, 1942	On Apr. 14, 1942, the Commission, under sec. 11 (b) of the 1935 act, ordered North American Co. to divest itself of its interest in certain subsidiaries, including petitioners, and ordered petitioners to divest themselves of certain subsidiaries. North American filed petition for review in second circuit and petitioners filed petition for review in Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. On Aug. 8, 1942, Commission filed transcript of record in second circuit. To avoid review of order by 2 courts, Commission, on Aug. 20, 1942, filed motion to dismiss petition in Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. On Oct. 7, 1942, motion to dismiss denied and order stayed pending disposition of North American's petition. Order entered Jan. 4, 1947, suspending further proceedings to July 3, 1947, at which time petition to review was dismissed in accordance with agreement. Closed.

Table 28.—Contempt proceedings pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

CIVIL CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS

Principal defendants	Number of de- fendants	United States District Court	Initiating papers filed	Status of Case
Artemisa Mines, Ltd., and Oliver O. Kendall.	2	Arizona	June 28, 1943	Order Nov. 15, 1943, adjudging Oliver O. Kendall, president of Artemisa Mines, Ltd., an Arizona corporation, in contempt for failure to comply with order of court dated May 18, 1943, requiring the corporation to produce certain documents and papers. Defendant Kendall presently out of the United States. Pending.
The Penfield Co. of California	1	Southern District of California.	Jan. 24,1945	Order Feb. 8, 1945, directing A. W. Young, secretary-treasurer of Penfield Co. of California, to show cause why an order should not be issued holding him in contempt of court for failure to comply with order dated June 1, 1943. Young appeared on Feb. 26, 1945. On July 2, 1945, an order was entered adjudging Young in contempt and fining him \$50. On Sept. 13, 1945, order entered by COA-9 denying Commission's application for leave to file petition for writ of mandamus against district court judge. On Sept. 26, 1945, notice of appeal from order of July 2, 1945, was filed by Commission to CCA-9. On June 25, 1946, an opinion was rendered reversing the order and remanding the case to the district court for an order requiring Young's imprisonment to compel his obedience to the order to produce the documents in question. Petition for writ of certiforar granted Nov. 18, 1946. Supreme Court affirmed circuit court judgment Mar. 31, 1947, and remanded case to the district court. Petition for rehearing denied May 5, 1947. Records were produced June 2, 1947. Order entered Sept. 8, 1947, discharging A. W. Young from contempt order. Closed.

Table 29.—Cases in which the Commission participated as intervenor or as amicus curiae, pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948

Name of case	Court	Brief filed	- Nature and status of case
Acker v. Schulle	U. S. District Court (Southern District of New York).	Mar. 8, 1947	Actions brought Feb. 6, 1945, by individual stockholders for damages resulting from alleged violations of secs. 9 and 10 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and rule X-10B-5 thereunder. Defendants seek to require plaintiffs to fill undertaking for costs including counsel fees basing their claim for security on a provision of sec. 9 (c) of the act. On Mar. 8, 1947, the Commission filed a memorandum as amicus curiae contending that plaintiffs cannot be required to furnish an undertaking for costs in a suit under sec. 10 (b), and as to sec. 9 (c) that the provision therein for an undertaking for costs should not be so construed as in effect to nullify opportunity for relief where claim has merit and is filed in good faith. Defendants' motions for security for costs denied
Arcidia v. Fusaro.	do	Not yet filed	May 26, 1947. Pending. Complaint filed demanding judgments against defendants of certain specified amounts, and charging violations of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities
Auburn Savings Bank v. Portland R. R. Co.	Supreme Judictal Court of Maine.	June 25, 1945	Exchange Act of 1934, and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Pending, Stockholders' suit filed Feb. 3, 1945, collaterally attacked a Dec. 19, 1944, order of Commission under sec. 11 (e) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 approving plan for liquidation and dissolution of defendant, a statutory subsidiary of Central Maine Power Co. On June 25, 1945, Commission filed brief as amicus curiae noting subsequent filing (on Feb. 18, 1945) of petition for review of Commission's order in CCA-1, and taking position that, under the act, a State court lacks jurisdiction to enjoin or set aside transactions involved, or to issue decree inconsistent with Commission's order. Judgment was rendered for plaintiff in a comparatively small amount and plaintiff appealed. Appeal pending.

Table 29.—Cases in which the Commission participated as intervenor or as amicus curiae, pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948—Continued

Name of case	Court	Brief filed	Nature and status of case
Austrian and Butcher as Trustees of Central States Electric Corp. v. Harrison Williams.	U. S. District Court (Southern District of New York).	Nov. 8, 1945; Nov. 4, 1946; Apr. 10, 1947; Nov. 5, 1947.	Trustees of debtor Central States Electric Corp., appointed by district court in Virginia pursuant to ch. X of the Bankruptcy Act, brought sult in New York Federal court to recover from defendants who, as officers, directors, controlling stockholder of debtor and in other capacities, had allegedly defrauded and otherwise wronged the corporation. Action was instituted following investigation by trustees under Bankruptcy Act and pursuant to order of ch. X court. No allegation of diversity of citizenship or reliance thereon was made to establish jurisdiction. Defendants moved to dismiss on grounds that (1) Federal court in New York hacked jurisdiction and (2) cause of action was barred by New York State statute of limitation. Commission filed memoranda as amicus curiae in opposition to defendant's motions for dismissal and summary judgment taking position to defendant's motions for dismissal and summary judgment taking position that jurisdiction was conferred upon court by Bankruptcy Act and sec. 24 (1) of Judicial Code, that State statute of limitations was not applicable, and that such action is not barred until after discovery of causes of action which have been fraudulently concealed by defendants. District court dismissed complaint, holding that thad no jurisdiction. As to statute of limitations, court stated it would have denied motion on this ground because issues of fact would have to be determined before legal questions could be decided. Notice of appeals tyrustees to CCA-2 filed June 19, 1946. Brief filed by Commission as amicus curiae Nov. 4, 1946. Opinion rendered Dec. 10, 1046, reversing district court and holding that Trustees have right to bring suit in Federal court on a jurisdiction found in the Bankruptcy Act. Petition for writ of certiorari filed Jan. 4, 1947, and granted Feb. 10, 1947. Commission filed brief as amicus curiae Apr. 10, 1947. On June 18, 1947, the Supreme Court affirmed the court of appeals decision. On Nov. 5, 1947, Commission filed brief as amicus curiae in opposition to defenda
Beckhardt v. National Power & Light Co	U. S. Court of Appeals (Second Circuit).	Nov. 3, 1947	Action for reasonable value of plaintiff's services in obtaining a more advantageous settlement for defendant of certain intercompany claims in connection with a voluntary plan filed under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935. The district court entered a stay order pending final determination by the Commission of plaintiff's claim for compensation. Plaintiff appealed. Commission filed brief as amicus curiae in support of district court's order. Appeal dismissed Nov. 20, 1947. Closed.
Berkey & Gay Furniture Co. v. Wigmore	U. S. District Court (Southern District of New York).	July 15, 1947	court's order. Appeal dismissed Nov. 20, 1947. Crosed. Action against a former director of plaintiff pursuant to sec. 16 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to recover short-swing profits realized by defendant from certain transactions while a director. Constitutionality of sec. 16 (b) was challenged. Commission appeared as unicus curiae July 15, 1947. On August 27, 1947, an order was entered granting plaintiff judgment in the sum of \$74,168.66, plus interest, and costs. Closed.

Claughton v. Missouri-Kansas-Tezas Rail- road Co.	U.S. District Court (Southern District of Florida).		Action for a declaratory judgment to determine the liability of an insider pursuant to sec. 16 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Pending.
Continental Bank and Trust Co., of New York v. The First National Petroleum Trust (Sadie L. Aberson, et al., Inter- veners).	U. S. District Court (Rhode Island).	July 16, 1945, Sept. 27, 1945.	Action by indenture trustee to recover accumulated overdue interest. Defense predieated on indenture provision patterned upon sec. 316 (a) (1) of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939. Judgment for plaintiff, Mar. 2, 1946. Appeal filed Aug. 12, 1946. Case settled and judgment of district court vacated.
First National Petroleum Trust v, Old Na- tional Bank of Evansville.	U. S. Court of Appeals (First Circuit).		June 28, 1948. Closed.
Dederick, suing on behalf of himself and all other stockholders of North American Light & Power Co. v. The North American Co. and North American Light & Power Co.	U. S. District Court (Southern District of New York).	Aug. 8, 1942	Derivative suit instituted in October 1941 to have the North American Co. declared agent and trustee of its subsidiary, Light & Power, in the acquisition by former of debentures and preferred stock of its subsidiary at prices below principal amount and liquidation value; to compel parent to sell and subsidiary to reacquire stock at their cost price to parent; and for an accounting. Light & Power moved for dismissal of action. Commission has primary jurisdiction to hear and determine the issues, and why court should not take jurisdiction thereof. On Mar. 8, 1940, the Commission had instituted proceedings under sec. 11 (b) (1) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 with respect to North American and subsidiaries, including Light & Power. On Dec. 2, 1941, the Commission had instituted proceedings under sec. 11 (b) (2) of the act with respect to Light & Power. On Dec. 30, 1941, the Commission ordered winding up of Light & Power. Motion to dismiss denied Jun. 12, 1943, on ground that complaint does not seek liquidation of Light & Power, but action is stayed until determination of the proceedings before the Commission.
Downing v. Howard	U. 8.7Court of Appeals (Third Circuit).	May 20, 1947	Derivative stockholder's action on behalf of the United Corp., against a number of defendants stating two causes of action predicated upon violations of sec. 4 (a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935. Dismissed by district court. Plaintiff appealed to circuit court as to whether or not a private cause of action is available for a violation of sec. 4, no basis having been shown for a determination that a private cause of action is unavailable under all the sections of the act. The circuit court affirmed the judgment of the district court June 24, 1947. Petition for writ of certiorari was filed Sept. 23, 1947, and denied Nov. 10, 1947. Closed.
Doyle v. Milton	U. S. District Court (Southern District of New York).	Dec. 9, 1946	Action by a stockholder of a registered investment company to set aside the results of a stockholders' vote on the ground of alleged violation of the Commission's proxy rules and for other relief. Violation of certain standards of the Investment Company Act was also charged. The Commission filed a brief amicus curiae. Complaint dismissed Apr. 3, 1947, except as to a cause of action charging waste by the corporate management. An order was entered on June 7, 1948, by consent, dismissing and discontinuing the action, without costs. Closed.

Table 29.—Cases in which the Commission participated as intervenor or as amicus curiae, pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948—Continued

Name of case	Court	Brief filed	Nature and status of case
Dunbacher v. American Cities Power & Light Corp.	U.S. District Court (Southern District of New York).	Mar. 2, 1948	Action instituted against corporation for injunction and damages in the district court. At the time this action was filed, plaintiff also made a motion for temporary injunction. Commission filed a statement as amicus curiae Mar. 2, 1948, regarding the motion for temporary injunction. The plaintiff sought to restrain an offer by the corporation to purchase its own outstanding common stock at asset value through the use of portfolio securities and cash on the ground that the purchase was not in the interest of a corporation but solely to enable its parent corporation, Central States Electric Corp., to obtain a greater percentage of stock of the company for its own tax advantage. The Commission's statement indicated that in its opinion the transaction was fair and reasonable and in the best interests of security holders of both corporations. The motion for temporary injunction was denied by the district court and an appeal was taken to the circuit court. The appeal was heard Mar. 3, 1948. At the time of the argument on the appeal from the deniel of the motion,
Gratz v. Claughton	do	Мау 20, 1946	the Commission's attement filed in the district court was submitted to the circuit court judges. The circuit court then affirmed the denial. Fending. Suit under sec. 16 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to recover profits from short-term trading in securities by an insider. Defendant moved to dismiss for improper venue. Commission filed a memorandum in support of venue as laid. On Apr. 2, 1947, court denied motion to dismiss. On June 15, 1948, defendant filed an application for approval by the special master of a proposal for settlement and disposition of action. The Commission filed an
Grossman and Temin'(L. A. Young Spring & Wire Corp.) v. Young.	do	Aug. 26, 1946	answer June 21, 1948. Pending. Suit under sec. 16 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to recover profits from short-term trading in securities by an insider. The district court denied defendant's motion to dismiss, made on the ground that venue was improperly laid and that the court lacked jurisdiction. Defendant then moved to dismiss on the grounds that the statute of limitation barred the action and that the corporation had not been given the opportunity to institute the suit. This
Illinois-Iowa Power Co. v. North American Light & Power Co.	U. S. District Court (District of Delaware).	Feb. 13, 1943 (motion to intervene).	motion to dismiss was denied July 3, 1947. Pending. Suit against plaintiff's parent alleging overreaching by parent. Commission moved for leave to intervene and for stay, on ground, inter alia, that sec. 11 proceedings pending before it under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 with respect to Light & Power involved the same parties and same claim and would dispose of issues in case. Intervention permitted and stay granted Aug. 27, 1943. Order entered May 28, 1947, approving settlement and providing for entry of subsequent order of dismissal upon consummation
Kardon v. National Gypsum Co	U. S. District Court (Eastern District of Pennsylvania).		of seitlement. Closed. Private action founded on alleged violations of sec. 10 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and rule X-10B-5 thereunder. The Commission filed as amicus curiae taking the position that such action for damages resulting from a violation of sec. 10 (b) and rule X-10B-5 is maintainable by application of the general common law rules and under the express provisions of sec. 29 (b) of the act. Motions to dismiss denied Dec. 2, 1946. Argument set for July 15, 1947. On Sept. 9, 1947. a decree was entered directing defendants to pro-

Kogan v. Schulte	U. S. District Court (Southern District of New York).	No brief filed	duce all records covering the transactions under question, and appointing a special master. On Jan. 2, 1948, an order was entered directing defendants to file an account in debit and credit form and to afford plaintiffs opportunity to inspect the books and records. Pending. Suit brought May 15, 1945, under sec. 16 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in behalf of Park & Tilford, Inc., to recover profits realized from short-term trading in securities by insiders. Notice of motion for summary judgment filed by Kogan on Oct. 16, 1945. Motion submitted Oct. 30, 1945, by plaintiff in opposition to motion to dismiss. Decision reserved. In view of recovery on same claim in Park & Tilford, Inc. v. Schulte, et al., as trustees, this case is now moot. Petition filed June 18, 1946, by counsel for plaintiff for allowance of counsel fees and expenses. Allowance made on June 18, 1948. Pending.
Do	do	Mar. 1945; Apr. 16, 1945	Suit instituted Sept. 12, 1944, under sec. 16 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to recover profits from short-term trading in securities by an insider. On Mar. 14, 1945, plaintiff moved for partial summary judgment for profit realized on sale of common stock acquired on option to convert shares of preferred stock. Commission filed briefs as amicus curlae on proper construction of sec. 16 (b). District court, although denying motion for partial summary judgment due to difficulty of determining recoverable profit on available evidence, held that exercise of conversion option was a nonexempt "purchase" and that such construction did not render statutory provision unconstitutional Petition filed June 18, 1947, by counsel for plaintiff for allowance of counsel
Leiman v. Guttman (Pittsburgh Terminal Coal, In Re).	Supreme Court of the State of New York; U.S. Su- preme Court.	Nov. 20, 1947	fees. Allowance made on June 18, 1948. Pending. Action commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York to recover additional compensation for services performed in the reorganization of debtor in the U. S. District Court. Defendants-appellants moved the Supreme Court for dismissal of the amended complaint on the ground that exclusive jurisdiction rests in the district court supervising the reorganization. Motion to dismiss denied. Affirmed June 24, 1947, by Appellate Division. Appeal taken to the Court of Appeals of the State of Now York. Commission filed brief as amigus curiae Nov. 20, 1947, in support of appeal. Orders reversed and motion to dismiss granted Mar. 25, 1948. Petition for writ of certiforari to the U. S. Supreme Court filed June 9, 1948. Brief in opposition
Miller v. Hano	U.S. District Court (East- ern Division of Pennsyl- vania).	June 7, 1948	filed. Certiorari pending. Action instituted pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933. Commission filed brief as amicus, curiae June 7, 1948, in support of contention in plaintiffs' brief that accountants and every other person specified in sec. 11 (a) of the act who participates in the preparation of the registration statement, "participate" in the sale of securities offered on the basis of the registration statement, within the meaning of the venue provision of sec. 22 (a). Evidence presented by plaintiffs in an affidavit indicated that the accountants did in fact participate; therefore it was unnecessary to decide the validity of this contention. Pending.

Table 29.—Cases in which the Commission participated as intervenor or as amicus curiae, pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948—Continued

Name of case	Court	Brief filed	Nature and status of case
Park & Tilford, Inc. v. Schulte, et al	U. S. District Court (Southern District of New York).	Oct. 5, 1945; Mar. 14, 1946; Oct. 14, 1946; Feb. 12, 1947; Aug. 5, 1947.	Suit brought Nov. 17, 1944, under see. 16 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to recover profits realized from short-term trading in securities by an insider. The Commission, as amicus curiae, filed a brief taking the position that the acquisition of common stock by conversion of preferred is a "purchase" within meaning of the act. The United States intervened in support of constitutionality of section. On Sept. 13, 1945, Marjoric D. Kogan, a minority stockholder, sought leave to intervene as party plaintiff, supported by Commission brief as amicus curiae. Intervention was denied on Oct. 23, 1945, and Kogan appealed. The trial court entered judgment for plaintiff on Leave 1, 1946 from which defonder expected. More the records were in
Phillips v. The United Corp	do	July 11, 1947	Jan. 31, 1946, from which defendant appealed. Kogan then sought leave in the Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, for leave to intervene, supported by Commission as amicus curiae. Leave was granted on Mar. 23, 1946, and the appeals by Kogan and defendant were consolidated. On Jan. 8, 1947, CCA-2 reversed the order denying intervention to Kogan, vacated the judgment, and remanded the action to the district court for the entry of an increased judgment. Petition of defendants for rehearing filed Jan. 22, 1947, and denied Mar. 26, 1947. Petition for writ of certiorari filed in the Supreme Court June 21, 1947. Commission filed brief as amicus curiae August 6, 1947, in opposition. Certiorari denied Oct. 13, 1947. Petition filed June 18, 1947, by counsel for plaintiff for allowance of counsel fees. Allowance made on June 18, 1948. Pending. Action to enjoin defendants from (1) taking any steps committing The United Corp. to any corporate actions requiring the approval of its board of directors pending the determination of the complaint and (2) taking any steps looking toward the transformation of the complaint and (2) taking any steps looking toward the transformation of the complaint. Commission filed brief as amicus curiae July 11, 1947, stating that rule U-65 was not violated by management and that the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary injunction denied and defendant's motion to dismiss second amended complaint denied denied. Defendant's motion to dismiss second amended complaint denied
Portemouth Steel Corp. v. Ohio Consolidated Telephone Co.	U. S. District Court (Southern District of Ohio).		June 4, 1948, but a stay of proceedings granted until final determination by Commission and further order of court. Appeal taken by plaintiff in August 1948. Pending. Action seeking to enjoin defendant from producing long distance telephone toll tickets in response to a subpena duces tecum issued by Commission. Commission permitted to intervene and filed answer. Because of developments in the investigation out of which the subpena had been issued, a substitute, more specific subpena was served on the defendant. Plaintiffs' limited objection to substitute subpena rejected by the court and Commission's motion to dismiss the complaint was granted on May 17, 1948. Closed.

Slavin v. Germantown Fire Insurance Co	U. S. D strict Court (Eastern District of Pennsylvania); U. S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit,	Dec. 4, 1946; Apr. 3, 1948; June 23, 1948.	Shareholders' derivative action alleging fraud under rule X-10B-5 pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Motion to dismiss complaint denied Dec. 5, 1946. Final judgment dismissing complaint entered Nov. 12, 1947. Appeal filed February 10, 1948. Oral argument held June 7, 1948. Case taken under advisement by court. Appeal pending.
Speed v. Transamerica Corp	U. S. District Court (Delaware).	Feb. 19, 1947	Class suit for damages alleging fraud both at common law and under rule X-10B-5 pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Complaint dismissed as to the common law count, but upheld as to counts under rule X-10B-5, May 9, 1947. Defendant's petition for rehearing denied, June 25, 1947. Trial on merits completed and case taken under advisement by court. Perding.
United Funds Management Corp., Bankrupt.	U. S. District Court (Western District of Missouri).	Feb. 10, 1943; Mar. 19, 1945.	The debtor filed a voluntary petition in bankruptcy Sept. 30, 1942, and was adjudicated bankrupt Oct. 1, 1942. Debtor is an investment company which, prior to the Investment Company Act of 1940, had issued several series of face-amount certificates pursuant to separate collateral trust indentures securing payment thereof. The Commission is participating in proceedings as amicus curiae by invitation of the court. Hearing to determine nature and extent of certificate holders' claims and security therefor held Mar. 8, 1945. The Commission filed a comprehensive brief on these issues. On Apr. 20, 1945, the court issued a memorandum opinion and order directing partial distribution. Final distribution of cash surrender values, as determined by court, and interim payments directed by July 21, 1945 orders, amended Aug. 25, 1945. Final decrees incorporating orders issued Aug. 27, 1945. In addition to its brief dealing with problems of distribution, the Commission has filed briefs to assist the court on other questions. Claims based on certificates A, F, G, H, K, and L were paid as decreed by the district court. Final meeting of creditors held in open court Oct. 4, 1947. No objec-
Young v. Justices Rifkind and Bright	Circuit Court of Appeals (Second Circuit).	Oct. 13, 1947	tions were made to final order of distribution. Closed. Defendant petitioned CA-2 for a writ of prohibition and mandamus. Commission filed memorandum as amicus curiae in opposition. The petition was denied Oct. 13, 1947. Closed.

Table 30.—Proceedings by the Commission, pending during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1948, to enforce subpense under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Principal defendants	Number of de- fendants	United States District Court	Initiating papers filed	Section of act involved	Status of case
Artemisa Mines, Ltd	2	Arizona	Apr. 8,1943	Sec. 22 (b), 1933 act	Order May 18, 1943, required Artemisa Mines, Ltd. to appear before an officer of the Commission on June 28, 1943, and produce the records described in subpena duces tecum. Court dismissed application to enforce subpena with respect to Mines de Artemsia, S. A., a foreign corporation, for lack of jurisdiction on Sept. 19, 1944. June 26, 1945, CCA-9 reversed the district court. Aug. 1, 1945, order entered requiring Minas de Artemisa, S. A., to respond to the subpena. Pending. (See appendix table on civil contempt proceedings.)
Continental Illinois Bank . & . Trust Oo, of Chicago.	1	Northern District of Illinois			Order June 1, 1948, requiring respondent to appear before an officer of the Commission on June 4, 1948, and to produce books and records described in a subpena duces tecum. Records
Harrison, Marvin C, and Hull, Allan.	2	Eastern District of Michigan	May 7, 1948		produced. Closed. Order entered May 13, 1948, dismissing action, the defendants having given the required
D0	2	District of Columbia	June 25, 1948	do	testimony. Closed, Complaint filed for an order by the district court directing the defendants to respond to subpens ad testificandum, Pending.
O'Connor, Edward J	1	Southern District of California	June 4, 1948	Sec. 22 (b), 1933 act	Order entered June 29, 1948, requiring respondent to appear before an officer of the Commission and give testimony concerning matters referred
Tucker Corp	1	Northern District of Illinois	June 15, 1948	do	' to in subnana ad tastificandum Ponding

Table 31.—Actions to enforce voluntary plans under sec. 11 (e) to comply with sec. 11 (b) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935

Name of case	United States Dis- trict Court	Initiating papers filed	Status of case
American & Foreign Power Co., Inc.	Maine.	Nov. 20, 1947	Pending.
American States Utilities Corp	Nebraska	Oct. 7, 1947	Order Nov. 24, 1947, approving plan as fair, equitable and appropriate. Order Mar. 8 1948, discharging American States Utilities Corp., et al., from jurisdiction of court.
Central States Power & Light Corp.	*	٠	Supplemental order Dec. 3, 1947, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 31, 1947, by Arthur Burnstine and Sidney Burnstine. Appeal of the control
Cities Service Co	do	Apr. 25, 1947	Order May 27, 1947, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate.
			1947, by Gabriel Caplan, et al. Appeal June 7, 1947, by Vanneck and Moran. Appeal June 9, 1947, by Alfred MacArthur, et al. Appeal June 9, 1947, by New York Trust Co., trustee. Order May 3, 1948, affirming order of district court in appeals of Vanneck and Moran, Alfred MacArthur, et al., and New York Trust Co. Order June 10, 1948, affirming order of District Court in appeal of Captiel Caplan, et al. Petition for writ of cer-
			tiorari by Vanneck, et al., and Caplan, et al., denied June 14, 1948. Pending. Order entered Oct. 14, 1947, approving second supplemental plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate.
East Coast Public Service Co	do	Apr. 3, 1947	Order Apr. 29, 1947, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Supplemental application filed Sept. 30, 1947. Supplemental order Sept. 30, 1947, modifying order of Apr. 29, 1947, and appropriate.
Eastern Minnesota Power Co	Minnesota	June 10, 1947	Order Nov. 8, 1947, approving amended plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate insofar as it related to the sale of physical assets and the payment of first mortgage bonds. Supplemental application filed Nov. 7, 1947, for approval of a stock plan. Order Dec. 12, 1947, approving stock plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Pending.
Electric Bond & Share Co	New York.		Order Dec. 20, 1946, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Appeal filed Jan, 2, 1947, by Samuel Okin. Appeal filed Jan. 28, 1947, by Franklin and Marshall College. Appeal of Franklin and Marshall College dismissed pursuant to stipulation dated Mar.
Do	do	May 27, 1946	by Eli Auerbach filed Aug. 9, 1946. Supplemental application for order approving
Engineers Public Service Co., Inc.	Delaware	Jan. 9, 1947	portion of plan pertaining to payment of fees and expenses. Pending. Order May 29, 1947, enforcing plan except insofar as it provided for the payment of more than the liquidation preferences of the preferred stock. Notice of appeal by the Com- mission filed June 3, 1947. Notice of appeal by Thomas W. Streeter, et al., filed May
			29, 1947. Notice of appeal by The Home Insurance Co., et al., filed about June 5, 1947. Opinion Mar. 19, 1948, vacating order of district court and remanding cause with directions to enter order disapproving plan and remanding to the Commission. Petitions
llinois Power Co	do	May 2, 1947	of all appellants for rehearing denied June 11, 1948. Pending. Order May 28, 1947, approving portion of plan I as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Supplemental application July 3, 1947. Order Nov. 6, 1947, approving amended plan I as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Notice of appeal by Nellie D. Walters, et al. filled Feb. 6, 1948. Appeal dismissed Feb. 17, 1948. Notice of appeal by Jane Scatter-
Indiana Service Corp	Northern District of Indiana.	Dec. 20, 1946	good, et al. filed Jan. 23, 1948. Pending. Order Feb. 14, 1947, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Appeal taken May 2, 1947. Appeal dismissed May 16, 1947.

Table 31.—Actions to enforce voluntary plans under sec. 11 (e) to comply with sec. 11 (b) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935—Continued

Name of case	United States Dis- trict Court	Initiating papers filed	Status of case
Interstate Power Co	Delaware	Jan. 24, 1947	Order Apr. 24, 1947, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Supplemental application filed Dec. 31, 1947. Order Jan. 7, 1948, approving alternate plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Notice of appeal filed by John F. Errington, et al.
Kings County Lighting Co	Eastern District of New York.	Jan. 9, 1947	Pending. Order July 16, 1947, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Appeals taken by the Public Service Commission of the State of New York and the secretary of state of New York. Order Mar. 5, 1948, affirming order of district court. Petition for writ of certiforari by Public Service Commission of the State of New York denied June 7, 1948. Panding.
Louisville Gas & Electric Co New England Power Association	Delaware Massachusetts	Oct. 29, 1947 Mar. 15, 1946	Order May 13, 1948, remanding proceeding to the Commission. Pending. Order June 6, 1946, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Appeal taken July 18, 1946, by Matthew Lahti. Appeal taken Aug. 27, 1946, by Preferred Stock- holders Committee. Circuit court affirmed order of district court Apr. 11, 1947.
New England Public Service Co	Maine	July 3, 1947	Order Aug. 6, 1947, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Appeals taken by Esther Vogel, et al., State Street Investment Corp., and Russell B. Stearns. Pending.
North West Utilities Co Northern States Power Co. (Delaware), Northern States Power Co. (Minnesota).	Delaware Minnesota	Jan. 2, 1948 Jan. 22, 1946	Order Mar. 5, 1948, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Proceeding dismissed Nov. 20, 1946.
Do	do	Feb. 3, 1948	Pending.
Public Service Corp. of New Jersey. Republic Service Corp.	New Jersey Delaware	Feb. 27, 1948 Reopened May 3, 1948	Order Mar. 19, 1048, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Order May 28, 1948, approving amended joint plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Pending.
United Gas Corp	do	Sept. 8, 1944	Order Nov. 20, 1944, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate. Appeal taken by Samuel Okin. District court order affirmed June 3, 1947.
United Gas Improvement Co United Public Utilities Corp Washington Railway & Electric Co.	Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia	Dec. 15, 1947 Reopened Feb. 20, 1948 May 16, 1947	Order Jan. 19, 1948, approving plan as fair, equitable, and appropriate.
_			

Table 32.—Actions under sec. 11 (d) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 to enforce compliance with Commission's order issued under sec. 11 (b) of that act

Name of case	United States Dis- trict Court	Initiating papers filed	Status of case	
International Hydro-Electric System.	Massachusetts	Aug. 12, 1943	Action by Commission, with consent of company, under secs. 11 (d), 18 (f), and 25 to enforce its order of July 21, 1942, requiring dissolution of the company. The court was asked (1) to take exclusive jurisdiction of the company and its assets; (2) to enjoin interference; (3) to compel compliance with the Commission's order; and (4) to appoint a special counsel to investigate an intercompany claim against International Paper Co. Aug. 12, 1943, temporary order entered by court and on Oct. 11, 1943, an interlocatory decree and order was entered in which court took exclusive jurisdiction, granted injunction, and appointed special counsel as requested. Nov. 13, 1944, special counsel appointed trustee of estate of company and directed to institute suit on claim against International Paper Co. Dec. 25, 1945, district court approved settlement and termination of these suits, and notices of appeal from this approval were filed Jan. 25 1946, in CCA-1. Nov. 14, 1946, opinion rendered affirming judgment of the district court. Petition for writ of certiorari filed Dec. 28, 1946, and denied Feb. 10, 1947 Petition for rehearing denied Mar. 10, 1947. There are now before the Commission plans of reorganization which, if approved by Commission, will be submitted to the reorganization court. Pending.	

Table 33.—Reorganization cases under ch. X, pending during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1948, in which the Commission participated when appeals were taken from district court orders

Name of case	United States Circuit Court of Appeals	Date SEC entered case	Nature and status of case
Central States Electric Corp., Debtor: Malevanchik v. Austrian	Fourth	Mar. 8, 1948	Appeal from Jan. 7, 1948, order authorizing the trustees of the debtor to recommend to the board of directors of its subsidiary. American Cities Power & Light Corp., an exchange offer to the public holders of American Cities' class "B" stock. Appeal dismissed Mar. 11, 1948, upon motion of appellant.
Ortion v. Austrian	do	do	Closed, Appeal from Jan. 15, 1948, order approving a settlement of claims asserted
Malevanchik v. Austrian	do	do	against debtor by subsidiaries American Cities Power & Light Corp. and Blue Ridge Corp. Appeal dismissed Mar. 15, 1948. Closed. Appeal from Feb. 18, 1948, order denying appellant's petition for reconsidera- tion of settlement. Appeal dismissed Mar. 15, 1948. Closed.

Table 33.—Reorganization cases under ch. X, pending during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1948, in which the Commission participated when appeals were taken from district court orders—Continued

Name of case	United States Circuit Court of Appeals	Date SEC entered case	Nature and status of case
Chicago Surface Lines, Debtor: Birnbaum & Co., Cole, and Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. v. Chicago Transit Authority.	Seventh	Sept. 1947	Appeals from Sept. 12, 1947, order denying petition to modify injunctive provisions contained in order of sale of debtor's property pursuant to plan of reorganization. Sept. 30, 1947, CCA granted motion of appellee and various bondholders' committees to docket and dismiss appeals and ordered issuance of mandates forthwith. Thereafter, petitioners filed petitions for writs of cartiorari; certiorari denied Feb. 2, 1948. Motion for leave to file petition for writ of mandamus denied Feb. 2, 1948. Closed.
Childs Company, Debtor: Brodsky v. Finn.	Second	Nov. 30, 1943	for writ of mandamus denied Feb. 2, 1943. Closed. Notice of motion returnable Mar. 3, 1947, for an order limiting the time for filing briefs and setting a date for argument of appeal, filed Feb. 25, 1947. On July 10, 1947, CCA-2 reversed district court's order of Feb. 3, 1947, and remanded case. Closed.
Congress & Senate Co., Debtor: London v. Snyder, Trustee, Congress & Senate Co., Debtor; Koplar v. Hemker; Koplar v. Snyder, Trustee.		Apr. 24, 1947	Consolidated appeals from Dec. 16, 1946, orders disallowing legal fees to London & Burch and allowing compensation to Hemker as counsel for trustee. Commission filed brief in support of district court decisions. CCA refused to grant petition of Koplar for leave to appeal from order allowing fee of trustee. Opinion of CCA rendered July 31, 1947, affirming bankruptcy court's order on appeal of London and Burch, reversing order on appeal of Koplar. Petitions for rehearing filed and denied. Opinion of July 31, 1947, withdrawn and judgments entered on opinion veated, and new judgment entered in Koplar v. Hemker. Cause be remanded to the district court with directions to allow Hemker an amount less than that allowed by the district court. Closed.
Diversey Hotel Corp., Debtor: Kosdon v. Diversey Hotel Corp	Seventhdo		port of district court order. District court order affirmed Jan. 21, 1948. Petition for writ of certiorari filed Feb. 12, 1948. Commission's brief in opposition filed Mar. 11, 1948. Certiorari denied Mar. 29, 1948. Classed
80 John Street Corp., Debtor: Hickin, Trustee, and Manufacturers Trust Co. v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.	Second	Feb. 26, 1947	May 11, 1948. Closed. Appeal from Nov. 6, 1946, order fixing the rate at which interest is payable on a consolidated first mortgage on property involved. CCA affirmed order June 28, 1947. Closed.
Equitable Office Building Corp., Debtor: Granger v. Equitable Office Building Corp.	do	Jan. 28, 1948	Appeal from orders of district court dated Sept. 17, 1947, and Oct. 24, 1947, approving and confirming plan of reorganization. Orders affirmed Apr. 9, 1948, Closed.
Espade Realty Corporation, Debtor: Prudence Realization Corp., Appellant v. Hunter L. Delatour and Raymond Reisler, Trustees, Appellees.	do	Nov. 14, 1946	Appeal from July 25, 1946, order of district court relating to interest claims against the debtor. Order affirmed by CCA-2, April 5, 1948. Closed.
Industrial Office Building Corp., Debtor	Third	June 18, 1948	Appeal by the debtor and certain noteholders of the debtor from May 19, 1948, order directing an interim distribution to first mortgage bondholders. Motion of SEC to dismiss appeals denied, and motion of debtor for stay denied. Pending.

		•	
Inland Gas Corp., Debtor: Green Com- mittee v. Williamson.	Sixth	Mar. 20, 1947	Appeal from Sept. 30, 1946, order of district court re interim allowances. Commission's memorandum filed Mar. 20, 1947. Order affirmed May 16, 1947. Closed.
International Mining & Milling Co., Debtor: Title Insurance & Guaranty Co. v. Hart.	Ninth	July 22, 1946	Appeal filed June 17, 1946, from Nov. 1, 1945, order. Commission filed brief reissue raised by appellants that under sec. 70 (b) of the Bankruptcy Act any lease not assumed by trustee within 80 days after the adjudication shall be deemed to be rejected. It is the position of the Commission that this provision of sec. 70 (b) is inconsistent or in conflict with the provisions of ch. X and therefore inapplicable by reason of sec. 102. Opinion rendered Jan. 3, 1947, amended Mar. 24, 1947 (160 F. (2d) 961), affirming judgment of district court and sustaining views of the Commission. Mandate stayed until June 24, 1947. Petition for writ of certiorari filed June 21, 1947, and denied Oct. 13, 1947. On Oct. 23, 1947, mandate of CCA-9 affirming judgment of district court received in that court. Closed.
International Power Securities Corp., Debtor: Amott v. The National City Bank of New York,	1	Jan. 29, 1948	Consolidated appeals from district court order of Dec. 22, 1947. Commission filed brief in support of appeals. Pending.
Midwest Athletic Club, Debtor: Chicago Tule & Trust Co. v. Ryan.	Seventh	Apr. 30, 1947	Four consolidated appeals from orders dated Dec. 20, 1946, Jan. 21, 1947, Jan. 24, 1947, and Mar. 4, 1947, of the district court approving petition for reorganization under ch. X and appointing temporary trustee. Commission's brief filed Apr. 30, 1947, in support of orders. Opinion rendered June 6, 1947, reversing the orders in the district court in appeals 9284 and 9285 and remanding the causes with directions to dismiss the petition for want of jurisdiction. In view of the disposition of these causes, there is no need to consider Nos. 9315 and 9324. Motion to stay mandate filed June 23, 1947, and denied June 30, 1947. Mandate issued July 2, 1947. Closed.
National Realty Trust, Debtor: Baumann v. Mosser	do	Jan. 5, 1948	Appeal from district court order of June 13, 1947. Commission, as appellee,
Sullivan v. Mosser	do		filed brief. Order of district court affirmed Mar. 2, 1948. Closed. Appeal from district court order of Sept. 18, 1947. Commission, as appellee, filed brief. Order of district court reversed Mar. 2, 1948, and remanded for further proceedings. Closed.
Pittsburgh Railways Company, Debtor; Sullivan v. Philadelphia Co.	Third	Nov. 21, 1947	Appeal from Oct. 21, 1947, orders determining that hearings on issues of sub- ordination of Philadelphia Co's. claims should be held separately from hear- ings on the plan for reorganization. Commission filed brief in support of appeals. District court orders affirmed Nov. 26, 1947. Closed.
Portland Electric Power Co., Debtor: L. C. White, et al. v. Debtor.		Mar. 17, 1947	Appeals from order approving plan of reorganization. Commission's brief in support of plan filed Mar. 17, 1947. Order affirmed in both appeals June 17, 1947. Petition for writ of certiorari, filed Oct. 6, 1947, and denied Dec. 8, 1947. Closed
Portland Electric Power Co., Prior Preference Stockholders Committee v. District Court Judges for the District of Oregon.	do	Jan. 14, 1947	in opposition to petition, filed Jan. 14, 1947. Order entered June 19, 1947, dismissing petition. Closed.
Realty Associates Securities Corp., Debtor: Lulu R. Kelby v. Manufacturers Trus Co.	Second	Mar. 7, 1947	Appeal to CCA-2 from Aug. 5, 1946, order re guaranty payments. CCA-2 opinion dated June 12, 1947, affirmed findings of district court. Closed.
Co., Realty Associates Securities Corp., v. Man- ufacturers Trust Co.	do Supreme Court	do Nov. 15, 1947	Appeals from Aug. 5, 1946, order. On July 23, 1947, CCA-2 modified district court's order re payment of interest claims on appeal of the debtor and its sole stockholder, and affirmed on appeal of the indenture trustee re application of interim payment. Petitions for writs of certiorari filed Oct. 18, 1947, and denied 8, 1947 (68 S. Ct). Closed.

Table 33.—Reorganization cases under ch. X, pending during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1948, in which the Commission participated when appeals were taken from district court orders—Continued

Name of case	United States Circuit Court of Appeals	Date SEC entered case	Nature and status of case
32-36 North State Street Building Corp., Debtor: State-Washington Stores Co., Appellants.	Seventh	Aug. 1, 1947	Appeal from May 13, 1947, order disallowing compensation for legal services to appellant's attorneys and allowing compensation to other fee applicants. Commission filed brief in support of district court order. Opinion rendered Nov. 4, 1947, by CCA affirming district court's order. Petition for rehearing filed Nov. 17, 1947, and denied Dec. 4, 1947. Mandate issued Dec. 13, 1947. Closed.
Warner Sugar Corp., Debtor: Oscar W. Ehrhorn, Appellant.	Second		Appeals by Isadore Glauberman, Paul E. Kern, and Oscar W. Ehrhorn from order of the district court entered May 25, 1948, allowing compensation to Glauberman and Kern and denying application of Ehrhorn for an allowance for services. Leave to appeal denied except as to Ehrhorn by June 11, 1948, order of court of appeals. Pending.

Table 34.—Cases involving statutes administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission—July 1, 1947 through June 30, 1948 ¹

PART I.—SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

	FART I.—SECURITIES ACT OF IS.	
Title	Citation	Sections of statutes involved
Carruthers v. U. S	hearing denied, 327 U. S. 817, 819	See thirteenth annual report.
Danziger v. U. S	(1946). Cert. denied, 332 U. S. 769 (1947), 77 F.	Do.
Engineers Oit Properties Corp.,	Supp. 466 (S. D. Cal. 1948). 72 F. Supp. 989 (S. D. N. Y. 1947)	2,
In re. Fyre-Myst, Inc.; SEC v	CCH Sec. Act Serv. para. 90, 399	5 (a).
Gasomiser Corp.; U. S. v	(N. D. Ohio 1947). 7 F. R. D. 712 (D. Del. 1947), rehearing	17 (a) (1).
Grayson; U. S. V	CCH Sec. Act Serv. para, 90, 403	17 (a) (1). 5 (a), 17 (a), 20 (b), 22 (a).
Raufman v. U. S	denied, 333 U. S. 857 (1948), rehear-	5 (a) (1, 2), 17 (a) (1, 2).
Mansfield v. U. S	ing denied, 333 U. S. 878 (1948). Cert. denied sub nom. Browne v.	See thirteenth annual report.
Miller v. Hand Monjar; U. S. v. (U. S. v. Moore),	U. S., 329 U. S. 792 (1946). 8 F. R. D. 67 (E. D. Pa. 1947). Cert. denied, 325 U. S. 859 (1944)	12, 15, 22 (a). See thirteenth annual report.
Monjar; U. S. v	64 F. Supp. 746 (D. Del. 1946) 76 F. Supp. 933 (S. D. N. Y. 1948)	17 (a) (1). 11.
Moore v. Gorman. O'Connor; S. E. C. Penfield, SEC v.	75 F. Supp. 453 (S. D. N. Y. 1948) No. 8277-WM (S. D. Cailf. 1948). Rehearing denied, 331 U. S. 865 (1946), contempt proceedings aff'd, 330 U. S. 667 (1947), rehearing denied, 330	2 (3), 4, 5, 12 (2), 22 (a). 22 (b). See thirteenth annual report.
Phillips v. The United Corp	U. S. 585 (1947). I CCh Sec. Act Serv. par. 90.395 (S. D.	14.
Vidaver; U. S. v	N, Y. 1947). 73 F. Supp. 382 (E. D. Va. 1947). 75 F. Supp. 955 (W. D. Pa. 1948)	17 (a) (1). 2 (3), 5, 17 (a), 20 (b), 22 (a).
Part I	I.—SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT	OF 1934
Acker v. Schulte (Schmolka v.	74 F. Supp. 683 (S. D. N. Y. 1947)	See thirteenth annual report.
Bach v. Quigan Dottenheim v. Emerson Electric Mfg. Co.	5 F. R. D. 34 (E. D. N. Y. 1945) 7 F. R. D. 195,343 (E. D. N. Y. 1947)	De, De,
Fry v. Schumaker	77 F. Supp. 306 (E. D. N. Y. 1948) CCH Sec. Act Serv. par. 90,366 (E. D.	16 (b). 10 (b), 27.
Grossman v. Young Hall v. American Cone & Pret- zel Co.	Pa. 1947). 72 F. Supp. 375 (S. D. N. Y. 1947) 71 F. Supp. 266 (E. D. Pa. 1947)	16 (a, b). 1 et seq.
Investment Associates v. Stand- ard Power & Light Corp.	Aff'd, 51 A. 2d 572 (Sup. Ct. Del. 1947).	See thirteenth annual report.
Joslyn, Application of	78 N. Y. S. 2d 183 (Sup. Ct. 1948) 73 F. Supp. 798 (E. D. Pa. 1947)	14, 10 (b), 27.
Minuse; People v	78 N. Y. S. 2d 309 (Sup. Ct. 1948) 76 F, Supp. 933 (S. D. N. Y. 1948)	1 et seq. 10 (b).
Norris & Hirschberg v. SEC	<u>-</u>	See thirteenth annual report.
Do	163 F. 2d 689 (App. D. C. 1947), cert. denied, 333 U. S. 867 (1948). Cert. denied, 332 U. S. 761 (1948).	15 (b), 15A, 25.
Park & Tilford v. Schulte	Cert. denied, 332 U. S. 761 (1948) CCH Sec. Act Serv. par. 90,413 (S. D. N. Y. 1948).	See thirteenth annual reoprt. 16 (b).
Slavin v. Germantown Fire Ins. Co.	74 F. Supp. 876 (E. D. Pa. 1947)	10.

Table 34.—Cases involving statutes administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission—July 1, 1947 through June 30, 1948—Continued

PART .II—SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934—Continued

Title	Citation	Sections of statutes involved
Transamerica Corp.; SEC v	Modified 163 F: 2d 511 (C. C. A. 3d 1947), cert. denied, 332 U. S. 847	See thirteenth annual report.
Truncale v. Universal Pictures	(1948), 76 F. Supp. 465 (S. D. N. Y. 1948)	16 (b).
Co. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp. v. Jenkins.	7 F. R. D. 197 (S. D. N. Y. 4947)	See thirteenth annual report.
PART IIIPUE	LIC UTILITY HOLDING COMPA	NY ACT OF 1935
American Power & Light Co. (Florida Power & Light Co. v. SEC).	Cert. denied, 331 U. S. 827 (1947)	See thirteenth annual report.
Associated Gas & Electric Co., In re.	Aff'd, 164 F. 2d 220 (C. C. A. 2d 1947), cert. denied, sub nom. Principale v. General Public Vililies Co., — U.S. —, 68 Sup. Ct. 662 (1948), rehearing denied — U. S. —, 68 Sup. Ct. 901 (1948).	Do.
Beckhardt v. National Power & Light Co.	164 F. 2d 199 (C. C. A. 2, 1947)	11 (e), ²
Central States Power & Light Corp., In re.	74 F. Supp. 360.(D. Del. 1947)	See thirteenth annual report.
Chenery Corp.; SEC v. (SEC v. Federal Water & Gas Corp).	Rev'd, 332 U. S. 194 (1947), rehearing denied, 332 U. S. 783 (1947).	Do.
Community Gas & Power Co., In re.	168 F. 2d 740 (C. C. A. 3d 1948), cert. denied, 68 Sup. Ct. 1516 (1948). Aff'd, 182 F. 2d 654 (C. C. A. 3d 1947), cert. denied, 332 U. S. 818 (1947).	Do.
Downing v. Howard	Aff'd, 162 F. 2d 654 (C. C. A. 3d 1947), cert, denied, 332 U. S. 818 (1947).	Do.
Eastern Minnesota Power Corp., In re. Electric Bond & Share Co.,	74 F. Supp. 528 (D. Minn. 1947) 73 F. Supp. 426 (S. D. N. Y. 1946)	11 (b, e), 18 (f), 19, 24 (a). See Thirteenth annual report.
In re, Engineers Public Service Co.,	168 F. 2d 722 (C. C. A. 3d 1948)	Do.
In re. Engineers Public Service Co. v. SEC.	138 F. 2d 936 (App. D. C. 1943), cert. granted, 322 U. S. 723 (1943), remand- ed for dismissal, 332 U. S. 788 (1947). 74 F. Supp. 317 (D. Del. 1947). 72 F. Supp. 767 (E. D. N. Y. 1947)	1 (b), 2 (a), 5, 11, 24.
Illinois Power Co., In te	74 F. Supp. 317 (D. Del. 1947). 72 F. Supp. 767 (E. D. N. Y. 1947)	11. 1 (a) (1), 2 (a), 3 (a) (1), 7 (g), 11 (b) (2).
Louisville Gas & Electric Co., In re.	77 F. Supp. 176 (D. Del. 1948)	11 (e), 18 (f).
New England Public Service In re.	73 F. Supp. 452 (D. Me. 1947)	11 (b, e).
North West Utilities Co., In re Northern States Power Co., v. SEC.	76 F. Supp. 63 (D. Del. 1948)	11 (e), 18 (f). 11 (a, b, e), 24 (a).
Philadelphia Co. v. SEC	164 F. 2d 889 (App. D. C. 1947), cert. denied, 333 U. S. 828 (1948)	2 (a) (5, 8), 3 (d), 6 (a), 7, 11, (f), 12 (c), 13 (b), 20 (a), 24 (a, b), 29 (g).
Phillips v. The United Corp	CCH Sec. Act Serv. par. 90,395 (S. D. N. Y. 1947).	5 (d), 11 (b, g), 12 (e), 25.
Phillips v. The United Corp	CCH Sec. Act. Serv. par. 90,412 (S. D. N. Y. 1948).	5 (d), 11 (g) (2), 24 (a), 25.
Pittsburg Railway Co., In re	74 F. Supp. 842, 845 (W. D. Pa. 1947), 76 F. Supp. 725 (W. D. Pa. 1948).	11 (f),
Portland Electric Power Co.; Watson v.	Rehearing denied, 162 F. 2d 624 (C. C. A. 9th 1947), cert. denied, 332 U. S.	See thirteenth annual report.
Public Service Commission of N. Y. v. SEC.	837 (1947). 166 F. 2d 784 (C. C. A. 2d 1948), cert. denied. 16 U. S. Law Week 3361 (Sup. Ct. June 7, 1948). 73 N. Y. S. 2d 377 (Sup. Ct. 1947)	1, 6 (a), 7, 11 (b, e).
Rochester Gas & Electric Corp. v. Malthie	73 N. Y. S. 2d 377 (Sup. Ct. 1947)	1 et seq.
Standard Gas & Electric Co. v. SEC.	CCH Sec. Act Serv. par. 90,400 (App. D. C. 1947).	12 (e).

Table 34.—Cases involving statutes administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission—July 1, 1947 through June 30, 1948—Continued

PART IV.—TRUST INDENTURE ACT OF 1939 AND INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940

Title	Citation	Investment Com- pany Act of 1940	Trust Indenture Act of 1939
Fidelity Union Title Guaranty Co.	54 A. 2d 243 (N. J. Ch. 1947)		1 et seq.
Joslyn, Application of	78 N. Y. S. 2d 183 (Sup. Ct. 1948) Memorandum opinion, June 10, 1946 (D. Mass), aff'd sub nom. Bailey v. Proctor, 160 F. 2d 78 (C. C. A. 1st 1947) cert.	3, 20 (a) See thirteenth annual report.	
Do	denied, 33I U. S. 834 (1947). Memorandum opinions, June 19, 1047 & November 6, 1947 (D. Mass.), remanded sub nom. Bailey v. Proctor, 166 F. 2d 392 (C. C. A. 1st 1948), 76 F. Supp. 614 (D.	36	,
Do	Mass. 1948), rev'd, sub nom. Bailey v. Minsch, 168 F. 2d 635 (C. C. A. 1st 1948). Sub nom. Bailey v. McLennan (attorneys' fees), cort. denied, 331 U. S. 834 (1947).	See thirteenth annual report.	**

¹ This table continues table 32 of the tenth annual report and table 38 of the thirteenth annual report. The only cases omitted from this table are those in which no opinion was rendered and which, in addition, did not involve a novel legal problem.

² Section not mentioned but necessarily involved.

Table 35.—A 15-year summary of criminal cases developed by the Commission— 1934 through 1948, by fiscal year

Fiscal year	Number of cases referred to De- partment of Justice in each year	Number of per- sons as to whom prosecu- tion was recom- mended in each year	Number of such cases in which indict- ments were obtained by United States attorneys	Number of de- fendants indicted in such cases!	Number of these defend- ants con- victed	Number of these defend- ants ac- quitted	Number of these defendants as to whom proceed- ings were dismissed by United States attorneys	Number of these defend- ants as to whom cases are pending 2
1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939 1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948	7 29 43 42 40 52 59 54 50 31 127 19 16	36 177 379 128 113 245 174 150 144 91 69 47 44 50 32	3 14 34 30 33 47 51 47 46 28 24 18 14 13	32 149 368 144 134 292 200 145 194 108 79 61 40 34 24	17 84 164 78 75 199 96 94 107 60 47 35 11 8	5 46 32 13 33 38 15 23 10 6 10 5	15 80 158 33 43 58 65 36 48 19 18 11	1 3 2 1 16 19 8 5 21 1 18 21 21
Totals.	505	1,879	4 412	2,004	1,078	241	ā 570	-: 1 115

¹ The number of defendants in a case is sometimes increased by the Department of Justice over the number against whom prosecution was recommended by the Commission. For the purposes of this table, an individual named as a defendant in 2 or more indictments in the same case is counted only as a single defendant.

defendant.

3 See separate chart for break-down of pending cases.

3 So separate chart for break-down of pending cases.

3 So these references as to 5 proposed defendants are still being processed by the Department of Justice.

4 385 of these cases have been completed as to 1 or more defendants. Convictions have been obtained in 344 or 89.4 percent, of such cases. Only 41, or 10.6 percent, of such cases have resulted in acquittals or dismissals as to all defendants.

4 Includes 36 defendants who died after indictment.

Table 36.—A 12-year summary of criminal cases developed by the Commission which are still pending—1937 through 1948, by fiscal year

	Cases	Number of defendants	Number of such defendants as to whom cases have been completed	Number of such defendants as to whom cases are still pending and reasons therefor		
	Oases	in such cases		Not yet appre- hended ¹	Awaiting trial	Awaiting appeals
Pending, referred to Department of Justice in: ² 1937 1938	1 2	7 5	6 2	3	1	
1939 1940 1941	2 1	9 12	7 11	1	1	
1942 1943 1944	3 5	31 33 8	15 14	14 15	1 4]
1945 1946 1947	4 7 5	7 21 19	2	1 16	3 1 11	1
1948	8	22	i		21	
Total	40	174	59	66	43	

SUMMARY

Total cases pending 3	4.5
Total defendants *	179
Total defendants as to whom cases are pending 3.	120

Table 37 .- A 15-year summary classifying all defendants in criminal cases developed by the Commission-1934 to July 1, 1948

	Number indicted	Number convicted	Number acquitted	Number as to whom cases were dismissed by United States attorneys	Number as to whom cases are pending
Registered broker-dealers 1 (including prin- pals of such firms).	318	202	21	90	5
Employees of such registered broker- dealers.	101	49	15	30	7
Persons in general securities business but not registered as broker-dealers (includes principals and employees).	683	345	55	241	42
All others 3	902	482	150	209	· 61
Total	2, 004	1, 078	241	570	115

¹ Almost without exception these defendants are residents of Canada and cannot be extradited.
² Fiscal year ended June 30 of the year indicated.
³ Except for 1948, indictments have been returned in all pending cases. Indictments have not yet been returned as to 5 proposed defendants in 5 cases referred to the Department of Justice in 1948. These are reflected only in the recapitulation of totals at the bottom of the table.

Includes persons registered at or prior to time of indictment.
The persons referred to in this column while not engaged in a general business in securities, were almost without exception prosecuted for violations of law involving securities transactions.

Table 38.—A 15-year summary of all injunction cases instituted by the Commission—1934 to July 1, 1948, by calendar year

Calendar year	Number of ca by the Cor the number ants involve	nmission and r of defend-	Number of cases in which injunctions were granted and the number of defendants enjoined 1		
	Cases	Defendants	Cases	Defendants	
1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939 1940 1941 1941 1942 1943 1944 1944 1945 1946 1947 1947 1948 1947 1948 1948 1948 1949	96 70 57 40 40 21 19 18 21 21 20	24 242 116 240 152 154 100 112 73 81 80 74 45	2 17 36 91 73 61 42 36 20 18 14 21 14 20	4 56 108 211 153 165 99 90 54 72 35 56 32 47	
Total	520	1, 567	3 474	1, 200	
s	UMMARY			·	
			Cases	Defendants	
Actions instituted			520 467 9 44	1, 567 1, 200 3 26 3 341	
Total	520	1, 567			

¹ These columns show disposition of cases by year of disposition and do not necessarily reflect the disposition of the cases shown as having been instituted in the same years.
² Includes 7 cases which were counted twice in this column because injunctions against different defendants in the same cases were granted in different years.
³ Includes 4 defendants in 4 cases in which injunctions have already been obtained as to 15 codefendants.
⁴ Includes (a) actions dismissed (as to 281 defendants); (b) actions discontinued, abated, vacated, abandoned, or settled (as to 50 defendants); (c) actions in which judgment was denied (as to 7 defendants); (d) actions in which prosecution was stayed on stipulation to discontinue misconduct charged (as to 3 defendants).